Electrons in a weak periodic potential

- 2

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト





General approach to the Schrödinger equation



2 Energy levels near a single Bragg plane



Brillouin zones

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

General approach to the Schrödinger equation

Energy levels near a single Bragg plane



- **4 A**

Electrons in a weak periodic potential

Applicability

Motivation

- Appropriate assumption for metals of I–IV groups
 - s and p electrons outside a noble gas closed-shell configuration
 - nearly free-electron metals
- Justification:
 - valence electrons are excluded (Pauli exclusion principle) from the core region
 - electron's mobility screen the field due to the ionic cores

Case of a weak periodic potential

Summary: wave function of a Bloch level

• Expand the Block wave function in plane waves:

$$\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{K}} c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}} e^{i(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K})\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}$$

• sum over all K

• Coefficients c_{k-K} and energy ε determined by

$$[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K})^2-\varepsilon]c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}}+\sum_{\boldsymbol{K}'}U_{\boldsymbol{K}'-\boldsymbol{K}}c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}'}=0$$

• For fixed **k** there is an equation for every **K**

< □ > < ---->

Case of a weak periodic potential

Free electron case

• $U_{\boldsymbol{K}} = 0$, $\forall \boldsymbol{K}$, therefore:

$$(\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}-\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}=0\Longrightarrow\begin{cases}\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}=&0\\\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}-\varepsilon=&0\end{cases}$$

•
$$\varepsilon_q^0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}q^2$$

• We have two possibilities:

- $\exists ! \mathbf{K}$ such that $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}}^0 = \varepsilon$
- \exists several K, K_1, \ldots, K_m such that $\varepsilon^0_{k-K_i} = \varepsilon$

Case of a weak periodic potential

Free electron case

- $\exists ! \mathbf{K}$ such that $\varepsilon^0_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}} = \varepsilon \Longrightarrow \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \propto e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K})\cdot\mathbf{r}}$
 - free electron solutions
- \exists several K, K_1, \ldots, K_m such that $\varepsilon^0_{k-K_i} = \varepsilon$
 - *m* independent plane-wave solutions: $e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_i)\cdot\mathbf{r}}$, i = 1, 2, ..., m
 - complete freedom in chosing the coefficients $c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_i}$, $i=1,2,\ldots,m$
- Two similar situations arise when considering a very weak potential U

Case of a weak periodic potential

Non-degenerate case

• Fix \boldsymbol{k} and $\boldsymbol{K}=\boldsymbol{K}_1$ such that $\forall \boldsymbol{K} \neq \boldsymbol{K}_1$

$$|\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{1}}^{0}-\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}}^{0}|>>U$$

• Investigate the effect on the corresponding free-electron level $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_1}^0$, $\psi_{\mathbf{k}} \propto e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_1)\cdot\mathbf{r}}$



Case of a weak periodic potential

Non-degenerate case

• Put $\boldsymbol{K} = \boldsymbol{K}_1$:

$$(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_1}^0) c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_1} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{K}} U_{\boldsymbol{K}-\boldsymbol{K}_1} c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_1}$$

- $\mathbf{K} \neq \mathbf{K}_1$ on the r.h.s. $(U_0 = 0)$
- for $\mathbf{K} \neq \mathbf{K}_1$, $c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}} \rightarrow 0$ when $U \rightarrow 0$

• Explicitly:

$$c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}} = \frac{U_{\boldsymbol{K}_1-\boldsymbol{K}}c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_1}}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}}^0} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{K}' \neq \boldsymbol{K}_1} \frac{U_{\boldsymbol{K}'-\boldsymbol{K}}c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}}}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}}^0}$$

3

Case of a weak periodic potential

Non-degenerate case

• Therefore, in case of no degeneracy, for $\mathbf{K} \neq \mathbf{K}_1$:

$$c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}} = \frac{U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_1-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_1}}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^0} + O(U^2)$$

• The Eq. for $c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_1}$ becomes:

$$(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}}^{0})c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \frac{U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}}U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}}c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}} + O(U^{3})$$

• Finally, to order U^2 :

$$\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}}^{0} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \frac{U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}}U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}}{\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}}^{0} - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}} + O(U^{3})$$

Case of a weak periodic potential

Non-degenerate case

$$\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}}^{0} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \frac{U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}}U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}}{\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}}^{0} - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}} + O(U^{3})$$

- Weakly perturbed non-degenerate bands repel each-other
- The perturbation is of order U^2 , hence very small
- To order U only nearly-degenerate levels are affected

Case of a weak periodic potential

Degenerate case: $|\varepsilon^0_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_i} - \varepsilon^0_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_i}| \sim O(U)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$

•
$$\forall \mathbf{K} \neq \mathbf{K}_i, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$|\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{i}}^{0}-\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}|>>U$$

- Coefficients c_{k-K_i} do not necessarily vanish in the limit $U \rightarrow 0$
- For all other coefficients $c_{k-K} \rightarrow 0$
- For $c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_i}$, $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ we can write:

$$(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{i}}^{0})c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} U_{\boldsymbol{K}_{j}-\boldsymbol{K}_{i}}c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{j}} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{K}\neq\boldsymbol{K}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{K}_{m}} U_{\boldsymbol{K}-\boldsymbol{K}_{i}}c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{i}}$$

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Case of a weak periodic potential

Degenerate case: $|\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{i}}^{0}-\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{i}}^{0}|\sim O(U), \ i,j=1,\ldots,m$

•
$$\forall \mathbf{K} \neq \mathbf{K}_i, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} U_{\boldsymbol{K}_{j}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}} c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{j}} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}' \neq \boldsymbol{K}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{K}_{m}} U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}'-\boldsymbol{\kappa}} c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}'} \right)$$

• Since $c_{k-K} \sim O(U)$ we have:

$$c_{m{k}-m{\kappa}} = rac{1}{arepsilon-arepsilon_{m{k}-m{\kappa}}^0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m U_{m{\kappa}_j-m{\kappa}} c_{m{k}-m{\kappa}_j}
ight) + O(U^2)$$

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Case of a weak periodic potential

Degenerate case: $|\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{i}}^{0}-\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{i}}^{0}|\sim O(U),\ i,j=1,\ldots,m$

• Putting everything together:

$$(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_{i}}^{0})c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} U_{\mathbf{K}_{j}-\mathbf{K}_{i}}c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_{j}}$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{K}\neq\mathbf{K}_{1},...,\mathbf{K}_{m}} \frac{U_{\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{K}_{i}}U_{\mathbf{K}_{j}-\mathbf{K}}}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}}^{0}}\right)c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_{j}} + O(U^{3})$$

• For leading order corrections in U we have:

$$(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{i}}^{0})c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{j}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{i}}c_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{j}}$$





- ∢ ศ⊒ ▶

Degenerate case with m = 2

Mathematical treatment

• For m = 2 the equations (to leading order U) are

$$\begin{cases} (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_1}^0) c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_1} &= U_{\mathbf{K}_2-\mathbf{K}_1} c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_2} \\ (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_2}^0) c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_2} &= U_{\mathbf{K}_1-\mathbf{K}_2} c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_1} \end{cases}$$

• Put
$$q = k - K_1$$
, $K = K_2 - K_1$:

$$\begin{cases} (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}}^{0}) c_{\mathbf{q}} &= U_{\mathbf{K}} c_{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{K}} \\ (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{K}}^{0}) c_{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{K}} &= U_{-\mathbf{K}} c_{\mathbf{q}} = U_{\mathbf{K}}^{*} c_{\mathbf{q}} \end{cases}$$

• With the assumption that $\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^0 \sim \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{K}}^0$, $|\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^0 - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{K}'}^0| >> U$ for $\boldsymbol{K}' \neq \boldsymbol{K}$, $\boldsymbol{0}$

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Degenerate case with m = 2

Mathematical treatment

- ε_q⁰ = ε_{q-K}⁰ ⇒ |q| = |q K|:
 q must lie on a Bragg plane
 If ε_q⁰ = ε_{q-K'}⁰ only for K' = K:
 q must lie only on this Bragg plane
- Therefore **q** is close to only one Bragg plane



(a) \boldsymbol{q} lie in the Bragg plane determined by \boldsymbol{K}

(b) $\boldsymbol{q} - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{K}$ is parallel to the plane

Degenerate case with m = 2

Mathematical treatment

- For two nearly degenerate levels, the electron's wave vector (nearly) satisfy the condition for a single Bragg scattering
- For *m* nearly degenerate levels, *q* lies nearby the intersection with *m* different Bragg planes
- As a general rule:
 - a weak periodic potential has its major effects on only those free electron levels whose wave vectors are close to ones at which Bragg reflections can occur

Degenerate case with m = 2

Mathematical treatment

• The linear system has non-trivial solutions only if:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{0} & -U_{\boldsymbol{K}} \\ -U_{\boldsymbol{K}}^{*} & \varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{K}}^{0} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

• Leading to a quadratic equation

$$(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{0})(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}) - |U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}|^{2} = 0$$

• With roots:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{0} + \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}) \pm \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{0} - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^{0}}{2} \right)^{2} + |U_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}|^{2} \right]^{1/2}$$

Degenerate case with m = 2

Mathematical treatment

• For \boldsymbol{q} on the Bragg plane, the two roots are $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^0 \pm |U_{\boldsymbol{K}}|^2$

• Also
$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{m} (\boldsymbol{q} - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{K})$$

- the gradient is parallel to the plane
- $\, \bullet \,$ constant energy surfaces at Bragg's planes are \perp to the plane



plot of the two roots for ${\pmb q}$ parallel to ${\pmb K}$

Daniele Toffoli

Degenerate case with m = 2

Mathematical treatment

For *q* on the Bragg plane c_q = ±sgn(U_K)c_{q-K}
If U_K > 0:

$$\begin{cases} |\psi(\boldsymbol{r})|^2 \propto (\cos\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{K}\cdot\boldsymbol{r})^2 & \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^0 + |U_{\boldsymbol{K}}| \\ |\psi(\boldsymbol{r})|^2 \propto (\sin\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{K}\cdot\boldsymbol{r})^2 & \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^0 - |U_{\boldsymbol{K}}| \end{cases}$$

• If $U_{K} < 0$:

$$\begin{cases} |\psi(\boldsymbol{r})|^2 \propto (\sin \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{K} \cdot \boldsymbol{r})^2 & \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^0 + |U_{\boldsymbol{K}}| \\ |\psi(\boldsymbol{r})|^2 \propto (\cos \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{K} \cdot \boldsymbol{r})^2 & \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{q}}^0 - |U_{\boldsymbol{K}}| \end{cases}$$

p-like solutions: |ψ(*r*)|² ∝ (sin ½*K* · *r*)²
 s-like solutions: |ψ(*r*)|² ∝ (cos ½*K* · *r*)²

Degenerate case with m = 2

Energy bands in one dimension

- Free electron case: electronic levels are a parabola in k
 - limit of no interaction
 - to O(U) the levels are correct in the presence of U, except near Bragg points
- Nearby the point $\frac{1}{2}K$ the degeneracy is split by $2U_K$
 - the slope of the two curves must be zero at $\frac{1}{2}K$
 - the free electron curve is modified as Fig. (d)
- Extended-zone scheme: repeat the procedure near every Bragg point
 - for the corresponding Fourier component (Fig. (e))
 - emphasises continuity with the free electron levels
- Reduced-zone scheme: all levels are specified by $k \in 1$ st BZ
 - translate all pieces through the appropriate K to stay in the 1st BZ (Fig. (f))
- Repeated-zone scheme: shows the periodicity of labelling in *k*-space

Energy levels near a single Bragg plane

Degenerate case with m = 2

Energy bands in one dimension



Degenerate case with m > 2

Energy-wave-vector curves in three dimensions

- Plot ε vs **k** along straight lines in k-space
 - Uses a reduced-zone scheme
 - Most curves are highly degenerate
 - Introduction of a periodic potential lowers the degeneracy
 - Very complex curves also in the free-electron approximation



free-electron energy levels for a fcc Bravais lattice

General approach to the Schrödinger equation

Energy levels near a single Bragg plane



3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Procedures used to draw the Fermi surface

Drawing the Fermi surface

- Draw the free-electron Fermi sphere centered at ${m k}=0$
- It will be deformed in a simple manner when it crosses Bragg planes
 - $\bullet\,$ constant energy surfaces are \perp to Bragg planes
- Consider the effect of all Bragg planes intersecting the sphere
 - fractured sphere in the extended-zone scheme



deformation of the free-electron sphere near the Bragg plane when $U_{\pmb{k}} \neq 0$

Procedures used to draw the Fermi surface

Drawing the Fermi surface

- Similar construction with spheres centered on each lattice point to get the repeated-zone scheme
- Translate all pieces back into the first zone to get the surface in the reduced-zone scheme



deformation of the free-electron sphere near the Bragg plane when $U_{\pmb{k}} \neq 0$

Procedures used to draw the Fermi surface

Brillouin zones

- All are set of points in *k*-space that can be reached:
 - 1st BZ: from the origin without crossing any Bragg plane
 - 2nd BZ: from the 1st BZ crossing one Bragg plane
 - n + 1 BZ: from the *n* BZ crossing one Bragg plane (not in the n 1 BZ)
- Each BZ is a primitive cell



BZs for a 2D square Bravais lattice of sice b. Only BZs 1 2 3 are entirely contained in the square of side 2b

Daniele Toffoli

Branches of the Fermi surface in the repeated-zone scheme

- Draw the free-electron Fermi sphere
- Deform it in the vicinity of every Bragg plane
- Translate the portion within the n-th BZ through all K
 - Branch of the Fermi surface assigned to the *n*th band



free-electron Fermi sphere for a fcc metal of valence 4. (c) 2nd zone Fermi surface.

(d) 3rd zone Fermi surface. (e) 4th zone Fermi surface.

Daniele Toffoli

January 13, 2017 29 / 30

Image: A math a math

Geometrical structure factor

Monoatomic lattice with a basis

- For a basis of identical ions at positions d_j : $U(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \sum_j \phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - d_j)$:
 - atomic potentials $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ centered at the ions positions
- Then we have:

$$U_{\mathbf{K}} = \frac{1}{v} \int_{C} d\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}} U(\mathbf{r})$$

$$= \frac{1}{v} \int_{V} d\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \sum_{j} \phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{d}_{j})$$

$$= \frac{1}{v} \phi(\mathbf{K}) S_{\mathbf{K}}^{*}$$

• If $S_{\mathbf{K}} = 0 \Longrightarrow U_{\mathbf{K}} = 0$

• lowest-order splitting of free-electron levels disappears

January 13, 2017 30 / 30

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日