

coefficient to be

$$R = \frac{(\sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \sigma_{21})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sigma_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \text{Corr}(X_1, \alpha' X_2), \quad 0 \leq R \leq 1. \quad (15.8)$$

In the case where

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X}_1: k \times 1, \quad \mathbf{X}: (n-k) \times 1, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

we could define the r.v.'s $Z_1 = \alpha_1' X_1$ and $Z_2 = \alpha_2' X_2$ whose correlation coefficient is

$$\text{Corr}(Z_1, Z_2) = \frac{\alpha_1' \Sigma_{12} \alpha_2}{(\alpha_1' \Sigma_{11} \alpha_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_2' \Sigma_{22} \alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (15.9)$$

From the above inequality it follows that for $\alpha_2 = \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \alpha_1$

$$C_{12} = \frac{(\alpha_1' \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \alpha_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\alpha_1' \Sigma_{11} \alpha_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \text{Corr}(Z_1, Z_2). \quad (15.10)$$

$\Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}$ has at most k non-zero eigenvalues which measure the association between X_1 and X_2 and are called *canonical correlations*.

Let

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } \mathbf{X}_3: (n-2) \times 1$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Another form of correlation of interest in this context is the correlation between X_1 and X_2 given that the effect of X_3 is taken away. For this we form the r.v.'s

$$Y_1 = X_1 - \mathbf{b}_1' \mathbf{X}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_2 = X_2 - \mathbf{b}_2' \mathbf{X}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Corr}(Y_1, Y_2)$$

is maximised by $\mathbf{b}_1 = \Sigma_{33}^{-1} \sigma_{31}$ and $\mathbf{b}_2 = \Sigma_{33}^{-1} \sigma_{32}$ as seen above. Hence we define the *partial correlation coefficient* between X_1 and X_2 given X_3 to be

$$\rho_{12.3} = \frac{\sigma_{12} - \sigma_{13} \Sigma_{33}^{-1} \sigma_{32}}{[\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{13} \Sigma_{33}^{-1} \sigma_{31}]^{\frac{1}{2}} [\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{23} \Sigma_{33}^{-1} \sigma_{32}]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \text{Corr}(Y_1, Y_2). \quad (15.11)$$

15.2 The multivariate normal distribution

The univariate normal density function discussed above was of the form

$$f(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right\}. \quad (15.12)$$

The density function of $\mathbf{X} \equiv (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)'$ when the X_i 's are IID normally distributed r.v.'s was shown to be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}; \mu, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} (\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15.13)$$

Similarly, the density function of \mathbf{X} when the X_i 's are only independent, i.e. $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} (\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \dots \sigma_n^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_i}\right)^2\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15.14)$$

Comparing the above three density functions we can discern a pattern developing which is very suggestive for the density function of an arbitrary normal vector \mathbf{X} with $E(\mathbf{X}) = \mu$ and $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}) = \Sigma$, which takes the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \mu, \Sigma) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (\det \Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu)\right\}, \quad (15.15)$$

and we write $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$. If the X_i 's are IID r.v.'s $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n$ and $(\det \Sigma) = (\sigma^2)^n$. On the other hand, if the X_i 's are independent but not identically distributed

$$\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2) \quad \text{and} \quad (\det \Sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i^2) = (\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \dots \sigma_n^2).$$

In the case of $n = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \\ \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \rho = \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}, \\ \Rightarrow (\det \Sigma) &= \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho^2) > 0 \quad \text{for } -1 < \rho < 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{(1-\rho^2)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\rho \\ -\rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (15.16)$$

Thus the bivariate normal density function is

$$f(x_1, x_2; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{[\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{(1-\rho^2)^{-1}}{2} \times \left[\left(\frac{x_1-\mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^2 - 2\rho \left(\frac{x_1-\mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right) \left(\frac{x_2-\mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right) + \left(\frac{x_2-\mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \quad (15.17)$$

(see Chapter 6). The standard bivariate density function can be obtained by defining the new r.v.'s

$$Z_i = \left(\frac{X_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_i} \right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

whose density function is

$$f(z_1, z_2; \rho) = \frac{(1-\rho^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(1-\rho^2)^{-1}}{2} (z_1^2 - 2\rho z_1 z_2 + z_2^2) \right\}. \quad (15.18)$$

(1) Properties

(N1) Let $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ then $Y = (AX + b) \sim N(A\mu + b, A\Sigma A')$ for $A: m \times n$ and $b: m \times 1$ constant matrices, e.g. if $Y = cX, c \neq 0, Y \sim N(c\mu, c^2\Sigma)$.

This property shows that if X is normally distributed then any linear function of X is also normally distributed.

(N2) Let $X_t \sim N(\mu_t, \Sigma_t), t = 1, 2, \dots, T$, be independently distributed random vectors, then for any arbitrary constant matrices $A_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, T$,

$$\left(\sum_{t=1}^T A_t X_t \right) \sim N \left(\sum_{t=1}^T A_t \mu_t, \sum_{t=1}^T (A_t \Sigma_t A_t') \right).$$

The converse also holds. If the X_t 's are IID then $\mu_t = \mu, \Sigma_t = \Sigma, t = 1, 2, \dots, T$, and

$$\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X_t \right) \sim N \left(\mu, \frac{1}{T} \Sigma \right).$$

(N3) Let $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ then the X_i 's are independent if and only if $\sigma_{ij} = 0, i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, i.e. $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_{11}, \dots, \sigma_{nn})$. In general, zero covariance does not imply independence but in the case of normality the two are equivalent.

(N4) If $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ then the marginal distribution of any $k \times 1$ subset X_1 where

$$X \equiv \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

$X_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \Sigma_{11})$. This follows from property N1 for $A = (I_k; 0)$, ($k \times n$), $b = 0$. Similarly, $X_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \Sigma_{22})$. These can be verified directly using

$$f(x_1; \theta_1) = \int f(x; \theta) dx_2 \quad \text{and} \quad f(x_2; \theta_2) = \int f(x; \theta) dx_1,$$

although the manipulations involved are rather too cumbersome. Taking $k=1$, this property implies that each component of $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ is also normally distributed; the converse, however, is not true.

(N5) For the same partition of X considered in N4 the conditional distribution of X_1 given X_2 takes the form

$$(X_1/X_2), \quad N(\mu_1 + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(X_2 - \mu_2), \quad \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}).$$

This follows from property N1 for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & -\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1} \\ 0 & I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad b = 0 \quad (15.19)$$

since

$$AX = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}X_2 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Cov}(AX) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15.20)$$

From this we can deduce that if $\Sigma_{12} = 0$ then X_1 and X_2 are independent since $(X_1/X_2) \sim N(\mu_1, \Sigma_{11})$. Moreover, for any $\Sigma_{12}, (X_1 - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}X_2)$ and X_2 are independent given that their covariance is zero. Similarly, $(X_2/X_1) \sim N(\mu_2 + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(X_1 - \mu_1), \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})$. In the case $n=2$

$$(X_1/X_2) \sim N \left(\mu_1 + \rho \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} (X_2 - \mu_2), \sigma_1^2(1-\rho^2) \right). \quad (15.21)$$

These results can be verified using the formula

$$f(x_1/x_2; \phi) = \frac{f(x; \theta)}{f(x_2; \theta_2)}. \quad (15.22)$$