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Abstract: D-Lysergic Acid Diethylamide (LSD) is known for its hallucinogenic properties and psychotic-like symptoms, especially at high doses. It is indeed used as a pharmacological model of psychosis in preclinical research. The goal of this review was to understand the mechanism of action of psychotic-like effects of LSD. We searched Pubmed, Web of Science, Scopus, Google Scholar and articles’ reference lists for preclinical studies regarding the mechanism of action involved in the psychotic-like effects induced by LSD. LSD’s mechanism of action is pleiotropic, primarily mediated by the serotonergic system in the Dorsal Raphe, binding the 5-HT2A receptor as a partial agonist and 5-HT1A as an agonist. LSD also modulates the Ventral Tegmental Area, at higher doses, by stimulating dopamine D2, Trace Amine Associate receptor 1 (TAAR1) and 5-HT2A. More studies clarifying the mechanism of action of the psychotic-like symptoms or psychosis induced by LSD in humans are needed. LSD’s effects are mediated by a pleiotropic mechanism involving serotonergic, dopaminergic, and glutamatergic neurotransmission. Thus, the LSD-induced psychosis is a useful model to test the therapeutic efficacy of potential novel antipsychotic drugs, particularly drugs with dual serotonergic and dopaminergic (DA) mechanism or acting on TAAR1 receptors.
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1. Introduction

1.1. LSD: “A Joyous Song of Being” (Albert Hoffman)

D-Lysergic Acid Diethylamide (LSD) was first synthesized in 1937 by Albert Hoffman [1]. LSD produces changes in body perception, synaesthesia, thought disorders, and time distortion [2]. LSD has potent psychotropic effects, described as inducing “mystical experiences” [3]: alterations of the state of consciousness, euphoria, enhanced capacity for introspection, altered psychological functioning, a sense of unity, transcendence of time and space, and positive mood; feelings of joy, blessedness and peace; a sense of sacredness; and a positive attitude towards others and the self [2,4–6]. It stimulates the sympathetic system, causing hyperthermia, sweating, palpitation, the elevation of blood pressure, convulsions, an increase in muscle tension, tremors, and muscular incoordination [7–9]. Hoffman described his first experience with LSD as like “a travel in the universe of the soul, a waves of ineffable happiness flowed through his body”. In his memoir, he wrote: “I had experienced the grace of God” and the feeling that of “God is in everything” [1]. One of the main effect of LSD is indeed the mystical experience and the “transcendence of time and space”, meaning that the subject feels himself to be beyond past, present, and future, and beyond ordinary three-dimensional space; the subject feels himself to exist in a realm of eternity or infinity [10].
Besides inducing this sort of mystical experience and psychotic-like effects in healthy subjects, LSD—particularly among vulnerable people and at high dose—may also rarely induce lasting psychosis. This particular characteristic invited basic scientists and clinicians to explore the pharmacology of LSD and the transient LSD-induced psychotic-like state. LSD's remarkable potency led psychiatrists to speculate about the existence of an endogenous LSD-like “schizotoxin” in the brain of patients with schizophrenia [11].

This review attempts to summarize research on the mechanism of action of LSD-induced psychosis.

1.2. Psychosis and LSD: Human Studies

Generally speaking, LSD at relatively high doses produces a state of transient psychotic-like state, but in some vulnerable subjects can produce a psychosis. In this section, we summarize the studies reporting hallucinogenic and psychotic-like effects of LSD as well the occurrence of psychosis induced by LSD.

Psychosis is characterized by a certain degree of impairment in social functioning and the experience of several psychopathological symptoms such as hallucinations, avolition or apathy, catatonic motor behaviour, disorganized behaviour, disordered thoughts manifested by speech, flat or inappropriate affect, depersonalization/derealisation, or delusions [12–14]. Depending on their content, delusions are classified in persecutory, grandiose, erotomanic, nihilistic, or somatic (American Psychiatric Association’s Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5)) [15]. Religious delusions and/or pathological hypereligiosity is another symptom of psychosis; interestingly, this symptom has likewise been observed in schizophrenia associated with temporal epilepsy [16]. When psychotic symptoms last for at least six months and include at least one month of active-phase symptoms, the diagnosis of schizophrenia can be made. In schizophrenia, hallucinations, delusions, illusions, and disorganized thinking are classified as positive symptoms, while blunted affect, emotional withdrawal, and apathetic social withdrawal are classified as negative symptoms [17]. LSD represents the first synthetic drug whose effect, particularly at high doses, mimics some symptoms of psychosis and schizophrenia, inducing a transient psychotic-like state; for this reason, much research has been performed on the mechanism of action of this drug, as its pharmacology could potentially shed light on the pathophysiology of psychosis and schizophrenia. LSD can also induce a distortion of space or time that is typical of psychotic experiences. [18]. Other symptoms and experiences that link LSD to a psychotic-like state (at a medium dose of 100–200 µg per os (p.o.)) include: a metamorphosis-like change in objects and faces, a metamorphic alteration of body contours, a change in body image, and intense (kaleidoscopic or scenic) visual imagery with transforming content, deficit in sensorimotor gating [5]. Studies aimed at comparing hallucinogen-induced psychotic states with the early stages of psychosis have confirmed a substantial degree of overlap between LSD-induced psychosis and early symptoms of schizophrenia, like hallucinations, conceptual disorganization, and unusual thoughts [19,20].

A list of LSD’s most relevant effects related to psychotic-like symptoms and a comparison with symptoms of psychosis are reported in Table 1.

However, in vulnerable subjects (people with a pre-existent risk of mental disease), LSD may induce a real psychosis, described as panic, paranoia and distrust, suspicious feelings or delusions of grandeur, confusion, impairment of reasoning, regret, depression, loneliness and/or somatic discomfort, all of which can be of monumental proportions [21].
Table 1. List of the most relevant effects induced by LSD related to a psychotic-like syndrome (left) and symptoms of psychosis (right). (Modified after: Passie et al., 2008 [5] and Schmid et al., 2015 [9]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSD-Induced Symptoms</th>
<th>Psychosis or Schizophrenia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metamorphic alterations, unusual inner perception of bodily processes and changes in body image</td>
<td>Body Distortion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamorphosis-like change in objects and faces and intense (kaleidoscopic or scenic) visual imagery with transforming content</td>
<td>Delusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in perception and sensory alteration: Visual, auditory, taste, olfactory, kinaesthetic (pseudo-hallucinations)</td>
<td>Hallucination (Visual, auditory, taste, olfactory, kinaesthetic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depersonalization, derealisation</td>
<td>Depersonalization, derealisation, Cotard’s syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alteration of affectivity: euphoria, mood swing, anxiety</td>
<td>Euphoria, Dysphoria, Depression, Blunted affect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mystical experience</td>
<td>Religious delusion, hyperreligiosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide attempts</td>
<td>Depression and Suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introjection</td>
<td>Interoception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broader and unusual association</td>
<td>Clang association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyporeactivity</td>
<td>Psychomotor retardation, Catatonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disruption of sensorimotor gating</td>
<td>Deficit in sensorimotor gating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disruption of pre-pulse inhibition (PPI)</td>
<td>Impairment in prepulse inhibition (PPI) of the acoustic startle response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention span shortened, alteration of Thinking, memory changes and decreased non-verbal abstract reasoning</td>
<td>Severe cognitive and memory impairments, Working memory impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash-back phenomena</td>
<td>“Déjà-vu” experiences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Klee and Weintraub [22] reported that individuals presenting some psychological characteristics including mistrustfulness, complaining, fearfulness, and susceptibility on projection as a defence were more likely to show paranoid symptoms during the LSD experience in comparison with healthy people. One study in healthy volunteers [23] observed that pre-drug indicators on the Rorschach test of paranoid features and the potential for a thought disorder predicted the advent of psychotic-like experiences after the consumption of LSD. Of particular note, LSD-induced psychotic symptoms were more pronounced in 18 out of 20 relatives of schizophrenics [24], suggesting that persons with a greater genetic predisposition to schizophrenia are more susceptible to an LSD-induced psychotic response, as likewise suggested by studies of cannabis [25,26]. In keeping with these results, Vardy and Kay [27] suggested that LSD-induced psychosis was a drug-induced schizophreniform reaction, and that there was a greater response to LSD in individuals with a genetic predisposition to schizophrenia.

Similarly, Ungerleider et al. [28] found that symptoms of schizophrenia, measured with the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI), are more common among LSD users with pre-existent prolonged psychosis, and concluded that “LSD interacts with schizoid traits”. Using the MMPI, Smart and Jones [29] examined 100 LSD users and 46 non-users and found a much higher incidence of psychopathology among LSD users than non-users. The MMPI scale revealed a profile of alienation, emotional disturbances, excessive anxiety, depression, hallucinations, paranoia, and suicidal tendencies in LSD users. A study by Tucker and colleagues [30] which compared hospitalization due to LSD and other hallucinogenic-drug casualties with that of schizophrenic inpatients found that the LSD/hallucinogenic group had higher associative productivity, intrusion of primitive drive content, and penetration scores than schizophrenics. Taken together, these studies suggest that LSD may interact with premorbid schizophrenic vulnerability to yield psychosis [31].

Cohen et al. [32] found that several psychiatric reactions, including psychotic breaks and panic attacks, occurred in 0.8 per 1000 healthy volunteers, and in 1.8 per 1000 psychiatric patients. These reactions lasted over 48 h. While no successful suicides or suicide attempts were reported in healthy volunteers [32], they occurred in less than 0.4 per 1000 patients. This lack of LSD-induced psychosis and suicidality in the general population was recently confirmed by an extensive epidemiological study [33].
There have been observed correlations between recreational LSD use and psychological, perceptual, and cognitive dysfunction: impaired performance on tests of visual perception and spatial orientation, the occurrence of magical thinking, decreased ability to perform well on tests of non-verbal abstract reasoning, and hallucinogen persisting perception disorder (HPPD) [33–37]. There are also correlations between LSD use and prolonged psychotic decompensation, paranoid reactions, depression, the exacerbation of psychopathy and antisocial personality traits, and neuroleptic malignant syndrome [38]. A recent study by Carhart-Harris et al. [39] examined the acute and mid-term psychological effects of LSD, and found that LSD produced strong psychological effects such as elevated mood and high scores on the Psychotomimetic States Inventory (PSI), an index of psychosis-like symptoms.

Only a few studies have investigated the treatment of LSD-induced psychosis. In patients admitted to the emergency room with a diagnosis of acute phencyclidine- or LSD-induced psychosis, Giannini et al. [40] showed that haloperidol (5 mg, intra-muscular (i.m.)) and chlorpromazine (50 mg, i.m.) were effective in reducing psychotic-like symptoms, but haloperidol produced a greater degree of improvement compared to chlorpromazine on ratings of anxiety, cognitive and conceptual disorganization, mannerisms, posturing, and visual hallucinations. On the other hand, chlorpromazine was found to be more effective than haloperidol at reducing somatic and psychological tension. While behavioural animal studies have examined the effect of chronic treatment with LSD [41], no research has been conducted yet to examine long-term behavioural changes in healthy individuals after repeated administration of LSD. This lack of long-term studies is likely due to the evidence that LSD is a drug taken occasionally by recreational users that does not produce addiction.

Intriguingly, recent neuroimaging studies carried out by the group of Nutt and colleagues [42] reveal marked changes in brain activity after LSD administration that strongly correlate with LSD’s characteristic psychological effects. Employing different brain imaging techniques including resting state MRI and magnetoencephalography, the researchers measured blood flow, functional connections within and between brain networks, and brainwaves in the volunteers on and off the drug [42]. LSD’s effects on the brain’s visual cortex did not correlate significantly with its other established effects on consciousness. On the other hand, LSD administration decreased signalling between the parahippocampus and the retrosplenial cortex (RSC); the magnitude of the decrease correlated with ratings of “ego-dissolution” and “altered meaning”, both of which are considered hallmarks of psychosis. In particular the ego dissolution may correspond to the dissociative identity disorder described by Schneider in schizophrenic patients [43]. Of note, the researchers observed significant associations between findings in different imaging techniques, enabling firmer inferences about the functional significance of these brain networks, including how the networks’ functioning can model certain pathological states [42]. This recent, unique, and comprehensive examination of consciousness following LSD administration represents an important advancement in the field of psychedelics, particularly in a time of growing interest in their scientific and therapeutic value. The LSD-induced psychotic state has been historically attributed to its agonist activity at serotonin receptors; its pharmacology led researchers to suspect that LSD could provide a preclinical model of psychosis [41,44]. This concept prompted the fundamental hypothesis that abnormalities in serotonergic function are responsible for psychosis spectrum disorders, including schizophrenia and schizophreniform disorder. The inference was that modulators of serotonin receptors might be useful in the treatment of schizophrenia [45,46]. Multiple lines of evidence indicate that LSD acts through the serotonergic system, binding the 5-HT2A receptor as a partial agonist, even at human cloned 5-HT2A receptor [47–51], and the 5-HT1A receptor as an agonist/partial agonist [52,53]. However, studies have also pointed out the involvement of the dopaminergic [50,54] and glutamatergic [55] systems in LSD’s mechanism of action. Burt and colleagues demonstrated in 1975 that LSD has binding affinity for labelled 3H-dopamine and 3H-haloperidol binding sites [54]. More recent work demonstrated an interaction between LSD and the D2 receptor [56–58]. Intriguingly, an in vitro study by Bunzow et al. in 2001 [59] demonstrated the affinity of LSD for the trace amine-associate
receptor 1 (TAAR1), a G-protein coupled receptor discovered in 2001 [59]. Preliminary studies hypothesized that TAAR1 receptors are implicated in the pathogenesis of psychosis by interacting with the dopaminergic system [60–62]. Given the evidence that abnormal dopaminergic function is implicated in psychosis [63,64], the relationship between D2, TAAR1 and LSD’s psychotic-like effects deserves further consideration in terms of both the neurobiology and the neuropsychopharmacology of psychosis. In this context, here we review current preclinical and clinical knowledge on the involvement of the serotonergic, dopaminergic, glutamatergic, and trace-amine neurotransmission in the psychotic-like state induced by LSD. A graphical interaction of LSD with these neurotransmissions is reported in Figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** D-Lysergic Acid Diethylamide (LSD) acts at different brain regions with a pleiotropic mechanism of action involving serotonin 5-HT1A, 5-HT2A, 5-HT2C, and dopamine D2 receptors in the Dorsal Raphe (DR); dopamine D2 receptor and Trace Amine Associate (TAAR1) receptors in the Ventral Tegmental area (VTA); and 5-HT2A in the Locus Coerules (LC). These three nuclei project to the prefrontal cortex (PFC), enhancing or inhibiting the release of neurotransmitters and ultimately mediating the psychotic-like effects and cognitive changes. mPFC: medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC); NMDA(NR2B): N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptor subunit NR2B.

2. The Dopamine-Serotonin System and TAAR1 Receptor in the Pathogenesis of Psychosis and in the Mechanism of Action of Antipsychotic Drugs

It is well known that drugs possessing antipsychotic activity have mostly dopaminergic and/or serotonergic activity. The therapeutic mechanisms of conventional typical antipsychotic drugs, such as haloperidol, depend on their blockade of the brain’s D2 receptors, in particular at the level of mesolimbic dopamine (DA) pathway, which reduces hyperactivity in this pathway and thus, the positive symptoms of psychosis [65–67]. However, D2 receptors in the mesolimbic DA system mediate not only psychotic positive symptoms, but also the brain’s reward system, in particular the nucleus accumbens—which is considered the brain’s “pleasure centre” [68]. Unfortunately, D2 receptor
typical antipsychotics act not only in the mesolimbic DA pathway, but also in the nigrostriatal DA pathway, producing extrapyramidal symptoms and tardive dyskinesia [69]. In addition, the blockade of D2 receptors in the tuberoinfundibular DA pathway is the cause of hyperprolactinemia [70].

The newer atypical antipsychotic drugs mostly act instead on 5-HT receptors, which underlines the role of interactions between the serotonergic and dopaminergic systems in the pathogenesis and treatment of psychosis [71]. Binding affinity studies find strong interactions between atypical antipsychotics and the 5-HT2A and 5-HT1A receptors [72]. Some serotonin receptors have a major influence on dopamine release; specifically, when serotonin is released in the vicinity of postsynaptic 5-HT2A receptors, dopaminergic neurons are inhibited, providing a braking action on dopamine release. On the contrary, the 5-HT1A receptor is an accelerator of dopaminergic function, because its inhibition of serotonin release prevents 5-HT2A postsynaptic receptors on dopamine neurons from being activated [72]. The therapeutic efficacy of classical antipsychotic drugs is supposed to require an occupancy of dopamine D2-receptors >70% [73]. However, when atypical antipsychotic drugs are used in clinical settings, they seem effective even at subthreshold occupancies of D2 receptors [74,75], likely thanks to their interaction with 5-HT1A and 5-HT2A receptors in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) [76,77]. Negative symptoms and cognitive deficits in schizophrenia have been associated with reduced dopaminergic function in the mPFC [71]. Thus, one treatment strategy is the development of therapeutics that promote increased dopaminergic function in the mPFC [71]. Atypical antipsychotics increase dopamine release in the mPFC through a mechanism dependent on postsynaptic 5-HT1A receptors [78,79]. This effect could be useful in the treatment of both negative and cognitive symptoms [80–82] compared to typical antipsychotics. Of note, several studies reported a beneficial effect of 5-HT1A agonists in reversing and preventing the development of catalepsy in rodents [83,84], suggesting that the combination of a 5-HT1A agonist and a D2 antagonist may lead to antipsychotic activity free of extrapyramidal symptoms [82]. Other animal studies have confirmed a role for 5-HT2 receptors in alleviating catalepsy through the use of specific 5-HT2A antagonists [85,86] and have also shown that 5-HT2 antagonists enhance dopamine-mediated motor behaviour in models other than catalepsy [87,88]. Though Kapur and Seeman [89] have recently argued in their PET studies that the occupancy of 5-HT2A is not a necessary condition for atypicality, PET occupancy metrics may not be directly correlated to drugs’ antipsychotic mechanism of action. Indeed, as reported by Cox and colleagues, PET studies allow a maximum resolution area of 4.2 mm [90]; this low resolution makes it difficult to study the restricted and small areas where antipsychotics ultimately act, such as the ventral tegmental area (VTA) [91,92].

In recent years, the TAAR1 receptor has been identified as a novel therapeutic target in the treatment of psychotic disorders. Discovered in 2001 [59,93], TAAR1 is an important modulator of the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems [94–98], and potentially the glutamatergic system [94]. TAAR1 is a G protein-coupled receptor that responds to the so-called trace amines (TAs), a subgroup of biogenic amines including β-phenylethylamine (PEA), p-tyramine (pTyr), and tryptamine previously denoted as false neurotransmitters [59,93,95–97,99]. Abnormal levels of TAs has been associated with various neuropathological disorders, including schizophrenia [33,67–71], major depression [71,72] and Parkinson’s disease [63,95,98–100]; in addition, the TAAR1 gene maps to locus 6q23 have been frequently associated with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder [100,101]. In the murine brain, TAAR1 is expressed in the limbic and monoaminergic systems, including the VTA and dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) [60]. Mice lacking TAAR1 receptors (TAAR1−/− mice) display no overt phenotype, but they are hypersensitive to amphetamine, showing enhanced locomotion, and striatal release of DA, noradrenaline (NA), and 5-HT following an acute challenge with the psychoactive drug [60,102]. In addition, the basal spontaneous firing activity of VTA DA and DRN 5-HT neurons in these knockout mice is augmented and does not decrease following injection of pTyr compared with WT controls [60,94,103]. These results suggest that TAAR1 can be considered a modulator of monoaminergic neurotransmission; it also suggests potential relevance for the development of novel therapeutics targeting this receptor in the context of neuropsychiatric disorders. The recent
development of potent TAAR\textsubscript{1} antagonists such as EPPTB and RO5212773 \cite{103,104} and the TAAR\textsubscript{1} agonist RO5166017 \cite{94} will allow exploration of this possibility. In vitro studies with these ligands have revealed that TAAR\textsubscript{1} functionally interacts with D\textsubscript{2} and 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptors on VTA DA and DRN 5-HT neurons, respectively \cite{94,103,105}. In vivo, TAAR\textsubscript{1} agonists produce anxiolytic and antipsychotic-like effects in several mouse models \cite{94}. Importantly, similarly to the antipsychotic olanzapine, RO5166017 induces a reduction of the DA-dependent hyperlocomotion induced by cocaine administration or genetic deletion of the DA transporter, as well as hyperactivity caused by L-687,414, an antagonist of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor \cite{94}.

### 3. In the Deep of the Mechanism: Who Are the Players?

#### 3.1. The Serotonin System and LSD: The Playmaker or the Point Guard

Similar to basketball games, several players are involved in the pharmacology of LSD. In both humans and rodents, there is a strong correlation between the binding affinities of LSD-like hallucinogenic drugs at the 5HT\textsubscript{2A} receptor and their hallucinogenic potencies \cite{50,106–108}. Notably, the activation of the 5-HT\textsubscript{2A} receptor may promote the experience of visual hallucinations, likely by increasing neuronal excitability and altering visual-evoked cortical responses \cite{109}. The effects of LSD on the 5-HT inhibition observed in electrophysiological studies have been correlated with their human hallucinogenic effects \cite{110}. It has also been shown that the selective 5HT\textsubscript{2} antagonists LY 53857 and ritanserin can reverse the inhibitory effect of systemic administration of LSD on the spontaneous activity of Locus Coeruleus (LC) neurons \cite{110}. Following this evidence, the 5HT\textsubscript{2A} receptor has been designated as being responsible for LSD-induced hallucinations \cite{106,107,111}. Marek et al. \cite{112} have demonstrated that low concentrations of LSD (3–100 nM) excite GABAergic interneurons in the layer III of the rat piriform cortex, and this effect is blocked by the selective 5-HT\textsubscript{2A} antagonist MDL-100,907. Many other studies have likewise highlighted the involvement of other serotonin 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} and 5HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor subtypes in the mechanism of action of LSD \cite{52,53,113}. The 5-HT\textsubscript{2A} and 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptors have similar molecular and pharmacological properties, and the phenylisopropylamine hallucinogens as well as LSD have comparable affinities for these serotonin receptor subtypes \cite{114}. Studies conducted to understand the selective role of serotonin receptors in the mechanism of action of hallucinogenic drugs suggest that these drugs primarily act via 5-HT\textsubscript{2A} receptors, with 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} and 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptors having modulatory roles \cite{112–114}. In particular, acute administration of the selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor (SSRI) citalopram potentiates the stimulus effects of the phenethylamine (–)-2,5-dimethoxy-4-methylamphetamine (DOM), and this effect was reversed by the selective 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor antagonist SB-242084. Electrophysiological studies performed in our laboratory demonstrate that the cumulative injection of LSD (30–150 \(\mu\)g/kg, intra-venous (i.v.)) significantly decreases the firing rate of DA VTA neurons in rats and that this effect is blocked by the previous injection of WAY-100 635 (500 \(\mu\)g/kg, i.v.), a selective 5HT\textsubscript{1A} antagonist (Figure 2E,H). We also confirmed LSD’s inhibitory effect (5–20 \(\mu\)g/kg) on 5-HT neurons in the Dorsal Raphe nucleus (DRN), which was prevented by the injection of the selective 5-HT\textsubscript{2A} antagonist MDL 100 907 (200 \(\mu\)g/kg) (Figure 2A,C) \cite{115}. Fiorella et al. \cite{116} found that depleting 5-HT in rats using \(p\)-chlorophenylalanine (PCPA) resulted in the supersensitivity of LSD-entrained animals to the stimulus effects of LSD, which was likely caused by a significant upregulation (+46\%) of the maximal level of 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor-mediated phosphoinositide hydrolysis. Similarly, we found that 5-HT depletion with PCPA (350 mg/kg, intra-peritoneal (i.p.) per day, for two days) does not affect the inhibitory effect of LSD on the firing activity of VTA DA neurons per se, but that it sensitizes the LSD-induced burst response, shifting the curve to the left \cite{115}.
stereotypic behaviours following acute LSD administration. The authors speculated that the reduced density of 5-HT receptors in these knockout mice (as the authors speculated) underlies the absence of stimulus control by LSD. However, the potential interaction between LSD and SERT remains controversial. In fact, in a recent study, Krall et al. [118] investigated heterozygous SERT KO mice. These data suggest LSD’s SERT-modulating effect on specific behaviours; however, a direct interaction between LSD and SERT should be excluded, as demonstrated by Richil et al. [50] in in vitro affinity studies. It is likely that the decreased stimulus effect observed by Krall et al. [118] was related to a reduced density of 5-HT1A and/or 5-HT2A receptors in these knockout mice (as the authors speculated). Overall, more studies are necessary to further elucidate the link between serotonin receptors and the effects of LSD. A detailed summary of the interaction of LSD with the serotonergic system is reported in Table 2.

**Figure 2.** Representative integrated firing rate histograms showing the effects of intravenous LSD administration on the firing rate of dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) serotonin (5-HT) neurons and of ventral tegmental area (VTA) dopamine (DA) neurons (modified from De Gregorio et al. 2016, with permission [115]). (A) LSD (5–20 µg/kg) decreases DRN 5-HT neurons; (B) Haloperidol (halo, 50 µg/kg); and (C) MDL 100 907 (200 µg/kg) prevent the inhibitory effect of LSD on DRN 5-HT neurons; (D) illustration portrays a coronal brain section (Paxinos and Watson, 1986 [117]) containing the DRN, the boxed area encompasses the DR represents the location where most putative 5-HT neurons were found; (E) LSD (30–120 µg/kg) decreased VTA DA neurons: (F) Haloperidol (halo, 50 µg/kg) prevents the inhibitory effect of LSD on VTA DA neurons (G) illustration portrays a coronal brain section (Paxinos and Watson, 1986) containing the VTA; (H) WAY 100 907 (WAY, 200 µg/kg); and (I) EPPTB (5 mg/kg) prevent the inhibitory effect of LSD on VTA DA neurons.
Table 2. Summary of the experiments showing the interaction of LSD with serotonergic, dopaminergic, glutamatergic and TAAR systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In Vivo Studies</th>
<th>In Vitro Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HT1A</strong></td>
<td><strong>5-HT1A</strong> receptor agonists increase the effect of LSD (0.1 mg/kg) in stimulus control test in rats. The effect is reverted by the 5-HT1A antagonist WAY 100 635 [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HT1A</strong> receptor antagonist WAY 100 635 (500 µg/kg) prevents the inhibitory effect of LSD (50–150 µg/kg) on VTA DA firing activity [115]</td>
<td>LSD binds human cloned 5-HT1A receptor (k; 0.0030 ± 0.0005 µM) receptor in HEK 293 cells [50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HT2A</strong></td>
<td>LSD (3–100 nM) excites GABAergic interneurons in the layer III of rat piriform cortex; the effect is blocked by the 5-HT2A antagonist MDL 100 907 [112]; 5HT2 antagonist LY 33857 reverts the inhibitory effect of systemic administration of LSD (5–10 µg/kg) on the spontaneous activity of Locus Coeruleus (LC) neurons in rats [110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HT2A</strong></td>
<td>5-HT2A antagonist MDL 100 907 (200 µg/kg) prevents the inhibitory effect of LSD (5–20 µg/kg) on DRN 5-HT firing activity [115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HT2c</strong></td>
<td>The efficacy of the stimulus control induced in SERT KO mice reveals a decreased efficacy of LSD (0.17–0.30 mg/kg)+stimulus control [118]; SERT+/− mice compared to controls display a longer duration of self-grooming behaviour. The treatment with LSD (0.32 mg/kg) increases serotonin-sensitive behaviours such as head twitching, tremors and backwars gait in both SERT+/− and SERT−/− mice [119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D1</strong></td>
<td>No available studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D2</strong></td>
<td>Rats trained with the DA D1/D2 agonist apomorphine (0.25 mg/kg) respond to LSD with partial generalization but the apomorphine cue is antagonized by the D2 antagonist haloperidol [121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D2</strong></td>
<td>The potency of ritanserin to antagonize LSD stimulus control is markedly potentiated when administered in combination with low doses of the D2 antagonist haloperidol [122]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D4</strong></td>
<td>LSD (372 nmol/kg, 0.16 mg/kg) injected 90 min before training produces a cue that is not fully blocked by 5-HT2A antagonists, but instead is significantly inhibited by the D2 antagonist haloperidol [123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NMDA</strong></td>
<td>Haloperidol (50 µg/kg) prevents the inhibitory effect of LSD (30–150 µg/kg) on VTA DA firing activity [115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>mGluc/mGluc3</strong></td>
<td>The D4 antagonist A-381393 attenuates the stimulus effect of LSD (0.08–0.016 mg/kg) in discriminative test [124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAAR1</strong></td>
<td>NMDA receptor subunit NR2B-selective antagonists, ifenprodil and Ro25-6091 suppress the prolonged glutamate release induced by LSD onto layer V pyramidal neurons of the prefrontal cortex [125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**mGluc2/3 receptor antagonist LY341495 (1.5 mg/kg) reduces head-twitch behaviour and expression of c-fos, egr-1 and egr-2 that are both augmented by LSD (0.24 mg/kg) [126]</td>
<td>No available studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAAR1</strong></td>
<td>The selective TAAR1 antagonist EPPTB (5 mg/kg) prevents the inhibitory effect of LSD (30–150 µg/kg) on VTA DA firing activity [115]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. The Dopamine System: The Power Forward

Burt and colleagues conducted a pilot study to test the possible interaction of LSD with the dopaminergic system in 1975. They found that LSD displays highly stereospecific binding to the dopamine (DA) receptor, with the D1-isomer displaying about 1000 times greater affinity than the L-isomer for both labelled 3H-dopamine and 3H-haloperidol binding sites [54]. Later, the hypothesis that the dopaminergic system could be involved in the effects of LSD caught the attention of other researchers. LSD binds to the D1 and D2 receptors as a partial agonist [120], and the D4 receptor as a full agonist [124]. In particular, LSD shows high affinity ($k_i = 2$ nM) for D2 receptors in both pig brain [57] and in human cloned D2 receptors [50,59]. Giacomelli et al. investigated the functional activity of LSD at DA receptors in primary cultures of rat pituitary cells in their study of prolactin secretion. In this model, LSD produced a dose-dependent inhibition of prolactin secretion in vitro with an IC$_{50}$ of 1.7 nM. This effect is antagonized by spiperone, but not by SKF83566 or cyproheptadine, indicating that LSD’s inhibition of prolactin secretion was mediated by D2. Interestingly, LSD, at 10$^{-13}$–10$^{-10}$ M, potentiates prolactin secretion induced by dopamine in pituitary cells in vitro [127]. On the other hand, human studies performed by Schmid et al. have demonstrated that LSD induces an increase in prolactin secretion via the 5-HT system [9]. These findings suggest that LSD not only interacts with DA receptors, but also modulates DA neurotransmission. Seeman et al. also demonstrated that LSD and phencyclidine induce the incorporation of [35S]GTPgS into the inhibitory G protein (G$_i$) coupled to D$_2$ receptors in homogenates of rat brain striatum [56]. These in vitro studies were confirmed in vivo in male rats: LSD (0.05 and 0.20 mg/kg) significantly decreases plasma prolactin levels. In addition, 0.20 mg/kg of LSD inhibited the increase of plasma prolactin levels produced by chlorpromazine (5 mg/kg) and alpha-methylparatyrosine (50 mg/kg). LSD’s effects were more potent than methysergide, a serotonin receptor blocker, in lowering plasma prolactin levels, and more potent than apomorphine in blocking the increase in plasma prolactin produced by quipazine, a 5-HT agonist [128]. Rats that had received the D$_1$/D$_2$ agonist apomorphine (0.25 mg/kg) respond to LSD with partial generalization [121]. However, the apomorphine cue was antagonized by the D$_2$ antagonist haloperidol, and not by the 5-HT antagonist pizotifen; further, the substitution of LSD for apomorphine was blocked by pizotifen, but not haloperidol. These findings suggest that serotonin rather than dopamine is implicated in the substitution process. Notably, the mixed 5-HT$_{2A}$/D$_2$ antagonist risperidone blocked the LSD cue with much greater potency (414 times) than the 5-HT$_2$ antagonist ritanserin [122,129]. At a dose of 0.63 mg/kg ritanserin produces full occupation of 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptors, but fails to attenuate the LSD stimulus. On the contrary, at a much higher dose (40 mg/kg), which produced significant occupation of catecholamine receptors, ritanserin completely antagonized the LSD cue [122,130]. Unlike the LSD cue, the DOM cue is antagonized by risperidone and ritanserin with nearly identical potencies [128]. These experiments suggest that 5-HT$_{2A}$ and D$_2$ receptors are implicated in the LSD discrimination, whilst only the 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor is involved in DOM discrimination. As further support, the potency of ritanserin at antagonizing LSD stimulus control is enhanced when co-administered with low doses of the D$_2$ antagonist haloperidol [122]. For these reasons, the LSD cue seems most effectively and potently antagonized by concurrent blockade of 5-HT$_{2A}$ and DA receptors. The authors found that risperidone antagonizes the LSD cue with a potency comparable to that deriving from the co-administration of ritanserin and haloperidol [122]. Interestingly, recent reports indicate that the LSD discriminative stimulus being 5-HT- or DA-mediated is actually just a matter of time [123]. Drug discrimination studies using LSD as the training drug and 15–30 min pre-treatment times consistently demonstrated that 5-HT$_{2A}$ antagonists block LSD-induced stimulus control. On the other hand, when using a longer pre-treatment time (90 min), the resulting stimulus cue evoked by LSD is mediated by D$_2$-like but not 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptors [124,131]. Therefore, the stimulus effects of LSD follow two distinct temporal phases involving 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptors in the first phase and D$_2$ receptors in the second phase [132]. Even though a previous clinical study highlighted the important role of the 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor to reduce the psychotomimetics effects of psilocybin, independently of D$_2$ stimulation [133], future studies should
clarify whether the delayed dopaminergic cue is a direct effect of LSD or relies on a metabolite of LSD with selective D2 agonist activity. In accordance with these findings, we found that cumulative injections of LSD (5–120 µg/kg, i.v.) significantly decreased the firing rate of 5-HT DRN and DA VTA neurons of rats and that this effect was prevented by the injection of the selective D2 antagonist haloperidol (50 µg/kg) (Figure 2A,B,E,F) [115]. Intriguingly, Martin et al. recently found that the cortical DA system appears to be persistently affected by chronic treatment with LSD (0.16 mg/kg per day, 90 days) in rats [134]. In particular, they observed a 40% decrease in the mRNA expression of the DA receptor genes Drd1 and Drd2 in the medial Prefrontal Cortex (mPFC), suggestive of a receptor downregulation. As the authors speculated, that might be due to repeated excess dopaminergic activity in the frontal cortex following chronic LSD administration. LSD may be acting directly on the receptors, or it may act through indirect modulation of DA release, mediated by 5-HT2A receptor activation in the mPFC [115]. Our recent electrophysiology studies suggest a direct activation on D2, TAAR1 receptors in the dopaminergic neurons of the VTA [115].

A detailed summary of the interaction of LSD with the dopaminergic system is reported in Table 2.

3.3. Glutamate: The Third Player or Small Forward

The first experiment regarding the role of glutamate in the molecular functioning of LSD was carried out by Aghajanian and Marek in 1999 [55]. They demonstrated that LSD and phenethylamine hallucinogens (e.g., 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl-2-aminopropane) (DOI)), though they partially act through 5-HT2A receptors, enhance a prolonged and late wave of glutamate release onto layer V pyramidal neurons in the rat prefrontal cortex after an excitatory postsynaptic current (EPSCs) [54]. More recently, Lambe and Aghajanian [125] found that the NMDA receptor subunit NR2B-selective antagonists, ifenprodil and Ro25-6981, suppress this LSD- and DOI hallucinogen-induced delay in glutamate release and that this effect could also be partially mimicked by inhibiting glutamate uptake. This finding suggests that hallucinogenic drugs induce an over-release of glutamate in a phasic manner, unlike the glutamate uptake inhibitors [125]. Since a hyper-glutamatergic state may be involved in prodromal stages of schizophrenia [136], NR2B antagonists could be useful in the treatment of this pathological state. Moreno et al. have explored the effect of the chronic treatment with the mGlu2/3 receptor antagonist LY341495 (1.5 mg/kg) on the hallucinogenic-like effects induced by LSD (0.24 mg/kg). They found that the head-twitch behavior and the expression of c-fos, egr-1, and egr-2 were decreased by the administration of LY341495, revealing that the blockade of the mGlu2 receptor reduced the hallucinogenic effects of LSD due to 5-HT2A receptor activation [126].

A detailed summary of the interaction of LSD with the glutamatergic system is reported in Table 2.

3.4. Trace Amine-Associated Receptor 1 (TAAR1) and LSD: The Shooting Guard

Psychostimulant and hallucinogenic amphetamines, numerous ergoline derivatives including ergometrine, dihydroergotamine, and LSD, as well as the antiparkinsonian agents bromocriptine and lisuride, display agonistic activity at the rat [59] and mouse [51] TAAR1 receptor expressed on HEK-293 cells. Simmler et al. showed that LSD has relatively high affinity for TAAR1 in rat but lower in mice and humans [137], for the first time, our laboratory showed that the injection of EPPTB (5 mg/kg, i.v.), a novel and selective TAAR1 antagonist, prevents the inhibitory effect of LSD (30–150 µg/kg, i.v.) on rat VTA DA firing activity (Figure 2I) [115]. These studies suggest that TAAR1 receptor may play at least a downstream role in the response to LSD, which deserves further study since TAAR1 may be a novel target for the treatment of psychosis and LSD-induced psychotic-like effects.

A detailed summary of the interaction of LSD with the TAAR1 receptor is reported in Table 2.

3.5. Prefrontal Cortex: The Center

The final pathways of the serotonergic, dopaminergic, TAAR1 and glutamatergic activation by LSD is the Prefrontal Cortex (PFC). Projections from DR nuclei, VTA and LC end in the PFC promoting or blocking the release of neurotransmitters [138]. Indeed, the PFC contains pyramidal
glutamatergic neurons that are modulated by several systems as well as \( \gamma \)-aminobutyric acidergic (GABA) interneurons and dopaminergic, noradrenergic, serotonergic, glutamatergic and cholinergic neurotransmitters. Any change in these systems in the PFC could lead to the development of altered behavioural phenotypes \[139\]. Most of the psychotic and cognitive effects of LSD are probably mediated by the PFC \[140\]. However, further research should be addressed to explore the involvement of PFC in the mechanism of LSD.

4. LSD: An Animal Model of Psychosis

Several behavioural experiments have been carried out in animals to better understand LSD effects in laboratory settings. Chronic administration of LSD (0.08 and 0.16 mg/kg per day for three months) in rats induces a variety of persistent abnormal behaviours including hyperactivity, hyper-reactivity, abolished preference for sucrose solution, and altered social behaviours \[42\] that persist for several months after discontinuation. In particular, increased locomotion is present for at least three months after LSD cessation, and both olanzapine and haloperidol temporarily attenuate this phenomenon \[41\]. Drug-discrimination (DD) paradigms are used to examine the abuse-related effects of drugs by establishing the interoceptive effects of a training drug as the cue for performing a specific operant response (e.g., the pressing of a lever). Details on the DD protocol can be found in Solinas et al. \[141\]. Marona-Lewicka et al., using a two-lever food-reinforced operant conditioning task in rats, found that the discriminative stimulus effect of LSD occurred in two temporal phases, with the first phase mediated by 5-HT\(_{2A}\) receptors, and the second one mediated by D\(_2\)-like DA receptors \[123,132\]. Hallucinogenic 5-HT\(_{2A}\) agonists including LSD and mescaline produce deficits in startle habituation (a psychotic-like symptom) in rats \[142\], while 5-HT\(_{2A}\) antagonists \[143\], including antipsychotics like clozapine and clopiapine \[144\], yield opposite behavioural effects. Chronic treatment with LSD induces widespread changes in the neuronal state at the level of the mPFC that is present even four weeks after treatment cessation \[134\]. Importantly, LSD leads to altered expression of proteins and genes involved in schizophrenia. Indeed, LSD increases mRNA expression for the NMDA receptor subunit NR2A, the growth factor Bdnf, Krox20, and GABA-A ion channels (Gabrb1), and decreases RNA expression for the DA receptor gene Drd2, the two cytochrome C oxidase IV subunit genes (Cox7a2, Cox8a), and for glutathione S-transferases (Gstt2, Gstp2) \[134\]. Prepulse inhibition of the startle response (PPI) is considered a model of the gating deficits in schizophrenia and is used in animal models to test psychotic-like symptoms \[145\]. Indeed, clinical studies report that symptoms related to PPI deficits are common in schizophrenic patients \[146–148\]. Previous studies revealed that LSD produced disruption of PPI in rodents \[149,150\] and humans \[9\]. In particular, the PPI-disruptive effects of LSD are blocked by the selective 5-HT\(_{2A}\) antagonist M100907, but not by the D\(_2\) blocker haloperidol \[143\]. The head-twitch behavioural response is characterized by a rapid and lateral movement of the head that is similar to the pinna reflex \[151\]. It has been shown to be induced by a variety of psychedelic 5-HT\(_{2A}\) receptor agonists such as LSD, DOI, mescaline, and psilocin \[152–154\], and reversed by 5-HT\(_{2A}\) receptor antagonists \[153,155,156\]. Moreno et al. \[157\] found that the head-twitch response induced by LSD in mice is decreased by chronic treatment with clozapine (25 mg/kg/day, for 21 days), an atypical antipsychotic with low affinity for the D\(_2\) receptor, suggesting a predominant role of the 5-HT\(_{2A}\) receptors over the D\(_2\) receptors in the mechanism of action of LSD.

5. Conclusions

LSD is a very complex molecule, acting through multiple targets, whose chronic administration leads to the development of psychotic-like symptoms. Although there is a paucity of recent human studies and clinical reports concerning LSD-induced psychotic effects, preclinical and clinical studies suggest that LSD-induced psychosis may represent a valid model of psychosis that may allow researchers to investigate the pathogenesis of psychosis and the effectiveness of novel antipsychotic drugs that act through synergistic effects on the serotonergic and dopaminergic systems. LSD-induced
psychosis in laboratory animals may also allow the investigation of the preclinical efficacy of novel antipsychotic drugs including TAAR1 ligands. Clinical research with LSD, psilocybin, and other phenethylamine derivatives is currently undergoing a major revival, and current studies are exploring the potential role of hallucinogens in the treatment of alcohol dependence [158] as well as mood disorders [159] and anxiety [160]. In particular, a recent study carried out by Dolder et al. has demonstrated that the administration of 100 µg of LSD enhances emotional empathy in healthy volunteers [161]. However, more research needs to be performed on the differential effects of LSD and other hallucinogenic drugs at low and high doses: while at lower doses they activate 5-HT system, likely producing therapeutic effects for depression, drug dependence [159], and anxiety [160], it is clear that at high doses they activate the dopaminergic system, producing psychosis and similar effects [115].
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Abbreviations

- p.o. per os
- i.v. intra-venous
- i.m. intra-muscular
- i.p. intra-peritoneal
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