## Problem set 6

Three oligopolists operate in a market with inverse demand function given by P(Q) = a - Q where Q = q<sub>1</sub> + q<sub>2</sub> + q<sub>3</sub> and q<sub>i</sub> is the quantity produced by firm i. Each firm has constant marginal cost of production, c, and no fixed cost. The firms choose their quantities as follows: (1) firm 1 chooses q<sub>1</sub> > 0; (2) firms 2 and 3 observe q<sub>1</sub> and then simultaneously choose q<sub>2</sub> and q<sub>3</sub>. Find the subgame perfect outcome.

Solution

The problem of firm 3 is

$$max_{q_3}(a-q_1-q_2-q_3)q_3-cq_3$$

The FOC is:

$$(a - q_1 - q_2 - 2q_3) - c = 0$$

The reaction function of firm 3 is:

$$q_3 = \frac{(a - q_1 - q_2 - c)}{2}$$

Similarly the reaction function (best responses) of firm 2 is:

$$q_2 = \frac{(a - q_1 - q_3 - c)}{2}$$

Using symmetry ( $q_2 = q_3$ ) we find that the Nash equilibrium of the simultaneous game between firms 2 and 3 is:

$$q_2 = q_3 = \frac{(a - q_1 - c)}{3}$$

We go to find the optimal behaviour of firm 1.

$$max_{q_1}(a - q_1 - q_2 - q_3)q_1 - cq_1$$

But given that Firm 1 anticipates the behaviour of firms 2 and 3 its problem is:

$$max_{q_1} \frac{a-q_1-c}{3}q_1$$

The FOC is

$$\frac{a-2q_1-c}{3} = 0$$

Then the optimal choice for firm 1 is:

$$q_1 = \frac{a-c}{2}$$

Replacing  $q_1$  in the solution of the subgame between firms 2 and 3 we have

$$q_2 = q_3 = \frac{a-c}{6}$$

The backward induction outcome is:

$$q_1 = \frac{a-c}{2} \ q_2 = q_3 = \frac{a-c}{6}$$

2) Consider the following normal form game where Player 1 chooses the row (either T or B), Player 2 chooses the column (either r or I), Player 3 chooses the table (either R or L)



- a) find all Nash equilibria in pure strategies
- b) assume that player 1 moves first, then player 2 and finally player 3; every player, before to play, observes the choices of the predecessors.
  - a. Represent the game using the extensive form
  - b. Find all subgame perfect Nash equilibria
- c) Assume that player 3 is not able to see the choice of player 2
  - a. Represent the game using the extensive form
  - b. Find all subgame perfect Nash equilibria

Solution

a)

	Player 3				
		L		R	
	Play	Player 2		Player 2	
	I	r	I	r	
Player 1	T <u>1, 1, 1</u>	<u>0</u> , 0, <u>0</u>	<u>0</u> , <u>0</u> , 0	0, <u>0</u> , <u>0</u>	
	В 0, <u>0</u> , <u>0</u>	<u>0</u> , <u>0</u> , 0	<u>0</u> , 0, <u>0</u>	<u>4, 4, 4</u>	

Two Nash equilibria: (T, I, L) (B, r, R)

## b) Extensive form representation



We use backward induction (in bold the best responses)



Backward induction outcome: Player 1 plays B, Player 2 plays r, Player 3 plays R

Subgame perfect Nash equilibria

ii.	{(B), (l, r), (L, L, R, R)}
iii.	{(B), (l, r), (L, R, L, R)}
iv.	{(B), (l, r), (L, R, R, R)}

d) Extensive form representation



There are 3 subgames: the whole game, the game between players 2 and 3 after T, the game between players 2 and 3 after B

After T the subgame is:

Two Nash equilibria: {I, L} and {r, R}

After L the subgame is:

Two Nash equilibria: {I, L} and {r, R}

We have to look for the best choices of player 1 for each possible combination of Nash equilibria in the two subgames between players 2 and 3

- 1) {(T), (I, I), (L, L)}
- 2) {(L), (l, r), (L, R)}
- 3) {(T), (r, l), (R, L)}
- 4) {(L), (r, l), (R, L)}
- 5) {(L), (r, r), (R, R)}

- 3) Three periods sequential bargaining. Two players, 1 and 2, are bargaining over \$1 using the following bargaining procedure (alternating offers):
  <u>Period 1:</u> Player 1 proposes to take a share s1 of the dollar, leaving 1 s1 for player 2; Player 2 either accepts (game ends) or rejects (Play goes to period 2)
  <u>Period 2:</u> Player 2 proposes a share s2 of the dollar for player 1, leaving 1 s2 for player 2; Player 1 either accepts (game ends) or rejects (Play goes to period 3)
  <u>Period 3:</u> Player 1 receives a share s of the dollar, player 2 receives 1 s.
  Players discount future payoffs by factor δ per period, 0 < δ < 1.</li>
  Find the backward induction outcome and describe the subgame perfect Nash equilibrium
  - The problem of player 1 in period 2 is a choice between
    - to have s2 immediately or
    - s one period later.

The best response of Player 1 is to accept s2

if  $s2 \ge \delta s$ , otherwise reject ( $s2 < \delta s$ )

- The problem of Player 2 in period 2 is a choice between:
  - to offer s2 =  $\delta$ s (player 1 accepts) and receive immediately 1  $\delta$ s or
  - to offer less (player 1 rejects) and receive 1 s one period later

The best response of Player 2 is to propose

s2 =  $\delta$ s, because 1 –  $\delta$ s >  $\delta$  (1 – s)

- The problem of player 2 in period 1 is a choice between:
  - To accept s1 and receive 1 s1 immediately
  - To reject and receive  $(1 \delta s)$  one period later

The best response of Player 2 in period 1 is to accept s1 if and only if  $1 - s1 \ge \delta(1 - \delta s)$ ,

i.e.  $s1 \le 1 - \delta(1 - \delta s)$ 

- The problem of Player 1 in period 1 is a choice between:
  - To offer s1 = 1  $\delta(1 \delta s)$  (player 2 accepts) and receive 1  $\delta(1 \delta s)$  immediately
  - To offer less (player 2 rejects) and receive  $\delta s$  one period later

The best response of Player 1 in period 1 is to propose  $s1 = 1 - \delta(1 - \delta s)$  because

 $1 - \delta(1 - \delta s) > \delta^2 s$ 

4) Tariffs and imperfect international competition. There are two identical countries denoted by i = 1, 2. One homogeneous good is produced in each country by a firm, firm *i* in country *i*. A share  $h_i$  of this product is sold in the home market and a share  $e_i$  is exported in the other country. Governments choose tariffs, i.e. a tax on the import. Government of country *i* chooses tariff  $t_i$ 

In country i the inverse demand function is  $P_i(Q_i) = a - Q_i$  where  $Q_i = h_i + e_i$ .

The firm's payoff (profits) is  $\pi_i = [a - h_i - e_j]h_i + [a - h_j - e_i]e_i - c[h_i + e_i] - t_j e_i$  where c>0 is the marginal cost. The government's payoff is  $W_i = 0.5 Q_i^2 + \pi_i + t_i e_j$ 

Timing: Governments simultaneously choose tariffs  $(t_1, t_2)$ ; Firms observe  $(t_1, t_2)$  and simultaneously choose quantities  $(h_1, e_1) (h_2, e_2)$ .

Find the backward induction outcome and describe the subgame perfect Nash equilibrium

(Hint: suppose that governments have chosen tariffs  $(t_1, t_2)$  and find the optimal behaviour of firms as function of  $(t_1, t_2)$ . Assume that governments correctly predict the optimal behaviour of firms for each possible combination of  $(t_1, t_2)$  and find the optimal tariff rates)

We suppose that governments have chosen tariffs  $(t_1, t_2)$  and we find the optimal behaviour of firms as function of  $(t_1, t_2)$ .

```
Max (h1, e1) 7/1
where
\pi_1 = [a - h_1 - e_2]h_1 + [a - h_2 - e_1]e_1 - c[h_1 + e_1] - t_2 e_1
Firm 1's FOCs:
[a - 2h_1 - e_2] - c = 0
[a - h_2 - 2e_1] - c - t_2 = 0
\rightarrow
h_1 = (a - e_2 - c) / 2
e_1 = (a - h_2 - c - t_2)/2
For Firm 2:
\mathsf{Max}_{(h2, e2)} \pi_2
where
\pi_2 = [a - h_2 - e_1]h_2 + [a - h_1 - e_2]e_2 - c[h_2 + e_2] - t_1 e_2
Firm 2's FOCs:
[a - 2h_2 - e_1] - c = 0
[a - h_1 - 2e_2] - c - t_1 = 0
\rightarrow
h_2 = (a - e_1 - c) / 2
```

We have to solve a system of 4 equations in 4 unknowns:

 $e_2 = (a - h_1 - c - t_1)/2$ 

1. 
$$h_1 = (a - e_2 - c) / 2$$
  
2.  $e_1 = (a - h_2 - c - t_2) / 2$ 

3.  $h_2 = (a - e_1 - c)/2$ 4.  $e_2 = (a - h_1 - c - t_1)/2$ 

Solutions:

1. 
$$h_1^* = (a - c + t_1)/3$$
  
2.  $e_1^* = (a - c - 2t_2)/3$   
3.  $h_2^* = (a - c + t_2)/3$   
4.  $e_2^* = (a - c - 2t_1)/3$ 

We assume that governments correctly predict the optimal behaviour of firms for each possible combination of  $(t_1, t_2)$  and we find the optimal tariff rates.

The problem of country 1's government is: Max  $_{(t1)} W_1 = 0.5 (Q_1^*)^2 + \pi_1^* + t_1 e_1^*$ 

where  

$$Q_1^* = h_1^* + e_2^* = (a - c + t_1) / 3 + (a - c - 2t_1) / 3$$

$$= (2a - 2c - t_1) / 3$$

$$\pi_1^* = [a - h_1^* - e_2^*] h_1^* + [a - h_2^* - e_1^*] e_1^* - c[h_1^* + e_1^*] - t_2 e_1^*$$

Using algebra:  $W_1 = (2 (a - c) - t_1)^2 / 18 + (a - c + t_1)^2 / 9 + (a - c - 2t_2)^2 / 9 + t_1 (a - c - 2t_1) / 3$ 

Similarly we can write the problem of country 2's government We compute the governments' FOCs and we find:  $t_1^* = (a - c)/3$   $t_2^* = (a - c)/3$ 

Then Firm 1 will produce:  $h_1^* = 4(a - c)/9$   $e_1^* = (a - c)/9$ Firm 2 will produce:  $h_2^* = 4(a - c)/9$   $e_2^* = (a - c)/9$ 

Backward Induction outcome

 $t_1^* = (a - c)/3$   $t_2^* = (a - c)/3$  $h_1^* = 4(a - c)/9$   $e_1^* = (a - c)/9$  $h_2^* = 4(a - c)/9$   $e_2^* = (a - c)/9$ 

```
Subgame Perfect Nash Equilibrium (SPNE):
Note:
One info set for governments
infinite number of info set for firms, i.e. each possible combination of t_1 t_2
t_1^* = (a - c)/3 t_2^* = (a - c)/3
h_1^* = (a - c + t_1) / 3
e_1^*=(a-c-2t_2)/3
h_2^* = (a - c + t_2) / 3
e_2^* = (a - c - 2t_1) / 3
```