

1) ~~TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF CASES WE RESTRICT OUR ATTENTION TO $0 < b < 1$~~

~~FOR OUR FIXED THE RANGE OF a , WE HAVE TO USE THE ADDITIONAL CONDITION $a \geq 0$ ($a > 0$) is a real number)~~

a)

$$L = a(xy)^b - \lambda_1(mx + my - 100) - \lambda_2(x - 4) - \lambda_3(-x) - \lambda_4(-y)$$

KT CONDITIONS ARE:

$$ab y^b x^{b-1} - \lambda_1 m - \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$$

$$ab x^b y^{b-1} - \lambda_1 m + \lambda_4 = 0$$

$$mx + my \leq 100 \quad \lambda_1 \geq 0 \quad \lambda_1(mx + my - 100) = 0$$

$$x \leq 4 \quad \lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad \lambda_2(x - 4) = 0$$

$$-x \leq 0 \quad \lambda_3 \geq 0 \quad \lambda_3 x = 0$$

$$-y \leq 0 \quad \lambda_4 \geq 0 \quad \lambda_4 y = 0$$

b)

$$M = a(xy)^b - \lambda_1(mx + my - 100) - \lambda_2(x - 4)$$

KT CONDITIONS ARE:

$$x \geq 0 \quad ab y^b x^{b-1} - \lambda_1 m - \lambda_2 \leq 0 \quad (ab y^b x^{b-1} - \lambda_1 m - \lambda_2)x = 0$$

$$y \geq 0 \quad ab x^b y^{b-1} - \lambda_1 m \leq 0 \quad (ab x^b y^{b-1} - \lambda_1 m)y = 0$$

c) GIVEN THE CONSTRAINTS ARE LINEAR IT IS ENOUGH TO CHECK THE CONCAVITY OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

THE HESSIAN IS

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} ab(b-1)y^b x^{b-2} & ab^2(yx)^{b-1} \\ ab^2(yx)^{b-1} & ab(b-1)x^b y^{b-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

THE PRINCIPAL MINORS OF ORDER 1 ARE NONNEGATIVE (≥ 0)

THE PRINCIPAL MINOR OF ORDER 2 IS:

$$a^2 b^2 (b-1)^2 y^{2b-2} x^{2b-2} - a^2 b^4 y^{2b-2} x^{2b-2} \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \geq 0$$

INDEED THE INEQUALITY REDUCES TO

$$(b-1)^2 - b^2 \geq 0 \quad (\text{easy to verify})$$

THEN THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION IS NOT CONCAVE

(IT IS CONVEX)

THEREFORE KT CONDITIONS ARE ONLY NECESSARY

2)

$$a) \max (100 - x)y$$

$$\text{s.t. } -xy \leq -10$$

$$x \leq 2$$

$$y \geq 0$$

$$M = (100 - x)y - \lambda_1(-xy + 10) - \lambda_2(x - 2) \quad \text{KT CONDITIONS ARE:}$$

$$\begin{cases} -y + \lambda_1 y - \lambda_2 = 0 \\ y \geq 0 \quad (100 - x) + \lambda_1 x \leq 0 \quad y(100 - x + \lambda_1 x) = 0 \\ \lambda_1 \geq 0 \quad xy \geq 10 \quad \lambda_1(xy - 10) = 0 \\ \lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad x \leq 2 \quad \lambda_2(x - 2) = 0 \end{cases}$$

— SOLUTION

SUPPOSE $y = 0$ CONDITION $xy \geq 10$ IS NOT SATISFIED. THEN IN THE SOLUTION $y > 0$

THEREFORE THE SECOND LINE OF KT CONDITION REDUCES TO

$$100 - x + \lambda_1 x = 0$$

WE HAVE TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING CASES

$$1) \lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$2) \lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$3) \lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

$$4) \lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

$$1) \lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0$$

FROM THE FIRST CONDITION WE HAVE $y = 0$

THEN THIS CASE CANNOT BE A SOLUTION

(SEE ABOVE)

$$2) \lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0$$

KT CONDITIONS ARE

$$\begin{cases} -y + \lambda_1 y = 0 \\ 100 - x + \lambda_1 x = 0 \\ xy = 10 \\ x \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

FROM THE FIRST WE HAVE $\lambda_1 = 1$. REPLACING λ_1

IN THE SECOND EQUATION WE GET $100 = 0$

THAT IS NOT TRUE

THEN THIS CASE CANNOT BE A SOLUTION

$$3) \lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

THE FIRST EQUATION OF KT CONDITION IS

$$\lambda_2 = -y$$

GIVEN THAT $y > 0$ IT IMPLIES THAT $\lambda_2 < 0$

A CONTRADICTION WITH THE ASSUMPTION OF $\lambda_2 > 0$

THEN THIS CASE IS NOT A SOLUTION

$$4) \lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

KT CONDITIONS ARE

$$\begin{cases} -y + \lambda_1 y - \lambda_2 = 0 \\ 100 - x + \lambda_1 x = 0 \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = 10 \\ x = 2 \end{cases}$$

FROM THE LAST TWO EQUATION WE GET

$$x = 2 \quad y = 5$$

REPLACING IN THE FIRST TWO EQUATIONS

$$\begin{cases} -5 + \lambda_1 5 - \lambda_2 = 0 \\ 98 + 2\lambda_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_1 = 49 \quad \lambda_2 = 5\lambda_1 - 5 = 5 \cdot 49 - 5 = 240$$

SOLUTION OF KT CONDITION IS

$$x = 2 \quad y = 5 \quad \lambda_1 = 49 \quad \lambda_2 = 240$$

b) WE CHECK QUASICONCAVITY OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

THE BORDERED HESSIAN IS

$$H_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -y & 100-x \\ -y & 0 & -1 \\ 100-x & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad |H_b| = 2(100-x)y \geq 0$$

$$B_1 = -y^2 \leq 0 \quad B_2 = |H_b| = 2(100-x)y \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y. \quad \text{quasi convex}$$

THEN THE FUNCTION IS QUASICONCAVE. GIVEN THAT CONSTRAINTS ARE
~~XXXXXX~~ AND THE FIRST DERIVATIVES OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION
 EVALUATED IN THE SOLUTION ARE DIFFERENT FROM ZERO

KT CONDITIONS ARE NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT
 there exist a vector satisfying strictly all constraint the derivatives of the constraints evaluated in the solution
 are not all equal to zero

(5)

3) a)

$$M = (x-1)^2 + (y-1)^2 - \lambda_1(x-2) - \lambda_2(y-2)$$

KT CONDITIONS ARE

$$2(x-1) - \lambda_1 \leq 0 \quad x \geq 0 \quad x(2(x-1) - \lambda_1) = 0$$

$$2(y-1) - \lambda_2 \leq 0 \quad y \geq 0 \quad y(2(y-1) - \lambda_2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq 0 \quad x-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_1(x-2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad y-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_2(y-2) = 0$$

WE CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING CASES

- 1) $x=0 \quad y=0$
- 2) $x>0 \quad y=0$
- 3) $x=0 \quad y>0$
- 4) $x>0 \quad y>0$

NOTE CONSTRAINTS ARE LINEAR BUT OBJECTIVE FUNCTION IS CONVEX
THEN KT CONDITION ARE ONLY NECESSARY.

- 1) $x=0 \quad y=0$

KT CONDITIONS ARE

$$-2 - \lambda_1 \leq 0$$

$$-2 - \lambda_2 \leq 0$$

note that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$

THEN KT CONDITIONS ARE SATISFIED



2) $x > 0$ $y = 0$ Then $\lambda_2 = 0$

KKT CONDITIONS ARE

$$2(x-1) - \lambda_1 = 0$$

$$-2 \leq 0$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq 0 \quad x-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_1(x-2) = 0$$

$$-2 \leq 0$$

IF $\lambda_1 = 0$ FROM THE FIRST CONDITION WE HAVE $x = 1$

THIRD CONDITION IS SATISFIED $-1 \leq 0$

THEN $x = 1$ $y = 0$ $\lambda_1 = 0$ $\lambda_2 = 0$ COULD BE A SOLUTION

IF $\lambda_2 > 0$ THEN $x = 2$ REPLACING IN THE FIRST
CONDITION WE GET $\lambda_1 = 2$

THEN $x = 2$ $y = 0$ $\lambda_1 = 2$ $\lambda_2 = 0$ COULD BE A SOLUTION

3) $x = 0$ $y > 0$ Then $\lambda_1 = 0$

KKT CONDITIONS ARE

$$-2 \leq 0$$

$$2(y-1) - \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$-2 \leq 0$$

$$\lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad y-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_2(y-2) = 0$$

IF $\lambda_2 = 0 \rightarrow y = 1$ LAST CONDITION IS SATISFIED

$\lambda_1 = 0$ $\lambda_2 = 0$ $x = 0$ $y = 1$ COULD BE A SOLUTION

IF $\lambda_2 > 0 \rightarrow y = 2 \rightarrow \lambda_2 = 2$

$x = 0$ $y = 2$ $\lambda_1 = 0$ $\lambda_2 = 2$ COULD BE A SOLUTION

4) $x > 0$ $y > 0$ KKT CONDITIONS ARE :

$$2(x-1) - \lambda_1 = 0$$

$$2(y-1) - \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq 0 \quad x-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_1(x-2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad y-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_2(y-2) = 0$$

a) $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ $x = y = 1$ FROM FIRST AND SECOND CONDITIONS
THIRD AND FOURTH CONDITIONS ARE SATISFIED
THEN IT COULD BE A SOLUTION

b) $\lambda_1 > 0$ $\lambda_2 = 0$. FROM THE SECOND CONDITION $y = 1$
FROM THIRD CONDITION $x = 2$. REPLACING IN THE FIRST
WE GET $\lambda_1 = 2$. FOURTH CONDITION IS SATISFIED
THEN $x = 2$ $y = 1$ $\lambda_1 = 2$ $\lambda_2 = 0$ COULD BE A SOLUTION

c) $\lambda_1 = 0$ $\lambda_2 > 0$ THEN $y = 2$ FROM FIRST CONDITION $x = 1$.
FROM THE SECOND $\lambda_2 = 2$. THIRD CONDITION IS SATISFIED.

d) $\lambda_1 > 0$ $\lambda_2 > 0 \rightarrow x = y = 2$
FROM THE FIRST AND SECOND CONDITION WE GET $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$.
IT COULD BE A SOLUTION.

TO GET THE SOLUTION WE HAVE TO EVALUATE
~~FROM~~ THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION AT EACH SOLUTION
OF KKT CONDITIONS

	x	y	λ_1	λ_2	$(x-1)^{\lambda_1} + (y-1)^{\lambda_2}$	
a)	0	0	0	0	2	←
b)	1	0	0	0	1	
c)	2	0	2	0	2	←
d)	0	1	0	0	1	
e)	0	2	0	2	2	←
f)	1	1	0	0	0	
g)	2	1	2	0	1	
h)	1	2	0	2	1	
i)	2	2	2	2	2	←

SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM ARE a), c), e), i)

3b) THE PROBLEM CAN BE WRITTEN AS

$$\max_{\{x, y\}} -(x-1)^2 - (y-1)^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } 0 \leq x \leq 2 \\ 0 \leq y \leq 2$$

THE HESSIAN OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION IS

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{IT IS NEGATIVE DEFINITE} \\ \text{THEN THE FUNCTION IS CONCAVE}$$

KT CONDITIONS ARE NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT
(NOTE CONSTRAINT ARE LINEAR)

MODIFIED LAGRANGEAN IS

$$M = -(x-1)^2 - (y-1)^2 - \lambda_1(x-2) - \lambda_2(y-2)$$

KT CONDITIONS ARE

$$-2(x-1) - \lambda_1 \leq 0 \quad x \geq 0 \quad x(-2(x-1) - \lambda_1) = 0$$

$$-2(y-1) - \lambda_2 \leq 0 \quad y \geq 0 \quad y(-2(y-1) - \lambda_2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq 0 \quad x-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_1(x-2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad y-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_2(y-2) = 0$$

SUPPOSE $x=0$ THEN $\lambda_1=0$ THE FIRST
CONDITION BECOMES $2 \leq 0$, THAT IS NOT TRUE.

SUPPOSE $y=0$ THEN $\lambda_2=0$ THE SECOND
CONDITION BECOMES $2 \leq 0$ THAT IS NOT TRUE.

THEN THE ONLY CANDIDATE TO A SOLUTION IS
 $x > 0 \quad y > 0$

IN THIS CASE KT CONDITIONS ARE

$$-2(x-1) - \lambda_1 = 0$$

$$-2(y-1) - \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq 0 \quad x-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_1(x-2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad y-2 \leq 0 \quad \lambda_2(y-2) = 0$$

SUPPOSE $\lambda_1 > 0$ THEN FROM THE THIRD CONDITION $x=2$
REPLACING IN THE FIRST CONDITION $\lambda_1 = -2$
A CONTRADICTION WITH THE ASSUMPTION $\lambda_1 > 0$

SUPPOSE $\lambda_2 > 0$ BY FOURTH CONDITION $y=2$ AND
REPLACING IN THE SECOND $\lambda_2 = -2$ A CONTRADICTION

THE ONLY POSSIBLE SOLUTION IS FOR $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$
FROM THE FIRST AND SECOND CONDITION WE GET

$$x = y = 1$$

CONDITION 3 AND 4 ARE SATISFIED

THEN

$$x=1 \quad y=1 \quad \lambda_1=0 \quad \lambda_2=0$$

IS THE SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

4) THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION IS LINEAR
THEN CONCAVE
CONSTRAINTS ARE CONVEX

$x = y = 0.5$ SATISFIES STRICTLY BOTH CONSTRAINTS
THEN KT CONDITIONS ARE NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT

$$L = x + ay - \lambda_1 (x^2 + y^2 - 1) - \lambda_2 (-x - y)$$

KT CONDITION ARE

$$1 - 2x\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$a - 2y\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq 0 \quad x^2 + y^2 \leq 1 \quad \lambda_1 (x^2 + y^2 - 1) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad x + y \geq 0 \quad \lambda_2 (x + y) = 0$$

WE HAVE TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING CASES

1) $\lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0$

2) $\lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0$

3) $\lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$

4) $\lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$

$$1) \lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0$$

THE FIRST CONDITION BECOMES $1 = 0$
 NO TRUE. THIS CANNOT BE A SOLUTION

$$2) \lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{KT CONDITIONS ARE}$$

$$1 - 2x\lambda_1 = 0$$

$$a - 2y\lambda_1 = 0$$

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

$$x + y \geq 0$$

FROM THE FIRST TWO CONDITION WE SEE THAT IN THE SOL
 $x \neq 0 \quad y \neq 0$, THEN

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2x} \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{a}{2y} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2x} = \frac{a}{2y} \rightarrow y = ax$$

REPLACING IN THE FIRST CONSTRAINT

$$x^2 + a^2x^2 = 1 \quad x^2 = \frac{1}{1+a^2} \quad y^2 = \frac{a^2}{1+a^2}$$

$$x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+a^2}} \quad y = \pm \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{1+a^2}}$$

NEGATIVE SOLUTIONS PRODUCE A CONTRADICTION
 INDEED IN SUCH CASE REPLACING A NEGATIVE x (y)
 IN THE FIRST (SECOND) CONDITION WE GET $\lambda_1 < 0$

$$\text{THEN } x = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+a^2}} \quad y = \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{1+a^2}} \quad \lambda_1 = \sqrt{1+a^2} \quad \lambda_2 = 0$$

IS A SOLUTION FOR ALL VALUES OF a

$$3) \lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

FROM THE FIRST CONDITION WE GET

$$\lambda_2 = -1 \quad \text{A CONTRADICTION}$$

$$4) \lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

WT CONDITIONS ARE

$$1 - 2x\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$a - 2y\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

$$x + y = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad y = -x$$

$$x^2 + (-x)^2 = 1 \quad 2x^2 = 1 \quad x^2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad x = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$a) \quad x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{AND} \quad y = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

OR

$$a) \quad x = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{AND} \quad y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

REPLACE a) IN THE FIRST TWO CONDITIONS

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \sqrt{2}\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 &= 0 \\ a + \sqrt{2}\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} 1 - \lambda_1\sqrt{2} &= a + \lambda_1\sqrt{2} \\ \lambda_1 &= \frac{1-a}{2\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \sqrt{2}\lambda_1 - 1 = \frac{1-a}{2} - 1 = -\frac{a}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\lambda_1 > 0 \quad \text{ONLY IF } a < 1 \quad \lambda_2 > 0 \quad \text{ONLY IF } a < -2$$

$$\text{THEN } x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad y = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{1-a}{2\sqrt{2}} \quad \lambda_2 = -a$$

IS A SOLUTION ONLY FOR $a < -2$

REPLACE b) IN THE FIRST TWO CONDITIONS

$$\begin{cases} 1 + \lambda_1 \sqrt{2} + \lambda_2 = 0 \\ a - \lambda_1 \sqrt{2} + \lambda_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$1 + \lambda_1 \sqrt{2} = a - \lambda_1 \sqrt{2}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{a-1}{2\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -1 - \lambda_1 \sqrt{2} = -1 - \frac{a-1}{2} = -\frac{a+1}{2}$$

$$\lambda_1 > 0 \quad \text{ONLY IF } a > 1$$

$$\lambda_2 > 0 \quad \text{ONLY IF } a < -1$$

THEN NO SOLUTION

5

a) CONSTRAINTS ARE LINEAR, THEN CONCAVE
SO KT CONDITIONS ARE NECESSARY

b) THE HESSIAN OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION IS

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

THE LEADING PRINCIPAL MINOR OF ORDER 1 IS EQUAL TO -2
THAT OF ORDER 2 IS

$$|H| = (-2)(-2) - (-1)(-1) = 4 - 1 = 3 > 0$$

FUNCTION IS CONCAVE

CONSTRAINTS ARE LINEAR

KT CONDITIONS ARE SUFFICIENT.

$$c) L = -x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - x_2^2 - \lambda_1(x_1 - 2x_2 + 1) - \lambda_2(2x_1 + x_2 - 2)$$

$$-2x_1 - x_2 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$-2x_2 - x_1 + 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq 0 \quad x_1 - 2x_2 \leq -1 \quad \lambda_1(x_1 - 2x_2 + 1) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2 \quad \lambda_2(2x_1 + x_2 - 2) = 0$$

$$1) \lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$-2x_1 - x_2 = 0$$

$$-2x_2 - x_1 = 0$$

$$x_1 - 2x_2 \leq -1$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2$$

$$\rightarrow x_1 = x_2 = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$$

IS NOT A SOLUTION
BECAUSE DOES NOT SATISFIES
THE THIRD CONDITION

$$2) \lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} -2x_1 - x_2 - \lambda_1 = 0 \\ -2x_2 - x_1 + 2\lambda_1 = 0 \\ x_1 - 2x_2 = -1 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= -2x_1 - x_2 \\ -2x_2 - x_1 - 4x_1 - 2x_2 &= 0 \\ -5x_1 - 4x_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} -5x_1 - 4x_2 = 0 \\ x_1 = 2x_2 - 1 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{aligned} -10x_2 + 5 - 4x_2 &= 0 \\ 14x_2 &= 5 \quad x_2 = \frac{5}{14} \\ x_1 &= 2 \cdot \frac{5}{14} - 1 = -\frac{4}{14} \end{aligned}$$

LAST CONDITION IS SATISFIED

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{8}{14} - \frac{5}{14} = \frac{3}{14}$$

$$x_1 = -\frac{4}{14} \quad x_2 = \frac{5}{14} \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{3}{14} \quad \lambda_2 = 0$$

IS A SOLUTION

$$3) \lambda_1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

$$\begin{cases} -2x_1 - x_2 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \\ -2x_2 - x_1 - \lambda_2 = 0 \\ x_1 - 2x_2 \leq -1 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -2x_2 - x_1$$

$$-2x_1 - x_2 + 4x_2 + 2x_1 = 0 \rightarrow x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 = 1 \quad \lambda_2 = -1 \quad \text{INCONSISTENCY.}$$

$$4) \lambda_1 > 0 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

$$\begin{cases} -2x_1 - x_2 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \\ -2x_2 - x_1 + 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0 \\ x_1 - 2x_2 = -1 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$x_1 = 2x_2 - 1$$

$$4x_2 - 2 + x_2 = 2 \quad 5x_2 = 4 \quad x_2 = \frac{4}{5}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{3}{5}$$

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{3}{5} - \frac{4}{5} - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \\ -\frac{3}{5} - \frac{3}{5} + 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 2\lambda_2 + 2 \\ 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$4\lambda_2 + 4 - \lambda_2 = 1$$

$$5\lambda_2 = -3 \quad \lambda_2 = -\frac{3}{5}$$

AN INCONSISTENCY ARISES