

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Enhancer, epigenetics, and human disease Zhuojuan Luo $¹$ and Chengqi Lin^{1,2}</sup>

Enhancers encode a huge body of information to determine the precise tissue specific gene expression pattern during normal development. Nowadays, enhancers are also considered as key players in directing disease transcriptional program during pathogenesis. New genomic technologies allow the identification, functional characterization and manipulation of enhancers. The advances in the transcriptional enhancer field hold great promise in linking developmental or disease phenotypes to genetic variants and promoting precision medicine.

Addresses

¹ Transcriptional Control in Development and Disease Laboratory, A*STAR Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, 61 Biopolis Drive Proteos, Singapore 138673, Singapore

² Institute of Life Sciences, the Key Laboratory of Developmental Genes and Human Disease, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

Corresponding author: Lin, Chengqi (cqlin@imcb.a-star.edu.sg)

Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 2016, 36:27–33

This review comes from a themed issue on **Cancer genomics**

Edited by Luciano Di Croce and Ali Shilatifard

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2016.03.012

0959-437/ @ 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Enhancers are regulatory DNA sequences widely dispersed throughout the genomes. The first discovery of promoter activation by remote *cis*-DNA element was made by Schaffner and colleagues in 1981 $[1^{\bullet\bullet}]$. The small piece of 'enhancer' was demonstrated to be functional in gene activation even located thousands of base pairs away from either side of the transcription start site (TSS), irrespective of its orientation $[1^{\bullet\bullet},2,3]$. By conventional genetics and biochemistry screening approaches, this type of cis-regulatory element was later also found in eukaryotic genomes to determine when and where a gene should be turned on $[4^{\bullet\bullet},5^{\bullet\bullet},6]$. Based on these observations, enhancers are transcriptional factor binding regions distal to TSSs but able to remotely stimulate target gene expression in a spatiotemporal specific manner.

Precise regulation of spatiotemporal gene expression patterns by enhancers during development endows metazoans with diverse functional cell types to complete

life cycle. Owing to rapidly developing human cancer and diseases genomics, it has been gradually realized that aberrant gene expression led by faulty enhancer function is one of the main drivers in the pathogenesis of diseases including cancers. Here we give an overview about enhancer associated chromatin signatures and the machineries involved in the dynamic deposition, conversion or removal of these chromatin modifications. We discuss current understanding about the functional modes of enhancer bound factors in mediating enhancer-promoter communication. We also describe recent advances in allele specific enhancers and their implications in therapeutic decision making.

Chromatin signatures of enhancers

DNA at enhancers, as at other cis-regulatory elements including promoters, is depleted of nucleosomes and hypersensitive to DNase treatment [7]. Recent genome wide studies using the powerful next generation sequencing (NGS) platform have revealed that nucleosomes binding to enhancer flanking regions usually bear certain chromatin modification signatures. Histone H3 lysine 4 monomethylation (H3K4me1) is typically enriched in the vicinity of enhancers, whereas H3K4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) is predominantly high in the surrounding regions of active promoters [8]. However, the two histone modification marks are not mutually exclusive in the genome. H3K4me3 is also detectable over the enhancer regions, and its level was found to correlate with enhancer activity [9,10]. Therefore, a local ratio of H3K4me1 to H3K4me3 serves as a more reliable indicator in enhancer prediction. Additionally, active enhancers and promoters are also occupied by H3K27 acetylation (H3K27ac) [11[•],12[•]]. H3K27 trimethylation (H3K27me3), which cannot coexist with H3K27ac on the same histone H3, labels the repressed or poised regulatory regions $[12^{\bullet}]$. Many other histone modifications, including acetylation on Histone H3 lysine 9 or 18, and phosphorylation on Histone H3 serine 10 or 28, have been also demonstrated to be associated with enhancers [13–15].

Chromatin modifiers of enhancers

Genes primed for future activation will be initially bookmarked by pioneer transcription factors at the nucleosomeoccluded enhancer regions [16,17]. Pioneer factors, through recruiting nucleosome remodelers, can locally create nucleosome free region (NFR), decompact chromatin and facilitate the sequential binding of other transcriptional regulators, including various epigenetic machineries [16]. Distinct chromatin signatures of enhancers are established through interplay among these epigenetic machineries. Trr or MLL3/4 complexes in complex proteins associated with set1 (COMPASS)-like family are required for the maintenance of H3K4me1 at majority of enhancers [18–20]. The unique subunit of Trr or MLL3/4 complexes, the H3K27 demethylase UTX, may be involved in the removal of the inactive enhancer mark H3K27me3, which is deposited by Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) via the methyltransferase activity of EZH2 [18,21,22]. The transition from poised enhancer to active enhancer also requires the histone acetyltransferases CBP and p300 to set up H3K27ac surrounding the enhancer element [8,21,23]. The H3K4 demethylase KDM1A, also known as LSD1, functions possibly together with the nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylation (NuRD) complex in removing H3K4me1 and H3K27ac and decommissioning enhancers during stem cell differentiation [24,25]. Genes encoding the histone modifiers are frequently mutated in various types of cancers, which has been reviewed elsewhere [26–29].

Histone modifications catalyzed by various epigenetic modifiers reflect the influence of these transcriptional regulation related modifiers on local chromatin environment and gene activity. However, most of the modifiers are able to act on multiple substrates, including both histone and non-histone proteins, and also involved in other cellular processes [30]. For example, protein stability and transcriptional activity of the tumor suppressor p53 are dynamically regulated through its methylation turnover controlled by these modifiers including LSD1 [31]. Therefore, the contribution from the histone modifications per se on enhancer activity and transcription in metazoans is not well understood from genetic manipulation of the histone modifiers. In addition, major histones are encoded by multiple copy genes in most organisms. It is technically challenging to replace all the wide type copies with the modification target residue mutants.

Inspired by the discovery that single allele mutation of Histone H3 lysine 27 to methionine (H3K27M) in human diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs) can inhibit H3K27 methylation *in vivo* [32], point mutation of the modification target residue on histone proteins has been recently employed to investigate the function of histone modifications [33]. Intriguingly, these histone mutants were found to mainly affect the activity of histone modifiers [32–34]. Furthermore, interpreting the data obtained from histone lysine to methionine mutants remains challenging as some of the residues, such as lysine 4 and lysine 9 of Histone H3, are target residues of multiple modifications, including mono-methylation, di-methylation and tri-methylation.

Enhancer–promoter communication

Current researches based on chromatin conformation capture derived assays and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) favor the model that enhancers regulate the transcription from the target promoters through long range chromatin looping, which brings enhancers and promoters into close physical proximity [35–40]. Architectural proteins CTCF and cohesin are involved in the gene regulatory chromatin interaction process [41–45]. CTCF is an insulator protein blocking enhancer–promoter interaction. Beyond the renowned function in sister chromatid cohesion, cohesin also regulates transcription [41]. Together with the Mediator complex at enhancers, cohesin facilitates the interaction between enhancer and promoter [46^{*}]. The Hi-C data has suggested that eukaryotic genomes are partitioned into different topologically associating domains (TADs), within each of which chromatin interactions in regulating gene expression are highly frequent [47,48]. Together with CTCF at the borders of TADs, cohesin helps maintain the relatively insulated chromatin domain. Recent chromatin interaction analysis by paired-end tag sequencing (ChIA-PET) studies have further demonstrated on a genome-wide scale that cohesin associated CTCF-CTCF loops are required for forming the relatively insulated TADs, which constrain cohesin-mediated enhancer–promoter interaction within them [49–51]. Additionally, the orientation of CTCF binding sites (CBSs) is critical in establishing specific chromatin interaction in vertebrates [52– 54]. CTCF-CTCF loops preferentially form between CBSs that occur in a convergent orientation [55–57]. Inversion of specific CBSs by CRISPR mediated genome editing leads to reconfiguration of the topology of chromatin and transcription change [52,53].

Regulation of RNA polymerase II by enhanceosome

Transcription by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) is highly regulated at several steps, including establishment of preinitiation complex (PIC), promoter-proximal pausing, and entry into productive elongation stage [58,59]. In addition to opening up the local chromatin through sequential binding of pioneer factors, nucleosome remodelers and chromatin modifiers, enhancers also regulate the behavior of RNA Pol II [16,60,61,62]. The RNA Pol II elongation factor ELL3 was found specifically occupying enhancers at different stages in mouse embryonic stem cells [61[°]]. ELL3 is required for the establishment or stability of paused Pol II at a group of developmental genes close to ELL3 bound poised enhancers. ELL3 itself is a component of the Super Elongation Complex (SEC) [63,64]. Upon differentiation signal stimulation, ELL3 is able to recruit the AFF4 centered SEC to its target genes and activate their expression $[61^{\bullet}, 65]$. The function of ELL3 on paused Pol II has been demonstrated to rely on cohesin. The depletion of cohesin leads to less efficient transition from paused Pol II to elongating Pol II at most of genes in Drosophila cultured neuronal cells [66]. Similarly, in human Cornelia de Lange syndrome (CdLS) which is caused by mutations of genes involved in cohesin pathway, Pol II occupancies at both promoters and gene bodies are impaired [67]. Factors like ELL3 and cohesin within the enhanceosome might regulate the processivity of Pol II at different stages, at least in part through SEC (Figure 1). This is further substantiated by the recent finding that AFF4 mutations within the AFF family member homology domain caused CHOPS syndrome (Cognitive Impairment, Coarse Facies, Heart Defects, Obesity, Pulmonary Involvement, Short Stature and Skeletal Dysplasia), which mirror both the phenotypes and the transcriptome of CdLS [68]. In CHOPS syndrome as well as CdLS, AFF4, cohesin and RNA Pol II genomic distribution were altered, suggesting that the SEC and cohesin are involved in the pathogenesis of CHOPS through enhancer's function to elongation.

Transcription of active enhancers

On the other hand, transcription by Pol II has been observed from the regions associated with active enhancer marks. The transcripts from enhancers are named as

Figure 1

enhancer RNAs $(eRNAs)$ $[69^\bullet, 70^\bullet, 71^\bullet]$. In general, eRNAs are non-coding, non-spliced and unstable [72]. Although eRNAs are lowly expressed, their expression level are usually positively correlated with the level of mRNA transcripts from neighboring genes [69[°]]. In a recent study it has been demonstrated that the Integrator complex is recruited to enhancers and required for the biogenesis of eRNAs [73]. Disruption of the Integrator complex diminishes both the induction of eRNA and enhancer-promoter communication in the presence of epidermal growth factor (EGF) stimulus. The Integrator complex has been shown to recruit the elongation complex SEC to EGF responsive genes to release paused Pol II [74]. Interestingly, a study in neurons suggested that eRNAs could also promote paused Pol II release into productive elongation stage by serving as a decoy factor for the negative elongation factor (NELF) at immediate early genes [62]. A functional link from enhancer to

Model of transcriptional activation by allele specific enhancer. (a) On the active allele, transcription factors bind to enhancer regions through their DNA binding motifs. Enhancer bound transcription factors are able to recruit epigenetic machineries and enhancer binding factors such as ELL3, AFF3 to enhancers. Factors within enhanceosome like the Mediator complex and the architectural protein cohesin facilitate the loop formation between enhancer and promoter. Pre-initiation complex is established at the transcription start site. Enhancer also function in promoting the transition from promoter proximal pausing to productive elongation stage through recruiting the elongation complex SEC. (b) On the other allele, transcription factor binding motif is mutated due to SNP. The subsequent transcriptional events do not occur.

elongation control through eRNAs has been proposed here. In support of this scenario, eRNA has been shown to recruit the Mediator complex, which preferentially binds to *cis*-regulatory elements including enhancers and promoters [75]. In a separate study it has been shown that the Mediator complex was able to engage SEC to promote the transition to productive elongation [76].

However, the general biological function of eRNA still remains controversial. The newly developed technology using genomic editing tools, CRISPR-Display, was employed to target eRNAs, TRERNA1, ncRNA-a3 and HOTTIP to a stably integrated reporter gene [77]. Only slight activation of reporter gene expression was observed. While arguing that eRNA might be accidentally produced due to the active interaction of Pol II with the enhancer element, we still need to take into consideration that eRNAs might have their specificity in selecting their functional targets.

Disease/traits linked allele specific enhancers

Disease associated Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) identified by genome wide association studies (GWAS) are predominantly located outside of the protein coding regions of the human genome [78–80]. Many of the non-coding risk SNPs fall within the tissue specific enhancers that are recently annotated by epigenomic profiling [13,79,81–85]. For example, 88% of the SNPs within the known prostate cancer loci lie in the putative enhancer regions identified in human prostatic carcinoma cells [84]. SNPs associated with type 2 diabetes are highly enriched in the clustered pancreatic islet enhancers [85].

SNPs inherited from parents contain allele information. Recent genome wide studies offer evidence in support of SNPs' functions in enhancer activity through demonstrating strong correlation between allele imbalanced histone acetylation at enhancers and allele biased gene expression [86]. Non-coding SNPs or genetic variants could influence diseases and traits through altering the consensus sequences of transcription factor binding sites, reshaping enhancer repertoires, and inducing target gene expression level polymorphisms [76,87,88] (Figure 1). For instance, the inherited 8q24 gene desert SNP rs6983267 is in linkage disequilibrium with the oncogene MYC, functioning as an enhancer element recruiting transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) to activate MYC expression in an allele specific manner and conferring risks for multiple cancers [87,88].

In addition to SNPs, DNA methylation status imbalance could also give rise to allele specific enhancers. During early mouse embryonic development, transcription of the maternally expressed Meg3 polycistron within the paternally imprinted $D/k1-Dio3$ locus is stimulated by the active Meg3 upstream enhancer localized on the unmethylated maternal allele [89[°],90,91]. The allele specific activity of

the Meg3 upstream enhancer is maintained, at least partially, by the allele specific binding of the scaffold protein of the Super Elongation Complex-like 3 (SEC-L3), AFF3 [89^{*},92]. DNA methylation and its related chromatin modifying machineries can inhibit the binding of AFF3 to the enhancer element and genesis of active enhancer on the paternal allele to control the allele specific gene expression profile of this imprinted locus. Not limited to the imprinted regions, DNA methylation has been shown to affect the function of regulatory regions genome widely [93–96]. Furthermore, the association of diseases/traits associated SNPs with the proximal DNA methylation status changing suggest a functional link between them to drive allele specific gene expression [97,98].

Non-coding variants are the major genetic origins of heterogeneity in inherited phenotypes including drug responsiveness. The anti-diabetic drug rosiglitazone mediates insulin sensitization through activating PPARg, a master transcription regulator of adipocyte development [99]. However, individuals vary widely in their responses to rosiglitazone. About 20% treated patients experience with poor glycemic control and even adverse effects. Recent study shows that SNPs recast the binding motifs of PPAR γ and its cofactors, contributing to the heterogeneity in drug response [100[°]]. Thus, identification SNP enhancer variants, allele specific enhancers or risk alleles with strong impact on treatment decision making, and delineation the function modes of these enhancers lay the foundation of precision medicine.

Concluding marks

Over the past few years, genome wide mapping of histone modifications has already become a prevailing method in prediction and classification of enhancers en masse. Importantly, genetic manipulation of the predicted enhancer element or examination of the impact of the predicted enhancer on reporter gene expression in cell/tissue of interest is necessary to validate the functionality of the putative enhancer captured by epigenomic profiling.

Newly developed genomic sequencing and editing tools enable us to locate traits/disease linked cis-regulatory elements and unravel their functional importance. Coupled with the identification of specific transcription factors functioning on disease driving enhancer, knowledge gained from enhancer studies paves the way for building up a systematic roadmap of disease driving enhancers. We are eager to see the revolution of precision medicine through pushing the development of therapeutic strategies designed to disrupt or enhance the association of transcriptional machinery to disease driving enhancers.

Acknowledgements

We thank members in the Lin lab for the discussion. Studies in the Lin laboratory were supported by funds provided by Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, A*STAR, the Young Investigator Grant (Biomedical Research Council, BMRC024) and the Thousand Young Talents Plan of China to CL.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. .. Banerji J, Rusconi S, Schaffner W: **Expression of a beta-globin**
gene is enhanced by remote SV40 DNA sequences. *Cell* 1981,
27:299-308.

This is the first study demonstrating that cis-regulator element is able to remote active gene expression.

- 2. Moreau P, Hen R, Wasylyk B, Everett R, Gaub MP, Chambon P: The SV40 72 base repair repeat has a striking effect on gene expression both in SV40 and other chimeric recombinants. Nucleic Acids Res 1981, 9:6047-6068.
- 3. Fromm M, Berg P: Simian virus 40 early- and late-region promoter functions are enhanced by the 72-base-pair repeat inserted at distant locations and inverted orientations. Mol Cell Biol 1983, 3:991-999.
- 4. Gillies SD, Morrison SL, Oi VT, Tonegawa S: A tissue-specific transcription enhancer element is located in the major intron
- \bullet of a rearranged immunoglobulin heavy chain gene. Cell 1983, 33:717-728.

This study along with Ref. $[5^{\circ}]$ identified the cellular IgH enhancer and demonstrated that enhancer functions in a tissue specific manner.

- 5. **..** Banerji J, Olson L, Schaffner W: **A lymphocyte-specific cellular**
<mark>enhancer is located downstream of the joining region in</mark>
immunoglobulin heavy chain genes. *Cell* 1983, 33:729-740.
- See anotation to Ref. $[4"']$.
- 6. Arnosti DN, Barolo S, Levine M, Small S: The eve stripe 2 enhancer employs multiple modes of transcriptional synergy. Development 1996, 122:205-214.
- 7. Khoueiry P, Rothbacher U, Ohtsuka Y, Daian F, Frangulian E,
Roure A, Dubchak I, Lemaire P: **A cis-regulatory signature in**
ascidians and flies, independent of transcription factor binding sites. Curr Biol 2010, 20:792-802.
- 8. Heintzman ND, Stuart RK, Hon G, Fu Y, Ching CW, Hawkins RD,
Barrera LO, Van Calcar S, Qu C, Ching KA et al.: Distinct and
predictive chromatin signatures of transcriptional promoters and enhancers in the human genome. Nat Genet 2007, 39:311-318.
- 9. Pekowska A, Benoukraf T, Zacarias-Cabeza J, Belhocine M, Koch F, Holota H, Imbert J, Andrau JC, Ferrier P, Spicuglia S: H3K4 tri-methylation provides an epigenetic signature of active enhancers. EMBO J 2011, 30:4198-4210.
- 10. Chen K, Chen Z, Wu D, Zhang L, Lin X, Su J, Rodriguez B, Xi Y, Xia Z, Chen X et al.: **Broad H3K4me3 is associated with** increased transcription elongation and enhancer activity at tumor-suppressor genes. Nat Genet 2015, 47:1149-1157.
- 11. $^{\bullet}$ Creyghton MP, Cheng AW, Welstead GG, Kooistra T, Carey BW, Steine EJ, Hanna J, Lodato MA, Frampton GM, Sharp PA *et al.:*
Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and
predicts developmental state. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010, 107:21931-21936.

This study along with Ref. [12*] identified different chromatin marks for
enhancers in different states through genome wide histone modification profiling.

12. $^{\bullet}$ Rada-Iglesias A, Bajpai R, Swigut T, Brugmann SA, Flynn RA, Wysocka J: A unique chromatin signature uncovers early developmental enhancers in humans. Nature 2011, 470:279-283.

See anotation to Ref. [11^{*}].

- 13. Ernst J, Kheradpour P, Mikkelsen TS, Shoresh N, Ward LD,
Epstein CB, Zhang X, Wang L, Issner R, Coyne M et al.: **Mapping** and analysis of chromatin state dynamics in nine human cell types. Nature 2011, 473:43-49.
- 14. Karmodiya K, Krebs AR, Oulad-Abdelghani M, Kimura H, Tora L: H3K9 and H3K14 acetylation co-occur at many gene

regulatory elements, while H3K14ac marks a subset of inactive inducible promoters in mouse embryonic stem cells. BMC Genomics 2012, 13:424.

- 15. Kellner WA, Ramos E, Van Bortle K, Takenaka N, Corces VG: Genome-wide phosphoacetylation of histone H3 at Drosophila enhancers and promoters. Genome Res 2012, 22:1081-1088.
- 16. Zaret KS, Carroll JS: Pioneer transcription factors: establishing **competence for gene expression**. Genes Dev 2011,
25:2227-2241.
- 17. Smale ST: Pioneer factors in embryonic stem cells and differentiation. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2010, 20:519-526.
- 18. Herz HM, Mohan M, Garruss AS, Liang K, Takahashi YH, Mickey K, Voets O, Verrijzer CP, Shilatifard A: Enhancer-associated H3K4 monomethylation by Trithorax-related, the Drosophila
homomethylation by Trithorax-related, t 26:2604-2620.
- 19. Hu D, Gao X, Morgan MA, Herz HM, Smith ER, Shilatifard A: The MLL3/MLL4 branches of the COMPASS family function as major histone H3K4 monomethylases at enhancers. Mol Cell Biol 2013, **33**:4745-4754.
- 20. Lee JE, Wang C, Xu S, Cho YW, Wang L, Feng X, Baldridge A, Sartorelli V, Zhuang L, Peng W *et al.*: **H3K4 mono- and di-**
methyltransferase MLL4 is required for enhancer activation during cell differentiation. Elife 2013, 2:e01503.
- 21. Tie F, Banerjee R, Conrad PA, Scacheri PC, Harte PJ: Histone demethylase UTX and chromatin remodeler BRM bind directly to CBP and modulate acetylation of histone H3 lysine 27. Mol Cell Biol 2012, **32**:2323-2334.
- 22. Di Croce L, Helin K: Transcriptional regulation by Polycomb group proteins. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2013, 20:1147-1155
- 23. Jin Q, Yu LR, Wang L, Zhang Z, Kasper LH, Lee JE, Wang C,
Brindle PK, Dent SY, Ge K: Distinct roles of GCN5/PCAF-
mediated H3K9ac and CBP/p300-mediated H3K18/27ac in nuclear receptor transactivation. EMBO J 2011, 30:249-262.
- 24. Whyte WA, Bilodeau S, Orlando DA, Hoke HA, Frampton GM,
Foster CT, Cowley SM, Young RA: Enhancer decommissioning by LSD1 during embryonic stem cell differentiation. Nature 2012, 482:221-225.
- 25. Mendenhall EM, Williamson KE, Reyon D, Zou JY, Ram O, Joung JK, Bernstein BE: Locus-specific editing of histone modifications at endogenous enhancers. Nat Biotechnol 2013, 31:1133-1136.
- 26. Miguel-Escalada I, Pasquali L, Ferrer J: Transcriptional enhancers: functional insights and role in human disease. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2015, 33:71-76.
- 27. Herz HM, Hu D, Shilatifard A: Enhancer malfunction in cancer. Mol Cell 2014, 53:859-866.
- 28. Smith E, Shilatifard A: Enhancer biology and enhanceropathies. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2014, 21:210-219.
- 29. Morgan MA, Shilatifard A: Chromatin signatures of cancer. Genes Dev 2015, 29:238-249.
- 30. Hamamoto R, Saloura V, Nakamura Y: Critical roles of nonhistone protein lysine methylation in human tumorigenesis. Nat Rev Cancer 2015, 15:110-124.
- **31.** Huang J, Sengupta R, Espejo AB, Lee MG, Dorsey JA, Richter M,
Opravil S, Shiekhattar R, Bedford MT, Jenuwein T *et al.*: **p53 is** regulated by the lysine demethylase LSD1. Nature 2007, 449:105-108.
- 32. Lewis PW, Muller MM, Koletsky MS, Cordero F, Lin S, Banaszynski LA, Garcia BA, Muir TW, Becher OJ, Allis CD: Inhibition of PRC2 activity by a gain-of-function H3 mutation found in pediatric glioblastoma. Science 2013, 340:857-861.
- 33. Herz HM, Morgan M, Gao X, Jackson J, Rickels R, Swanson SK, Florens L, Washburn MP, Eissenberg JC, Shilatifard A: **Histone H3**
**lysine-to-methionine mutants as a paradigm to study
chromatin signaling**. *Science 2*014, **345**:1065-1070.

www.sciencedirect.com **Current Opinion in Genetics & Development** 2016, 36:27-33

32 Cancer genomics

- 34. Jiao L, Liu X: Structural basis of histone H3K27 trimethylation by an active polycomb repressive complex 2. Science 2015, 350:aac4383.
- 35. Williamson I, Berlivet S, Eskeland R, Boyle S, Illingworth RS, Paquette D, Dostie J, Bickmore WA: Spatial genome organization: contrasting views from chromosome conformation capture and fluorescence in situ hybridization. Genes Dev 2014, 28:2778-2791.
- 36. Bulger M, Groudine M: Functional and mechanistic diversity of distal transcription enhancers. Cell 2011, 144:327-339
- 37. Li G, Ruan X, Auerbach RK, Sandhu KS, Zheng M, Wang P,
Poh HM, Goh Y, Lim J, Zhang J et al.: **Extensive promoter-**
centered chromatin interactions provide a topological basis for transcription regulation. Cell 2012, 148:84-98.
- 38. Lieberman-Aiden E, van Berkum NL, Williams L, Imakaev M, Ragoczy T, Telling A, Amit I, Lajoie BR, Sabo PJ, Dorschner MO e*t al.*: Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions
reveals folding principles of the human genome. Science 2009, 326:289-293.
- 39. Montavon T, Soshnikova N, Mascrez B, Joye E, Thevenet L, Splinter E, de Laat W, Spitz F, Duboule D: **A regulatory**
archipelago controls Hox genes transcription in digits. *Cell*
2011, **147**:1132-1145.
- 40. Gibcus JH, Dekker J: The hierarchy of the 3D genome. Mol Cell 2013, 49:773-782.
- 41. Dorsett D: Cohesin: genomic insights into controlling gene transcription and development. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2011, 21:199-206.
- 42. Hadjur S, Williams LM, Ryan NK, Cobb BS, Sexton T, Fraser P,
Fisher AG, Merkenschlager M: Cohesins form chromosomal cisinteractions at the developmentally regulated IFNG locus. Nature 2009, 460:410-413.
- 43. Hou C, Dale R, Dean A: Cell type specificity of chromatin organization mediated by CTCF and cohesin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010, 107:3651-3656.
- 44. Mishiro T, Ishihara K, Hino S, Tsutsumi S, Aburatani H, Shirahige K, Kinoshita Y, Nakao M: Architectural roles of multiple chromatin insulators at the human apolipoprotein gene cluster. EMBO J 2009, 28:1234-1245.
- 45. Nativio R, Wendt KS, Ito Y, Huddleston JE, Uribe-Lewis S,
Woodfine K, Krueger C, Reik W, Peters JM, Murrell A: Cohesin is required for higher-order chromatin conformation at the imprinted IGF2-H19 locus. PLoS Genet 2009, 5:e1000739.
- 46. $^{\bullet}$ Kagey MH, Newman JJ, Bilodeau S, Zhan Y, Orlando DA, van
Berkum NL, Ebmeier CC, Goossens J, Rahl PB, Levine SS *et al.*:
**Mediator and cohesin connect gene expression and
chromatin architecture**. *Nature 2010*, **467**:430-4

This study demonstrated that cohesin and mediator are required for enhancer–promoter communication by ChIP-seq and chromatin conformation capture assays.

- 47. Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Yue F, Kim A, Li Y, Shen Y, Hu M, Liu JS, Ren B: Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified **by analysis of chromatin interactions**. *Nature* 2012,
485:376-380.
- 48. Zuin J, Dixon JR, van der Reijden MI, Ye Z, Kolovos P, Brouwer RW, van de Corput MP, van de Werken HJ, Knoch TA, van IWF et al.: Cohesin and CTCF differentially affect chromatin **architecture and gene expression in human cells**. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A 2014, **111**:996-1001.
- 49. Dowen JM, Fan ZP, Hnisz D, Ren G, Abraham BJ, Zhang LN,
Weintraub AS, Schuijers J, Lee TI, Zhao K et al.: Control of cell identity genes occurs in insulated neighborhoods in mammalian chromosomes. Cell 2014, 159:374-387.
- 50. Handoko L, Xu H, Li G, Ngan CY, Chew E, Schnapp M, Lee CW, Ye C, Ping JL, Mulawadi F et al.: **CTCF-mediated functional chromatin interactome in pluripotent cells**. Nat Genet 2011, 43:630-638.
- 51. Ji X, Dadon DB, Powell BE, Fan ZP, Borges-Rivera D, Shachar S, Weintraub AS, Hnisz D, Pegoraro G, Lee TI et al.: 3D chromosome

regulatory landscape of human pluripotent cells. Cell Stem Cell 2015.

- 52. Guo Y, Xu Q, Canzio D, Shou J, Li J, Gorkin DU, Jung I, Wu H, Zhai Y, Tang Y et al.: CRISPR inversion of CTCF sites alters genome topology and enhancer/promoter function. Cell 2015, 162:900-910.
- 53. de Wit E, Vos ES, Holwerda SJ, Valdes-Quezada C, Verstegen MJ, Teunissen H, Splinter E, Wijchers PJ, Krijger PH, de Laat W: **CTCF**
binding polarity determines chromatin looping. *Mol Cell* 2015, 60:676-684.
- 54. Lin SG, Guo C, Su A, Zhang Y, Alt FW: **CTCF-binding elements
1 and 2 in the Igh intergenic control region cooperatively
regulate V(D)J recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015,** 112:1815-1820.
- 55. Hu J, Zhang Y, Zhao L, Frock RL, Du Z, Meyers RM, Meng FL, Schatz DG, Alt FW: Chromosomal loop domains direct the recombination of antigen receptor genes. Cell 2015, 163:947-959.
- 56. Sanborn AL, Rao SS, Huang SC, Durand NC, Huntley MH,
Jewett AI, Bochkov ID, Chinnappan D, Cutkosky A, Li J e*t al.*: Chromatin extrusion explains key features of loop and domain **formation in wild-type and engineered genomes**. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A 2015, **112**:E6456-E6465.
- 57. Rao SS, Huntley MH, Durand NC, Stamenova EK, Bochkov ID, Robinson JT, Sanborn AL, Machol I, Omer AD, Lander ES et al.: A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. Cell 2014, 159:1665-1680.
- 58. Levine M: Paused RNA polymerase II as a developmental checkpoint. Cell 2011, 145:502-511.
- 59. Smith E, Lin C, Shilatifard A: The super elongation complex (SEC) and MLL in development and disease. Genes Dev 2011, 25:661-672.
- 60. Raschke EE, Albert T, Eick D: Transcriptional regulation of the Ig kappa gene by promoter-proximal pausing of RNA
polymerase II. *J Immunol* 1999, 163:4375-4382.
- 61. Lin C, Garruss AS, Luo Z, Guo F, Shilatifard A: The RNA Pol II $^{\bullet}$ elongation factor Ell3 marks enhancers in ES cells and primes future gene activation. Cell 2013, 152:144-156.

This study demonstrated that the elongation factor ELL3 marks enhancer region and regulates the occupancy of paused Pol II from enhancer at developmental genes in mouse ES cells.

- 62. Schaukowitch K, Joo JY, Liu X, Watts JK, Martinez C, Kim TK:
Enhancer RNA facilitates NELF release from immediate early genes. Mol Cell 2014, 56:29-42.
- 63. Lin C, Smith ER, Takahashi H, Lai KC, Martin-Brown S, Florens L, En C, Shinn Ent, Takanashi H, Earlyc, Martin Brown C, Horchs E, Washburn MP, Conaway JW, Conaway RC, Shilatifard A: **AFF4, a** component of the ELL/P-TEFb elongation complex and a shared subunit of MLL chimeras, can link transcription elongation to leukemia. Mol Cell 2010, 37:429-437.
- 64. Luo Z, Lin C, Shilatifard A: The super elongation complex (SEC)
family in transcriptional control. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2012, 13:543-547.
- 65. Lin C, Garrett AS, De Kumar B, Smith ER, Gogol M, Seidel C, Krumlauf R, Shilatifard A: Dynamic transcriptional events in embryonic stem cells mediated by the super elongation
complex (SEC). Genes Dev 2011, 25:1486-1498.
- 66. Schaaf CA, Kwak H, Koenig A, Misulovin Z, Gohara DW, Watson A,
Zhou Y, Lis JT, Dorsett D: Genome-wide control of RNA polymerase II activity by cohesin. PLoS Genet 2013, 9:e1003382.
- 67. Mannini L, F CL, Cucco F, Amato C, Quarantotti V, Rizzo IM,
Krantz ID, Bilodeau S, Musio A: **Mutant cohesin affects RNA** polymerase II regulation in Cornelia de Lange syndrome. Sci Rep 2015, 5:16803.
- 68. Izumi K, Nakato R, Zhang Z, Edmondson AC, Noon S, Dulik MC,
Rajagopalan R, Venditti CP, Gripp K, Samanich J et al.: Germline gain-of-function mutations in AFF4 cause a developmental syndrome functionally linking the super elongation complex and cohesin. Nat Genet 2015, 47:338-344.

69. $^{\bullet}$ Kim TK, Hemberg M, Gray JM, Costa AM, Bear DM, Wu J, Harmin DA, Laptewicz M, Barbara-Haley K, Kuersten S et al.: Widespread transcription at neuronal activity-regulated enhancers. Nature 2010, 465:182-187.

This study along with Refs. [70^{*},71^{*}] demonstrated that active enhancers can be transcribed.

- 70. De Santa F, Barozzi I, Mietton F, Ghisletti S, Polletti S, Tusi BK,
Muller H, Ragoussis J, Wei CL, Natoli G: **A large fraction of**
- $^{\bullet}$ extragenic RNA pol II transcription sites overlap enhancers.
PLoS Biol 2010, 8:e1000384.

See anotation to Ref. [69[°]].

- 71. $^{\bullet}$ Orom UA, Derrien T, Beringer M, Gumireddy K, Gardini A,
Bussotti G, Lai F, Zytnicki M, Notredame C, Huang Q e*t al*.: **Long**
noncoding **RNAs with enhancer-like function in human cells**.
*Cell 2*010, **143:**46-58.
- See anotation to Ref. [69°].
- 72. Natoli G, Andrau JC: Noncoding transcription at enhancers: **general principles and functional models**. Annu Rev Genet
2012, **46**:1-19.
- 73. Lai F, Gardini A, Zhang A, Shiekhattar R: Integrator mediates the biogenesis of enhancer RNAs. Nature 2015, 525:399-403.
- 74. Gardini A, Baillat D, Cesaroni M, Hu D, Marinis JM, Wagner EJ, Lazar MA, Shilatifard A, Shiekhattar R: **Integrator regulates**
transcriptional initiation and pause release following
activation. *Mol Cell* 2014, **56**:128-139.
- 75. Lai F, Orom UA, Cesaroni M, Beringer M, Taatjes DJ, Blobel GA, Shiekhattar R: Activating RNAs associate with Mediator to enhance chromatin architecture and transcription. Nature
2013, 494:497-501.
- 76. Takahashi H, Parmely TJ, Sato S, Tomomori-Sato C, Banks CA, Kong SE, Szutorisz H, Swanson SK, Martin-Brown S, Washburn MP et al.: Human mediator subunit MED26 functions as a docking site for transcription elongation factors. Cell 2011, 146:92-104.
- 77. Shechner DM, Hacisuleyman E, Younger ST, Rinn JL: Multiplexable, locus-specific targeting of long RNAs with CRISPR-Display. Nat Methods 2015, 12:664-670.
- 78. Consortium EP: An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature 2012, 489:57-74.
- 79. Maurano MT, Humbert R, Rynes E, Thurman RE, Haugen E, Wang H, Reynolds AP, Sandstrom R, Qu H, Brody J et al.: Systematic localization of common disease-associated variation in regulatory DNA. Science 2012, 337:1190-1195.
- 80. Genomes Project C, Abecasis GR, Altshuler D, Auton A,
Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Gibbs RA, Hurles ME, McVean GA: **A map** of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature 2010, 467:1061-1073.
- 81. Andersson R, Gebhard C, Miguel-Escalada I, Hoof I, Bornholdt J, Boyd M, Chen Y, Zhao X, Schmidl C, Suzuki T et al.: An atlas of active enhancers across human cell types and tissues. Nature 2014, 507:455-461.
- 82. Akhtar-Zaidi B, Cowper-Sal-lari R, Corradin O, Saiakhova A, Bartels CF, Balasubramanian D, Myeroff L, Lutterbaugh J, Jarrar A, Kalady MF e*t al.*: **Epigenomic enhancer profiling defines a**
signature of colon cancer. Science 2012, 336:736-739.
- 83. Corradin O, Sajakhova A, Akhtar-Zaidi B, Myeroff L, Willis J, Cowper-Sal lari R, Lupien M, Markowitz S, Scacheri PC: Combinatorial effects of multiple enhancer variants in linkage disequilibrium dictate levels of gene expression to confer susceptibility to common traits. Genome Res 2014, 24:1-13.
- 84. Hazelett DJ, Rhie SK, Gaddis M, Yan C, Lakeland DL, Coetzee SG, Ellipse G-ONc, Practical c, Henderson BE, Noushmehr H et al.: Comprehensive functional annotation of 77 prostate cancer risk loci. PLoS Genet 2014, 10:e1004102.
- 85. Pasquali L, Gaulton KJ, Rodriguez-Segui SA, Mularoni L, Miguel-Escalada I, Akerman I, Tena JJ, Moran I, Gomez-Marin C, van de Bunt M et al.: Pancreatic islet enhancer clusters enriched in

type 2 diabetes risk-associated variants. Nat Genet 2014, 46:136-143.

- 86. Leung D, Jung I, Rajagopal N, Schmitt A, Selvaraj S, Lee AY, Yen CA, Lin S, Lin Y, Qiu Y et al.: **Integrative analysis of** haplotype-resolved epigenomes across human tissues. Nature 2015, 518:350-354.
- 87. Pomerantz MM, Ahmadiyeh N, Jia L, Herman P, Verzi MP, Doddapaneni H, Beckwith CA, Chan JA, Hills A, Davis M et al.: The 8q24 cancer risk variant rs6983267 shows long-range interaction with MYC in colorectal cancer. Nat Genet 2009, 41:882-884.
- 88. Wasserman NF, Aneas I, Nobrega MA: An 8q24 gene desert
variant associated with prostate cancer risk confers differential in vivo activity to a MYC enhancer. Genome Res 2010, 20:1191-1197.
- 89. $^{\bullet}$ Luo Z, Lin C, Woodfin AR, Bartom ET, Gao X, Smith ER,
Shilatifard A: **Regulation of the imprinted Dlk1-Dio3 locus by** allele-specific enhancer activity. Genes Dev 2016, 30:92-101. This study demonstrated that AFF3 binds to the Meg3 upstream enhancer in an allele specific manner and that DNA methylation inhibit the genesis of active enhancer from the inactive allele partially through controlling AFF3's binding.
- 90. Kota SK, Lleres D, Bouschet T, Hirasawa R, Marchand A, Begon-
Pescia C, Sanli I, Arnaud P, Journot L, Girardot M *et al.*: I**CR** noncoding RNA expression controls imprinting and DNA replication at the DIk1-Dio3 domain. Dev Cell 2014, 31:19-33.
- 91. da Rocha ST, Edwards CA, Ito M, Ogata T, Ferguson-Smith AC:
Genomic imprinting at the mammalian Dlk1-Dio3 domain.
Trends Genet 2008, 24:306-316.
- 92. Luo Z, Lin C, Guest E, Garrett AS, Mohaghegh N, Swanson S, Marshall S, Florens L, Washburn MP, Shilatifard A: The super elongation complex family of RNA polymerase II elongation f<mark>actors: gene target specificity and transcriptional output</mark>. *Mol*
Cell Biol 2012, **32**:2608-2617.
- 93. Blattler A, Yao L, Witt H, Guo Y, Nicolet CM, Berman BP,
Farnham PJ: Global loss of DNA methylation uncovers intronic enhancers in genes showing expression changes. Genome Biol 2014, 15:469.
- 94. Wiench M, John S, Baek S, Johnson TA, Sung MH, Escobar T,
Simmons CA, Pearce KH, Biddie SC, Sabo PJ et al.: **DNA** methylation status predicts cell type-specific enhancer activity. EMBO J 2011, 30:3028-3039.
- 95. Hon GC, Rajagopal N, Shen Y, McCleary DF, Yue F, Dang MD, Ren B: Epigenetic memory at embryonic enhancers identified
in DNA methylation maps from adult mouse tissues. *Nat Genet* 2013, 45:1198-1206.
- 96. Suzuki MM, Bird A: DNA methylation landscapes: provocative insights from epigenomics. Nat Rev Genet 2008, 9:465-476.
- 97. Voisin S, Almen MS, Zheleznyakova GY, Lundberg L, Zarei S, Castillo S, Eriksson FE, Nilsson EK, Bluher M, Bottcher Y et al.: Many obesity-associated SNPs strongly associate with DNA methylation changes at proximal promoters and enhancers. Genome Med 2015, 7:103.
- 98. Zhou D, Li Z, Yu D, Wan L, Zhu Y, Lai M, Zhang D: Polymorphisms
involving gain or loss of CpG sites are significantly enriched in
trait-associated SNPs. Oncotarget 2015, 6:39995-40004.
- 99. Haakonsson AK, Stahl Madsen M, Nielsen R, Sandelin A, Mandrup S: Acute genome-wide effects of rosiglitazone on PPARgamma transcriptional networks in adipocytes. Mol Endocrinol 2013, 27:1536-1549.
- 100. Soccio RE, Chen ER, Rajapurkar SR, Safabakhsh P, Marinis JM, $^{\bullet}$ Dispirito JR, Emmett MJ, Briggs ER, Fang B, Everett LJ et al.: Genetic variation determines PPARgamma function and anti-diabetic drug response in vivo. Cell 2015, 162:33-44.

This study demonstrated that SNPs change the binding motifs of PPAR_y and its cofactors in adipocytes and that variable binding of PPARg to the genome leads to different response to the anti-diabetes drug.