

Master Degree Programme in Physics – UNITS Physics of the Earth and of the Environment

BODY WAVES & SEISMIC RAYS

FABIO ROMANELLI

Department of Mathematics & Geosciences University of Trieste <u>romanel@units.it</u>







.. What happens if we have heterogeneities ?



Depending on the kind of reflection part or all of the signal is reflected or transmitted.

- What happens at a free surface?
- Can a P wave be converted in an S wave or vice versa?
- How big are the amplitudes of the reflected waves?





Any medium through which waves propagates will present an impedance to those waves.

- If medium is lossless or possesses no dissipative mechanism ,the impedance is real and can be determined by the energy storing parameters, inertia and elasticity.
- Presence of loss mechanism will introduce a complex term.
- Impedance presented by a string to a traveling wave propagating on it is called transverse impedance.





 $\label{eq:transverse} transverse impedance = \frac{transverse force}{transverse velocity}$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{T}} \approx -\mathbf{T} \tan \theta = -\mathbf{T} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) = \mathbf{T} \frac{\omega}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{y} \quad \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}} \approx \omega \mathbf{y}$$

$$Z = \frac{T}{v} = \sqrt{T\rho} = \rho v$$

acoustic impedance
$$= \frac{\text{pressure}}{\text{sound flux}} = \rho v$$





Figure 2.2-5: Transmitted and reflected wave pulses.







Left side:

$$u_1(x, t) = Ae^{i(\omega t - k_1 x)} + Be^{i(\omega t + k_1 x)}$$

Right side:

$$u_2(x, t) = Ce^{i(\omega t - k_2 x)}$$

$$u_1(0, t) = u_2(0, t)$$

$$Ae^{i\omega t} + Be^{i\omega t} = Ce^{i\omega t}$$

A + B = C





Force continuity



Left side:

$$u_{1}(x, t) = Ae^{i(\omega t - k_{1}x)} + Be^{i(\omega t + k_{1}x)}$$

Right side:

$$u_{2}(x, t) = Ce^{i(\omega t - k_{2}x)}$$

$$\tau \frac{\partial u_{1}(0, t)}{\partial x} = \tau \frac{\partial u_{2}(0, t)}{\partial x}$$

$$\tau k_{1}(A - B) = \tau k_{2}C$$

Because the velocities on the two sides are $v_i = (\tau/\rho_i)^{1/2}$ and $k_i = \omega/v_i$,

$$\rho_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \left(A - B \right) = \rho_2 \mathbf{v}_2 C$$



R&T coefficients



$$A + B = C$$

$$\rho_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \left(A - B \right) = \rho_2 \mathbf{v}_2 C$$

Reflection coefficient:

$$R_{12} = \frac{B}{A} = \frac{\rho_1 \mathbf{v}_1 - \rho_2 \mathbf{v}_2}{\rho_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \rho_2 \mathbf{v}_2}$$

Transmission coefficient:

$$T_{12} = \frac{C}{A} = \frac{2 \rho_1 \mathbf{v}_1}{\rho_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \rho_2 \mathbf{v}_2}$$

$$R_{12} = -R_{21} \qquad T_{12} + T_{21} = 2$$







 $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{v}_1 k_1 = \mathbf{v}_2 k_2 = \mathbf{v}_1 2\pi/\lambda_1 = \mathbf{v}_2 2\pi/\lambda_2$

Polarity?







Kinetic energy:

$$KE = \frac{\rho}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^2 dx$$

because the mass of the spring is $m = \rho dx$

Averaged over one wavelength, with $u(x, t) = A \cos(\omega t - kx)$:

$$KE = \frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{2} dx = \frac{\rho A^{2} \omega^{2}}{2\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda} \sin^{2}(\omega t - kx) dx$$

Identity:

$$\int_{0}^{\lambda} \sin^{2}(\omega t - kx) dx = \lambda/2$$

 $KE = A^2 \omega^2 \rho / 4$







Potential energy:

strain:

$$e = \frac{(dx^2 + du^2)^{1/2} - dx}{dx} = \left[1 + \left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} - 1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2$$

(using the Taylor series approximation $(1 + a^2)^{1/2} \approx 1 + a^2/2$ for small a)

$$PE = \int_{0}^{L} e\tau dx = \frac{\tau}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} dx$$

$$PE = \frac{\tau}{2\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} dx = \frac{\tau A^{2} k^{2}}{2\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda} \sin^{2}(\omega t - kx) dx$$

 $PE = \tau A^2 k^2/4 = A^2 \omega^2 \rho/4$







$$KE = A^2 \omega^2 \rho / 4$$

 $PE = A^2 \omega^2 \rho / 4$

Total energy:

$$E = PE + KE = A^2 \omega^2 \rho/2$$

Energy flux:

 $\dot{\mathbf{E}} = A^2 \omega^2 \rho \mathbf{v}/2$

$$\dot{\mathbf{E}}_{R} + \dot{\mathbf{E}}_{T} = R_{12}^{2} \omega^{2} \rho_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1} / 2 + T_{12}^{2} \omega^{2} \rho_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2} / 2$$

$$= (\omega^{2} / 2) \left[R_{12}^{2} \mathbf{v}_{1} \rho_{1} + T_{12}^{2} \mathbf{v}_{2} \rho_{2} \right] = \omega^{2} \rho_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1} / 2 = \dot{\mathbf{E}}_{I}$$





What happens when the material parameters change at a discontinuity interface? **Continuity** of displacement and traction fields is required



http://www.walter-fendt.de/ph14e/huygenspr.htm

Kinematic (displacement continuity) gives **Snell's law**, but how much is reflected, how much transmitted?





Let's take the most simple example: P-waves with **normal** incidence on a material interface. Dynamic conditions give:



At oblique angles conversions from S-P, P-S have to be considered.





A special case is the **free surface** condition, where the surface tractions are zero.











A P wave is incident at the free surface ...



In general (also for an S incident wave) the reflected amplitudes can be described by the scattering matrix S

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} P_u P_d & S_u P_d \\ P_u S_d & S_u S_d \end{pmatrix}$$





How can we calculate in general the amount of energy that is transmitted or reflected at a material discontinuity?

We know that in homogeneous media the displacement can be described by the corresponding potentials

 $\textbf{u} = \nabla \Phi + \nabla \times \Psi$

in 2-D (i.e. the wavefield does not depend on y coordinate) this gives:

$$u_{x} = \partial_{x} \Phi + 0 - \partial_{z} \Psi_{y}$$
$$u_{y} = 0 + \partial_{z} \Psi_{x} - \partial_{x} \Psi_{z}$$
$$u_{z} = \partial_{z} \Phi + \partial_{x} \Psi_{y} - 0$$

and an incoming P wave has the form (a_j indicate the direction cosines):

$$\Phi = A_0 \exp\left\{i\left[(k_j x_j - \omega t)\right]\right\} = A_0 \exp\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\alpha}(a_j x_j - \alpha t)\right]\right\}$$



Free surface: apparent velocity





Rays





P₽

SVR

... here ai are the components of the vector normal to the wavefront : a_i =(sin i, 0, -cos i)=(cos e, 0, -sin e), where e is the angle between surface and ray direction, so that for the free surface

 $\Phi = A_0 \exp[ik(x - ztane - ct)] + Aexp[ik(x + ztane - ct)]$ $\Psi = \text{Bexp}[ik'(x+ztanf-c't)]$ е

Ρ

where

$$c = \frac{\alpha}{\cos e} = \frac{\alpha}{\sin i} \qquad c' = \frac{\beta}{\cos f}$$
$$k = \frac{\omega}{\alpha} \csc e = \frac{\omega}{\alpha} \sin i = \frac{\omega}{c} \qquad k' = \frac{\omega}{\beta} \cos f$$

what we know is that z=0 is a free surface, i.e.

$$\sigma_{xz}|_{z=0} = 0$$

$$\sigma_{zz}|_{z=0} = 0$$





... putting the equations for the potentials (displacements) into these equations leads to a relation between incident and reflected (transmitted) amplitudes

$$R_{PP} = \frac{A}{A_0} = \frac{4\tan e \tan f - (1 - \tan^2 f)^2}{4\tan e \tan f + (1 - \tan^2 f)^2}$$
$$R_{PS_v} = \frac{B}{A_0} = \frac{4\tan e - (1 - \tan^2 f)}{4\tan e \tan f + (1 - \tan^2 f)^2}$$

These are the reflection coefficients for a plane P wave incident on a free surface, and reflected P and SV waves.





For layered media SH waves are completely decoupled from P and SV waves





Figure 2.6-2: SH wave incident on a solid-solid boundary.



In medium 1: $u_y^-(x, z, t) = B_1 \exp(i(\omega t - k_x x - k_x r_{\beta_1} z)) + B_2 \exp(i(\omega t - k_x x + k_x r_{\beta_1} z))$ In medium 2: $u_y^+(x, z, t) = B' \exp(i(\omega t - k_x x - k_x r_{\beta_2} z))$

Boundary condition: continuity of displacement: $u_y^-(x, 0, t) = u_y^+(x, 0, t)$

 $(B_1 + B_2) \exp(i(\omega t - k_x x)) = B' \exp(i(\omega t - k_x x))$ $B_1 + B_2 = B'$



Figure 2.6-2: SH wave incident on a solid-solid boundary.



Boundary condition: traction σ_{yz} is continuous: $\sigma_{yz} = 2\mu e_{yz} = \mu \left(\frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial y}\right) = \mu \left(\frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z}\right)$ (in this case, u_x and u_z are zero, so $\sigma_{xz} = \sigma_{zz} = 0$):

 $\sigma_{yz}^{-}(x, 0, t) = \sigma_{yz}^{+}(x, 0, t)$

 $\mu_1 i k_x r_{\beta_1} (B_2 - B_1) \exp(i(\omega t - k_x x)) = -\mu_2 i k_x r_{\beta_2} B' \exp(i(\omega t - k_x x))$

 $(B_1-B_2)=B'(\mu_2 r_{\beta_2})/(\mu_1 r_{\beta_1})$



Figure 2.6-2: SH wave incident on a solid-solid boundary.



 $B_1 + B_2 = B' \qquad (B_1 - B_2) = B'(\mu_2 r_{\beta_2})/(\mu_1 r_{\beta_1})$

$$T_{12} = \frac{B'}{B_1} = \frac{2\mu_1 r_{\beta_1}}{\mu_1 r_{\beta_1} + \mu_2 r_{\beta_2}} \qquad \qquad R_{12} = \frac{B_2}{B_1} = \frac{\mu_1 r_{\beta_1} - \mu_2 r_{\beta_2}}{\mu_1 r_{\beta_1} + \mu_2 r_{\beta_2}}$$





Using
$$r_{\beta_i} = c_x \cos j_i / \beta_i$$

 $T_{12} = \frac{2\rho_1 \beta_1 \cos j_1}{\rho_1 \beta_1 \cos j_1 + \rho_2 \beta_2 \cos j_2}$
 $R_{12} = \frac{\rho_1 \beta_1 \cos j_1 - \rho_2 \beta_2 \cos j_2}{\rho_1 \beta_1 \cos j_1 + \rho_2 \beta_2 \cos j_2}$

 $R_{12} = - R_{21} \qquad T_{12} + T_{21} = 2 \qquad 1 + R_{12} = T_{12}$

 $R_{12} = 1$ at surface and CMB.

At vertical incidence
$$(j_1 = j_2 = 0)$$
: $T_{12} = \frac{2\rho_1\beta_1}{\rho_1\beta_1 + \rho_2\beta_2}$ $R_{12} = \frac{\rho_1\beta_1 - \rho_2\beta_2}{\rho_1\beta_1 + \rho_2\beta_2}$





Figure 2.6-4: Reflection and transmission coefficients for incident SH waves.

$$\frac{\dot{\mathbf{E}}_{R}}{\dot{\mathbf{E}}_{I}} = R_{12}^{2}$$

$$\frac{\dot{\mathbf{E}}_{T}}{\dot{\mathbf{E}}_{I}} = T_{12}^{2} \frac{\rho_{2} \beta_{2} \cos j_{2}}{\rho_{1} \beta_{1} \cos j_{1}}$$
For example,
if $R_{12} = 0.1$,
then the energy ratio is
 $\dot{\mathbf{E}}_{R}/\dot{\mathbf{E}}_{I} = 0.01$.







At the critical angle,
$$c_x = \frac{\beta_1}{\sin j_1} = \frac{\beta_2}{\sin j_2} = \frac{\beta_2}{1} = \beta_2$$

For angles i_1 that are GREATER than the critical angle, we have the unusual situation that

$$c_x = \frac{\beta_1}{\sin j_1} < \beta_2 \text{ If } c_x < \beta_2, \text{ then } r_{\beta_2} = (c_x^2/\beta_2^2 - 1)^{1/2} \text{ becomes an imaginary number!!}$$

This means that the transmitted wave $u_y(x, z, t) = B' \exp(i(\omega t - k_x x - k_x r_{\beta_2} z))$ has a real exponent!

Pick the negative sign of the square root of -1 (Why?) to define $r_{\beta_2} = -ir_{\beta_2}^*$ $r_{\beta_2}^* = (1 - c_x^2/\beta_2^2)^{1/2}$

so that the z term in the displacement, $\exp(-ik_x r_{\beta_2} z) = \exp(-k_x r_{\beta_2}^* z)$, decays exponentially away from the interface in medium 2 as $z \to \infty$.

The transmitted wave becomes an evanescent or inhomogeneous wave "trapped" near the interface.





Figure 2.6-5: Effect of phase shifts on a seismic waveform.

$$\exp(-ik_x r_{\beta_2} z) = \exp(-k_x r_{\beta_2}^* z)$$
$$\mu_1 r_{\beta_1} + i\mu_2 r_{\beta_2}^*$$

$$R_{12} = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{\mu_1 r_{\beta_1} - i\mu_2 r_{\beta_2}^*}$$

This a complex number divided by its conjugate, so the magnitude of the reflection coefficient is one, but there is a phase shift of 2ε :

$$R_{12} = e^{i2\varepsilon} \qquad \varepsilon = \tan^{-1} \frac{\mu_2 r_{\beta_2}}{\mu_1 r_{\beta_1}}$$

At critical incidence,

$$c_x = \beta_2$$
, so $r^*_{\beta_2} = 0$ and $\varepsilon = 0^\circ$

As the angle of incidence increases beyond critical, ε increases.

At grazing incidence,
$$j_1 = 90^\circ$$
, we have
 $c_x = \beta_1, r_{\beta_1} = 0$ and $\varepsilon = 90^\circ$









To account for all possible reflections and transmissions we need 16 coefficients, described by a 4x4 scattering matrix.







At a solid-fluid interface there is no conversion to SV in the lower medium.



Ocean-Crust interface







Crust-Mantle interface





For a *P* wave vertically incident from above, the impedances are $\rho_1 \alpha_1 = 19.0$ and $\rho_2 \alpha_2 = 26.4$

The reflection and transmission coefficients are $R_{12} = -0.16$ and $T_{12} = 0.84$

The energy flux ratios are $\frac{\dot{\mathbf{E}}_R}{\dot{\mathbf{E}}_I} = R_{12}^2 = 0.03$ $\frac{\dot{\mathbf{E}}_T}{\dot{\mathbf{E}}_I} = T_{12}^2 \frac{\rho_2 \alpha_2}{\rho_1 \alpha_1} = 0.97$











Ray theory: basic principles

Wavefronts, Huygens principle, Fermat's principle, Snell's Law

Rays in layered media

Travel times in a layered Earth, continuous depth models, Travel time diagrams, shadow zones,

Travel times in a spherical Earth

Seismic phases in the Earth, nomenclature, travel-time curves for teleseismic phases





Ray definition

Rays are defined as the normals to the wavefront and thus point in the direction of propagation.

 \cdot Rays in smoothly varying or not too complex media

Rays corresponding to P or S waves behave much as light does in materials with varying index of refraction: rays bend, focus, defocus, get diffracted, birefringence et.

Ray theory is a high-frequency approximation

This statement is the same as saying that the medium (apart from sharp discontinuities, which can be handled) must vary smoothly compared to the wavelength.




Much information can be learned by analysing recorded seismic signals in terms of layered structured (e.g. crust and Moho). We need to be able to predict the arrival times of reflected and refracted signals ...







Let us calculate the arrival times for reflected and refracted waves as a function of layer depth, h, and velocities α_i , i denoting the i-th layer:





$$T_{refl} = \frac{2h}{\alpha_1 cosi} = \frac{2\sqrt{h^2 + X^2/4}}{\alpha_1}$$

And for the the refraction:

$$T_{refr} = \frac{2h}{\alpha_1 cosi_c} + \frac{r}{\alpha_2}$$
$$r = X - 2htani_c$$

where i_c is the critical angle:

$$\frac{\sin(i_1)}{\alpha_1} = \frac{\sin(r_2)}{\alpha_2} \Rightarrow i_c = \arcsin\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)$$





Thus the refracted wave arrival is

$$T_{refr} = \frac{2h}{\alpha_1 cosi_c} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \left(X - \frac{2h\alpha_1}{\alpha_2 cosi_c} \right)$$

where we have made use of Snell's Law.

We can rewrite this using

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{\sin i_c}{\alpha_1} = p$$

cosi_c =
$$(1 - \sin^2 i_c)^{1/2} = (1 - p^2 \alpha_1^2)^{1/2} = \alpha_1 (\frac{1}{\alpha_1^2} - p^2)^{1/2} = \alpha_1 \eta_1$$

obtain $T_{refr} = Xp + 2h\eta_1$

Which is very useful as we have separated the result into a vertical and horizontal term.

to





Travel time curves







What can we determine if we have recorded the following travel time curves?



http://www.iris.edu/hq/programs/education_and_outreach/animations/13













The previous relation for the travel times easily generalizes to many layers:

$$\mathbf{T}_{refr} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{2}\mathbf{h}_{i}\eta_{i}$$



Travel time curve for a finely layered Earth. The first arrival is comprised of short segments of the head wave curves for each layer.

This naturally generalizes to infinite layers i.e. to a continuous depth model.



Multiple layers



Total horizontal distance

$$x(p) = 2\sum_{j=0}^{n} x_j = 2\sum_{j=0}^{n} h_j \tan i_j$$

in a total time

$$T(p) = 2\sum_{j=0}^{n} \Delta T_{j} = 2\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{h_{j}}{v_{j} \cos i_{j}}$$

For multiple layers, multiple hyperbolas:

$$T(x)_{n+1}^2 = x^2 / \bar{\mathbf{V}}_n^2 + t_n^2$$

where t_n is the vertical 2-way travel time:

$$t_n = 2 \sum_{j=0}^n \Delta t_j = 2 \sum_{j=0}^n (h_j / v_j)$$

and

$$x = 2\sum_{j=0}^{n} x_j = 2\frac{\sin i_0}{v_0}\sum_{j=0}^{n} v_j^2 \Delta T_j .$$

Figure 3.3-3: Ray path through multilayered structure.















Multiple layers



Figure 3.3-9: Tau-P and travel time curves for multiple layers.







We now let the number of layers go to infinity and the thickness to zero. Then the summation is replaced by integration.



Now we can generalize the concept of intercept time τ of the tangent to the travel time curve and the slope p.





Figure 3.3-6: Ray path in a medium with smoothly increasing velocities.











Write in terms of the slowness, u(z) = 1/v(z):

$$x(p) = 2p \int_{0}^{z_{p}} \frac{dz}{(u^{2}(z) - p^{2})^{1/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad T(p) = 2 \int_{0}^{z_{p}} \frac{u^{2}(z)dz}{(u^{2}(z) - p^{2})^{1/2}}$$

Valid everywhere except at the exact bottom, where u(z) equals p.







Figure 3.3-7: Relation between travel time curve, tau, and ray parameter.

Define τ as a function of p for the reflected wave: $T(x) = px + \tau(p)$

$$\tau(p) = 2\sum_{j=0}^{n} \eta_j h_j = 2\sum_{j=0}^{n} (1/v_j^2 - p^2)^{1/2} h_j = 2\sum_{j=0}^{n} (u_j^2 - p^2)^{1/2} h_j$$

$$\tau(p) = T(p) - px(p) \quad \text{and so} \quad \frac{d\tau}{dp} = \frac{dT}{dp} - p\frac{dx}{dp} - x(p) = \frac{dT}{dx}\frac{dx}{dp} - p\frac{dx}{dp} - x(p) = -x(p)$$

Just as p is the slope of the travel time curve, T(x), the distance, x, is minus the slope of the $\tau(p)$ curve.



Travel Times: Examples







Ray paths inside the Earth



Figure 3.5-2: Selection of body phases and their ray paths.

S wave

Surface

wave

Mantle

Core





Earth's crust



Andrija MOHOROVIČIĆ

Godišnje izvješće zagrebačkog meteorološkog opservatorija za godinu 1909. Godina IX, dio IV. – polovina 1. Potres od 8. X. 1909







http://www.gfz.hr/sobe-en/discontinuity.htm





It seems that now we have the means to predict arrival times T_{pre} at a given the travel distance of a ray with a given emergence angle (ray parameter) and given structure. This is also termed a **forward (or direct) problem**.

We have recorded a set of travel times, T_{obs} , and we want to determine the structure of the Earth. Thus, what we really want is to solve the **inverse problem**.

In a very general sense we are looking for an Earth model that **minimizes** the difference between a theoretical prediction and the observed data:



where m is an Earth model.





How can we generalize these results to a spherical Earth which should allow us to invert observed travel times and find its internal velocity structure?

Snell's Law applies in the same way:

$$\frac{\sin i_1}{v_1} = \frac{\sin i_1'}{v_2}$$

From the figure it follows

$$\frac{\mathbf{r}_{1} \sin \mathbf{i}_{1}}{\mathbf{v}_{1}} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{1} \sin \mathbf{i}_{1}}{\mathbf{v}_{2}} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{2} \sin \mathbf{i}_{2}}{\mathbf{v}_{2}}$$

which is a general equation along the raypath (i.e. it is constant)







... thus the ray parameter in a spherical Earth is defined as :



Note that the units (s/rad or s/deg) are different than the corresponding ray parameter for a flat Earth model.

The meaning of p is the same as for a flat Earth: it is the slope of the travel time curve.



The equations for the travel distance and travel time have very similar forms than for the flat Earth case!







Figure 3.4-2: Geometry of a ray path in a spherical earth.



Figure 3.4-3: Derivation of the ray parameter in a spherical earth.





Rays in homogeneous sphere





Copyright © 2005 Pearson Prentice Hall, Inc.



Sphere with increasing velocity...





Copyright © 2005 Pearson Prentice Hall, Inc.



Earth's core



Richard Dixon Oldham

The Constitution of the Earth as revealed by earthquakes, Quart. J. Geological Soc. Lond., 62, 456–475, 1906





Paths of seismic waves through the Earth assuming a core of radius 0.4*R*, in which the speed is 3 km/sec, while the speed outside it is 6 km/sec. [From Oldham, 1906.]



Time curves of first and second phases of preliminary tremors. The marks surrounded by circles are averages. [From Oldham, 1906.]

Beno Gutenberg

1914 Über Erdbebenwellen VIIA. Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen Math. Physik. Kl, 166.



who calculated depth of the core as 2900km or 0.545R





Inge Lehmann

Bureau Central Seismologique International, Series A, Travaux Scientifiques, 14, 88, 1936.

who discovered of the earth's inner core.



Earth layered structure













Ray Paths in the Earth (2)







Ray Paths in the Earth (3)









Figure 3.5-10: Ray paths for additional core phases.







Ρ	P waves
S	S waves
small p	depth phases (P)
small s	depth phases (S)
С	Reflection from CMB
Κ	wave inside core
i i	Reflection from Inner core boundary
Ι	wave through inner core











Figure 3.5-3: Travel time data and curves for the IASP91 model.



Kennett, B. L. N., and E. R. Engdahl (1991). Traveltimes for global earthquake location and phase identification. Geophysical Journal International 122, 429-465.

Rays



Spherically symmetric models







Velocity and density variations within Earth based on seismic observations. The main regions of Earth and important boundaries are labeled. This model was developed in the early 1980's and is called **PREM** for Preliminary Earth Reference Model.

Model **PREM** giving S and P wave velocities (light and dark green lines) in the earth's interior in comparison with the younger

IASP91 model (thin grey and black lines)

http://www.iris.edu/ds/products/emc-referencemodels/