

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Andergassen D, Muckenhuber M, Bammer PC, Kulinski TM, Theussl H-C, Shimizu T, et al. (2019) The *Aim* IncRNA does not require any DNA elements within its locus to silence distant imprinted genes. PLoS Genet 15(7): e1008268. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008268

Editor: Marisa S. Bartolomei, University of Pennsylvania, UNITED STATES

Received: January 11, 2019

Accepted: June 23, 2019

Published: July 22, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Andergassen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The qPCR raw data and analysis for Fig 1 and Fig 2 is available as supporting information: Fig 1A (S3 Table), Fig 1B (S4 Table), Fig 2D left (S5 Table) and Fig 2D right (S6 Table). The E12.5 VYS endoderm and placenta RSDel/CAST and CAST/RSDel raw and processed RNA-seq data used to generate Fig 3 are deposited in the NCBI GEO database under accession number GSE128513. The E12.5 VYS endoderm FVB/CAST and CAST/FVB raw and processed H3K27me3 and H3K27ac ChIP-seq data used to generate Fig 4 are available under accession numbers GSE128513 RESEARCH ARTICLE

The *Airn* IncRNA does not require any DNA elements within its locus to silence distant imprinted genes

Daniel Andergassen ¹^{waa}, Markus Muckenhuber ¹^{wb}, Philipp C. Bammer ¹^{wc}, Tomasz M. Kulinski ^{1nd}, Hans-Christian Theussl², Takahiko Shimizu³, Josef M. Penninger⁴, Florian M. Pauler ¹^{ne}, Quanah J. Hudson ^{1,4,5}*

CeMM, Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, Austria,
IMP/IMBA Transgenic Service, Institute of Molecular Pathology (IMP), Vienna, Austria, 3 National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Obu Aichi, Japan, 4 IMBA, Institute of Molecular Biotechnology of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, Austria, 5 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Solution These authors contributed equally to this work.

¤a Current address: Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States of America

¤b Current address: DKFZ, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany

pc Current address: FMI, Friedrich Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland

¤d Current address: IBB, Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa, Poland

¤e Current address: ISTA, Institute of Science and Technology Austria, Klosterneuburg, Austria * <u>quanah.hudson@univie.ac.at</u> (QJH); <u>florian.pauler@ist.ac.at</u> (FMP)

Abstract

Long non-coding (Inc) RNAs are numerous and found throughout the mammalian genome, and many are thought to be involved in the regulation of gene expression. However, the majority remain relatively uncharacterised and of uncertain function making the use of model systems to uncover their mode of action valuable. Imprinted IncRNAs target and recruit epigenetic silencing factors to a cluster of imprinted genes on the same chromosome, making them one of the best characterized IncRNAs for silencing distant genes in cis. In this study we examined silencing of the distant imprinted gene Slc22a3 by the IncRNA Airn in the Igf2r imprinted cluster in mouse. Previously we proposed that imprinted IncRNAs may silence distant imprinted genes by disrupting promoter-enhancer interactions by being transcribed through the enhancer, which we called the enhancer interference hypothesis. Here we tested this hypothesis by first using allele-specific chromosome conformation capture (3C) to detect interactions between the SIc22a3 promoter and the locus of the Airn IncRNA that silences it on the paternal chromosome. In agreement with the model, we found interactions enriched on the maternal allele across the entire Airn gene consistent with multiple enhancer-promoter interactions. Therefore, to test the enhancer interference hypothesis we devised an approach to delete the entire Airn gene. However, the deletion showed that there are no essential enhancers for Slc22a2, Pde10a and Slc22a3 within the Airn gene, strongly indicating that the Airn RNA rather than its transcription is responsible for silencing distant imprinted genes. Furthermore, we found that silent imprinted genes were covered with large blocks of H3K27me3 on the repressed paternal allele. Therefore we propose an



and GSE75957 respectively. https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE128513, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi? acc=GSE75957).

Funding: This work was partly supported by a grant awarded to Quanah J Hudson (Grant no: P25185-B22) by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

alternative hypothesis whereby the chromosome interactions may initially guide the IncRNA to target imprinted promoters and recruit repressive chromatin, and that these interactions are lost once silencing is established.

Author summary

Long non-coding (lnc) RNAs are numerous in the mammalian genome and many have been implicated in gene regulation. However, the vast majority are uncharacterised and of uncertain function making known functional lncRNAs valuable models for understanding their mechanism of action. One mode of lncRNA action is to recruit epigenetic silencing to target distant genes on the same chromosome. A well-characterized group of lncRNAs that act in this way to silence genes are imprinted lncRNAs. In this study we examined how the imprinted lncRNA *Airn* silences genes in the *Igf2r* imprinted cluster, focusing primarily on silencing of the distant imprinted gene *Slc22a3*. We found that *Airn* expression blocks chromosome interactions between the *Slc22a3* promoter and the *Airn* gene locus. By making a large genomic deletion including the *Airn* gene we showed that these interactions are not essential enhancer/promoter interactions, but may help to guide the *Airn* RNA to target genes to recruit epigenetic silencing. Our study adds to the understanding of how lncRNAs may act to silence distant genes.

Introduction

Long non-coding (lnc) RNAs are a diverse and numerous group of non-protein-coding RNA species longer than 200 nucleotides, some of which have been shown to be involved in gene regulation [1,2]. A growing number of lncRNAs have been implicated in development and disease, sparking interest in how they may regulate gene expression [3,4]. However, the majority of lncRNAs remain relatively uncharacterized and of uncertain function, highlighting the value of model systems to identify modes of lncRNA action. One of the most studied functional lncRNAs in mammals are imprinted lncRNAs, which are expressed exclusively from either the maternally or paternally inherited chromosome. Mechanisms of lncRNA action identified in imprinted lncRNAs, such as the targeting of histone modifying complexes to genomic loci and the role of lncRNA transcription in gene regulation [5–8], have later been shown for other non-imprinted lncRNAs [2,9], emphasizing their value as model systems.

Genomic imprinting is an epigenetic mechanism that restricts gene expression to one of the two parental alleles. Imprinted genes are often clustered in domains with a differentially DNA methylated genetic region called the imprint control element (ICE, also called the imprinting control region (ICR)) controlling allele specific expression of all genes in the cluster [10]. Although differential methylation of the ICE is established during gametogenesis and maintained through somatic cell division, the extent of imprinted silencing is dynamic throughout development, with imprinted clusters tending to show their maximum size in extra-embryonic tissues like the visceral yolk sac (VYS) and the placenta [11]. For example, the *Igf2r* cluster expands from 120kb in most embryonic and adult tissues to almost 10Mb in placenta, while the *Kcnq1* cluster expands from 250kb in embryonic tissues to 690kb in VYS [11]. The number of imprinted genes in mammals appears to be limited to approximately one hundred [11], a number of which have been shown to be key regulators of development and disease [12,13].

Mechanistically ICEs often act as promoters for a lncRNA, with the imprinted lncRNA being expressed from the non-methylated allele initiating silencing *in cis* of all genes in the cluster [14]. This has been shown in mouse by truncating the lncRNA to a non-functional length for *Airn*, *Kcnq1ot1*, *Nespas* and *Ube3a-ATS* in the *Igf2r*, *Kcnq1*, *Gnas* clusters and the orthologous cluster to the human Prader-Willi/Angleman region respectively [5,6,15,16] One of the best-characterized clusters is the *Igf2r* cluster where the *Airn* lncRNA causes imprinted silencing of *Igf2r* in most tissues, and a larger cluster of genes in extra-embryonic tissues [5,11,17]. The function of the *Airn* lncRNA was previously tested using two mouse models that ablate imprinted silencing in the *Igf2r* cluster: a deletion of the *Airn* promoter and ICE (R2 Δ), and the truncation of *Airn* by the insertion of a polyadenylation signal (*AirnT*) [5,18]. Using the *AirnT* model we showed that imprinted silencing in VYS extends over 450kb to *Slc22a2* and *Slc22a3* [17], while more recently we used the R2 Δ model to show that in placenta the domain of genes showing imprinted silencing by *Airn* extends over 10Mb, making it the largest imprinted cluster known [11].

Airn overlaps Igf2r in antisense and silences it by transcriptional interference [7], but how non-overlapped imprinted genes in the cluster are silenced is disputed. In trophoblast stem (TS) cells the silenced paternal Igf2r cluster expressing Airn is contracted and associated with a socalled repressive domain that includes the polycomb repressive complex (PRC) modifications H3K27me3 (PRC2) and H2AK119u1 (PRC1) together with the PRC1 protein Rnf2 [19]. There is also some evidence that Airn may bind PRC2 [20]. In placenta Airn binds the H3K9 dimethylase EHMT2 (also known as G9a), which is enriched on the Slc22a3 promoter, and required for Slc22a3 imprinted silencing [21]. The Airn RNA is closely associated with the Slc22a3 promoter in placenta, indicating that Airn may target EHMT2 to the Slc22a3 promoter to cause silencing [21]. These data indicate that the Airn RNA product may silence non-overlapped imprinted genes like Slc22a3 by targeting EHMT2 and perhaps PRC2/PRC1 to their promoters. However, given that this contrasts with the mechanism of *Igf2r* silencing, where *Airn* transcription and not its RNA product mediate silencing, we have proposed an alternative hypothesis to explain these data. Enhancers form specific chromosome interactions with promoters to activate them [22], therefore we hypothesized that Airn transcription may prevent upregulation of non-overlapped imprinted genes like Slc22a3 by interfering with enhancer access to their promoters, and that as a secondary step EHMT2 and PRC2/PRC1 may deposit repressive chromatin modifications to maintain silencing [23]. Consistent with this we found enrichment of the active enhancer marker H3K27ac within the Airn gene in VYS endoderm and placenta, and open chromatin within the Airn gene in multiple tissues [11,24]. Enhancers often lie in the introns of actively transcribed genes and are not disturbed by transcription through them. We hypothesize that the RNA polymerase transcribing Airn has unique properties, as Airn lncRNA has unusual RNA biology features like a lack of splicing, nuclear retention and a short half-life [25,26]. It is therefore possible that this specific RNA polymerase complex enables not only transcriptional interference with the *Igf2r* promoter, but also transcriptional interference with enhancers [7,23].

In this paper we aimed to test the enhancer interference hypothesis. First we determined if the predicted chromosome interactions could be detected, and second we performed a genetic test to determine if disrupting the predicted enhancers affected expression of imprinted genes in the *Igf2r* cluster.

Results

Airn blocks chromosome interactions with the upstream imprinted gene *Slc22a3*

The enhancer interference model hypothesizes that transcription of *Airn* through enhancers for non-overlapped imprinted genes may disrupt enhancer activity preventing upregulation of

these genes [23]. This predicts that regions within the *Airn* gene should interact with nonoverlapped imprinted genes on the active maternal allele, and not on the paternal allele where expression of *Airn* causes imprinted silencing. To test this we conducted chromosome conformation capture (3C) to compare interactions on the maternal and paternal alleles between the promoter of the non-overlapped imprinted gene *Slc22a3*, lying 234 kb upstream of *Airn*, and the *Airn* gene body. We chose to examine *Slc22a3* because it is the only non-overlapped imprinted gene in the *Igf2r* cluster to show imprinted expression in multiple tissue types [11], and in order to compare to other studies where regulation of *Slc22a3* imprinted expression was examined [19,21].

Slc22a3 shows imprinted expression in both the placenta and VYS, but we chose to use VYS for the 3C analysis, as it is a simpler tissue that contains no maternal cells [17]. To enable parental allele specific analysis, we collected VYS from reciprocal crosses of the spontaneous T-hairpin mutant mouse (*Thp*) [27], which has a large deletion (minimum 5.56 Mb) that includes the *Igf2r* cluster [28]. We found that interactions between the *Slc22a3* promoter and *Airn* are higher on the maternal allele across the entire *Airn* gene (Fig 1A). This indicates that *Airn* blocks these interactions on the paternal allele consistent with the enhancer interference model.

To test this, we conducted a second 3C experiment to determine if loss of *Airn* would restore interactions between *Slc22a3* and the *Airn* gene on the paternal allele. We collected VYS from a cross between *Thp* mice and mice with a truncation of *Airn* (*AirnT*) that leads to a loss of imprinted silencing [5]. This enabled us to compare interactions between the *Slc22a3* promoter and *Airn* gene in the presence and absence of a functional *Airn*. We found that truncation of *Airn* led to an increase in interactions with the whole *Airn* gene, both for the biallelic comparison (+/+ vs +/*AirnT*) and for the comparison where only the paternal allele was present (*Thp*/+ vs *Thp*/*AirnT*) (Fig 1B). This indicates that *Airn* interferes with interactions between its gene body and the *Slc22a3* promoter, as predicted by the enhancer interference model.

Large genomic deletion indicates that *Airn* contains no essential enhancers for *Slc22a3*

The enhancer interference model predicts that essential enhancers for *Slc22a3* should lie within the *Airn* gene, and that transcription of *Airn* through these enhancers should prevent upregulation of *Slc22a3* on the paternal allele (Fig 2A). This is supported by an enrichment in maternal interactions between the *Slc22a3* promoter and the *Airn* gene in VYS (Fig 1), along with a broad enrichment of the active enhancer mark H3K27ac across the *Airn* gene in VYS endoderm and placenta [11], and multiple regions of open chromatin within the *Airn* gene other tissues [24]. Therefore, to test the enhancer interference model we devised an approach to delete the entire *Airn* gene in a mouse.

We chose to take advantage of existing mouse strains to engineer a deletion of *Airn* by targeted recombination during male meiosis [29]. We bred together the *Airn* promoter deletion mouse (*R2* Δ) with a *Sod2* exon 3 deletion mouse (*Sod2* Δ) and the Hprt-Cre mouse [18,30,31]. Both *R2* Δ and *Sod2* Δ contained a single loxP site in the same orientation, which enables Cre mediated *trans* recombination during male meiosis to generate either a deletion or duplication of the 270kb intervening region, including the entire 118kb *Airn* gene (Fig 2B) [29]. By mating males containing all 3 alleles with wildtype females, and screening 72 offspring we were able to identify 1 male founder that contained the deletion, which we then used to establish the *RSDel* strain (*R2* Δ to *Sod2* Δ deletion).

If the hypothesis that essential enhancers are present within the *RSDel* region is correct, deletion of these enhancers on the maternal allele where *Airn* is not expressed should prevent



Fig 1. Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C) indicates that the *Airn* **gene body may contain multiple** enhancers for *Slc22a3*. (A) Chromosome interactions between the *Slc22a3* promoter and the *Airn* gene body are enriched on the maternal allele. Top: In the *Igf2r* imprinted cluster in visceral yolk sac (VYS), *Slc22a3*, *Slc22a2* and *Igf2r* are expressed from the maternal (red) and repressed on the paternal (blue) allele. Long arrows indicate active expression, blocked arrows indicate repression. The *Slc22a3* promoter region (3C bait fragment) and *Airn* gene body

(3C prey fragments) are indicated by grey boxes. Multiple lines indicate the interactions assayed by 3C. Bottom: The relative level of 3C interactions identified on the maternal (red, +/*Thp*) and paternal (blue, *Thp*/+) alleles. (B) Paternal allele chromosome interactions between the *Slc22a3* promoter and the *Airn* gene body are increased following truncation of *Airn*. Top: Imprinted silencing in the *Igf2r* cluster in the VYS is lost following truncation of *Airn* (*AirnT*, colors and arrows as in A). Middle: The relative level of 3C interactions identified in the wildtype (black, +/+) and *AirnT* (grey, +/*AirnT*) mice (both parental alleles present). Bottom: The relative level of 3C interactions were determined using Taqman qPCR, normalized to the mean of 2 interactions in the *Igf2r* cluster, and then the highest interaction for A and B were set to 1, as detailed in the methods. Positions and size of prey fragments investigated in the 3C assay are indicated at the bottom. Points and error bars are mean and standard deviation of 3 technical replicates.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008268.g001

expression of *Slc22a3* on this chromosome. *Slc22a3* silencing should also be maintained on the paternal allele when these enhancers are deleted (Fig 2C left). This would be in contrast to all other mutations of the *Airn* gene that disrupt *Airn* expression, but do not delete potential enhancers, and that lead to a loss of imprinted silencing [5,7,18,32]. Alternatively, if the hypothesis is false, we would expect that deletion of candidate regions on the maternal allele would not affect *Slc22a3* expression, whereas deletion of the paternal allele would lead to a loss of imprinted silencing, similar to other *Airn* mutants (Fig 2C right).

To assess the effect of the *RSDel* deletion on *Slc22a3* expression we collected embryonic tissue from reciprocal crosses to wildtype FVB mice. We isolated the VYS endoderm layer to focus on the most relevant cell type where *Slc22a3* shows imprinted expression [17]. We found that when the deletion was maternally inherited there was no effect on *Slc22a3* expression, whereas when the deletion was paternally inherited *Slc22a3* expression doubled (Fig 2D left). This correlated with a loss of *Airn* expression, indicating this increase in expression was due to a loss of imprinted expression, as with other *Airn* mutants [5,7,18,32]. The non-imprinted gene *Tcp1* that lies within the *RSDel* deletion showed a similar expression level whether the deletion was inherited maternally or paternally (Fig 2D left). Similarly, in placenta where *Slc22a3* also shows imprinted expression, the maternal deletion did not affect *Slc22a3* imprinted expression, but the paternal deletion and loss of *Airn* expression led to a doubling of *Slc22a3* expression, whereas *Tcp1* showed a similar level of expression in both deletions (Fig 2D right).

To directly test the effect of the RSDel deletion on imprinted expression, and to extend our analysis to other genes in the Igf2r imprinted cluster, we performed allele-specific expression analysis on RNA-seq of embryonic tissue collected from reciprocal crosses between RSDel and the genetically distinct CAST mice (Fig 3A). We used the Allelome.PRO pipeline that we previously developed to analyze expression over SNPs between these strains [11,33]. For the maternal deletion, in VYS endoderm we found that Slc22a3, and also Slc22a2, maintained maternal imprinted expression, while Airn within the deletion maintained paternal imprinted expression as expected. The non-imprinted *Tcp1* gene within the deletion switched to paternal expression due to loss of the maternal copy, whereas the non-imprinted Mllt4 gene lying 750kb outside of the deletion was unaffected (Fig 3B). Similarly in placenta Slc22a3, Pde10a and Airn maintained imprinted expression, while Tcp1 showed paternal only expression and *Mllt4* biallelic expression as in VYS endoderm (Fig 3C). For the paternal deletion, in VYS endoderm we observed a loss of imprinted expression for Slc22a3 and Slc22a2, while Airn expression was completely lost as it is expressed exclusively from the paternal allele. As expected, Tcp1 within the deletion showed maternal only expression and Mllt4 expression was unaffected by the deletion (Fig 3D). The results in placenta were similar, with Slc22a3 and Pde10a showing a loss of imprinted expression, Airn expression being completely lost, and *Tcp1* and *Mllt4* showing the expected expression pattern (Fig 3E).

In summary, the maternal *RSDel* deletion did not affect imprinted expression of *Slc22a2*, *Slc22a3*, and *Pde10a* in VYS endoderm and placenta (Fig 2D, Fig 3B and 3C), whereas the



Fig 2. Deletion of the Airn gene indicates that it contains no essential enhancers for Slc22a3. (A) The enhancer interference hypothesis. The Airn gene body contains an essential enhancer (E) that interacts with the Slc22a3 promoter (solid arrow) and potentially also with the Slc22a2 promoter (dashed arrow) on the maternal allele (red) activating gene expression (arrows). On the paternal allele (blue) Airn (wavy line) prevents this interaction causing silencing (blocked arrows). (B) The RSDel deletion spans 270 kb from the Airn promoter to the third intron of the Sod2 gene, and includes the entire 118 kb Airn gene and 6 addition genes. The deletion was constructed by Cremediated *trans* recombination between loxP sites in the R2 Δ Airn promoter deletion and the Sod2 Δ alleles as detailed in the text. (C) Predicted expression patterns in the RSDel deletion that includes the entire Airn gene. Left: Prediction if enhancer interference hypothesis is correct: The maternal deletion removes the essential enhancer for Slc22a3 and Slc22a2 preventing their upregulation, and leading to a loss of expression. The paternal deletion removes Airn, but also the essential enhancer, so Slc22a3 and Slc22a2 remain silenced on the paternal allele, leading to normal levels of expression. Right: Prediction if enhancer interference hypothesis is false: The maternal deletion has no effect on expression of Slc22a3 and Slc22a2, leading to normal levels of expression. The paternal deletion removes Airn leading to a loss of imprinted silencing on the paternal allele, and a doubling of Slc22a3 and Slc22a2 expression. (D) The RSDel maternal deletion does not affect Slc22a3 expression, whereas the paternal deletion leads to a doubling of Slc22a3 expression. RT-qPCR expression analysis of the RSDel maternal deletion (red, RSDel/+) and paternal deletion (blue, +/RSDel) in E9.5 VYS endoderm (left) and E12.5 placenta (right). Expression levels are normalized to wildtype for each cross (set to 100). Bars show the mean and triangles indicate all data points (biological replicates). Note that Airn and Tcp1 (non-imprinted gene) are within the deletion while Slc22a3 is outside.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008268.g002



Fig 3. Allele-specific expression analysis shows imprinted expression is unaffected by maternal deletion of the *Airn* gene, but lost upon paternal deletion. (A) VYS endoderm and placenta was isolated from E12.5 F1 embryos from *RSDel* x CAST reciprocal crosses and subject to RNA-seq (3 wildtype and 3 *RSDel* from each cross and tissue). The data was subject to allelic expression analysis using the Allelome.PRO pipeline [33] as detailed in the methods. (B) The maternal *RSDel* deletion does not affect imprinted expression of *Slc22a3* and *Slc22a2* in VYS endoderm. Within the deletion *Airn* is unaffected as it is exclusively paternally expressed, while the non-imprinted gene *Tcp1* becomes paternally expressed, and *Mllt4* lying 750kb outside of the deletion is unaffected. (C) The maternal *RSDel* deletion does not affect implementation and *Pde10a* in placenta. Within the deletion *Airn* and *Tcp1*, show paternal expression, while *Mllt4* is unaffected. (D) The paternal *RSDel* deletion is unaffected. (E) The paternal expression, while *Tcp1* becomes maternal expression, and *Mllt4* outside the deletion is unaffected. (E) The paternal expression, while *Tcp1* becomes maternal expression, and *Mllt4* outside the deletion is unaffected. (E) The paternal expression, while *Tcp1* becomes maternal expression, and *Mllt4* outside the deletion is unaffected. (E) The paternal *RSDel* deletion leads to loss of *Slc22a3* and *Pde10a* imprinted expression is lost, *Tcp1* becomes maternally expressed, and Mllt4 is unaffected.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008268.g003

paternal deletion led to a loss of imprinted expression (Fig 2D, Fig 3D and 3E). These results support the alternative hypothesis (Fig 2C right), and indicate that there are no essential enhancers for *Slc22a3* expression within the *Airn* gene or the downstream region to *Sod2*.

Broad paternal allele enrichment of H3K27me3 on imprinted genes in the *Igf2r* cluster in visceral yolk sac endoderm

Given that the *RSDel* deletion disproves the enhancer interference hypothesis, we sought to further investigate the predictions of alternative models of *Airn*-mediated imprinted silencing in extra-embryonic tissues. *Airn* has been proposed to recruit and target the histone modifying complexes EHMT2 and the polycomb repressive complexes 1 and 2 (PRC1 and PRC2) to



Fig 4. Broad enrichments of H3K27me3 cover the silenced allele of imprinted genes in VYS endoderm. (A) Maternal enrichment of H3K27ac and paternal enrichment of H3K27me3 is present at sites across the entire 10Mb *Igf2r* cluster in VYS endoderm, despite imprinted expression being limited to the 450Kb from *Slc22a3* to *Airn* in this tissue. In this region showing imprinted expression, broad enrichment of H3K27me3 covers the silenced paternal alleles of *Slc22a3* and *Slc22a2*, while more focal maternal enrichment of H3K27ac is seen within these genes. (B) Genome-wide 97.2% of H3K27me3 parental allele enriched 20kb windows in VYS endoderm lie within imprinted clusters, with the *Igf2r* cluster showing the highest number, followed by the *Kcnq1* cluster and then the *Sfmbt2* cluster. (C) H3K27me3 is enriched over the silenced maternal allele of *Sfmbt2* and *Blustr* in the *Sfmbt2* cluster.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008268.g004

distant imprinted genes in extra-embryonic tissues [19,21]. However, the parental allele specific chromosome localization of H3K27me3 has not been investigated at the *Igf2r* cluster in extra-embryonic tissues. Therefore, we performed H3K27me3 chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) on VYS endoderm from FVB x CAST reciprocal crosses to determine the allele-specific distribution of this mark in the *Igf2r* cluster and throughout the genome. Using the Allelome.PRO pipeline to analyze the data [11,33], we found paternal enrichment of H3K27me3 with matching H3K27ac maternal enrichment across the entire 10Mb *Igf2r* cluster, despite imprinted expression in VYS endoderm being limited to a 450kb region from *Slc22a3* to *Airn* (Fig 4A) [11]. Within this region we found broad enrichment of H3K27me3 over the silenced paternal alleles of *Slc22a3* and *Slc22a2* (Fig 4A), similar to the broad enrichment of H3K27me3 over biallelically silenced genes that we have previously reported [34]. In genome-wide analysis we found that 97% of H3K27me3 enriched windows mapped to imprinted regions, with the *Igf2r* imprinted cluster showing the greatest number of enriched windows, followed by the *Kcnq1* cluster, which has been previously reported to show paternal allele enrichment of H3K27me3 over silenced imprinted genes in placenta (Fig 4B) [8,35]. Interestingly, the *Sfmbt2* imprinted region reported to show H3K27me3 mediated DNA methylation independent imprinted expression [36], showed the third highest level of H3K27me3 parental allele specific enrichment (Fig 4B), with maternal allele enrichment over *Sfmbt2* and the *Blustr* lncRNA shown to positively regulate its expression (Fig 4C) [37]. These results are consistent with H3K27me3 playing a role in the initiation and/ or maintenance of imprinted silencing for both the lncRNA-mediated silencing that occurs in the *Igf2r* and *Kcnq1* clusters, as well as for lncRNA independent imprinted silencing, such as occurs in the *Sfmbt2* imprinted cluster.

Discussion

LncRNA mediated imprinted silencing of one copy of genes like *Igf2r* and *Cdkn1c* is required for development, but imprinted lncRNAs also provide a tractable model system for understanding gene regulation by lncRNAs in general [10]. In this study we used imprinted silencing of the upstream imprinted genes *Slc22a2*, *Slc22a3* and *Pde10a* by the lncRNA *Airn*, as a model for how lncRNAs may silence non-overlapped distant genes *in cis*. We found chromosome interactions on the active maternal allele between the *Airn* gene body and the *Slc22a3* promoter supporting the previously proposed enhancer interference hypothesis [23]. However, a genetic test where we deleted the entire *Airn* gene demonstrated that *Airn* contains no essential enhancers for *Slc22a3* disproving this model, and requiring the development of a new hypothesis to explain the data in this and previous studies.

Previously it has been shown in placenta using a technique derived from RNA FISH called RNA TRAP (Tagging and Recovery of Associated Proteins) that *Airn* is associated with the *Slc22a3* promoter [21]. Surprisingly in this study in VYS using 3C we found an association between the *Slc22a3* promoter and the *Airn* gene on the maternal allele, and not on the paternal allele. However, these results are not contradictory, as an association between the *Airn* RNA and the *Slc22a3* promoter on the paternal allele (detectable by TRAP) is not the same as an interaction between the *Airn* genomic locus and the *Slc22a3* promoter on the maternal allele (detectable by 3C).

Here we show in VYS endoderm that the repressed alleles of *Slc22a3* and *Slc22a3* in the *Igf2r* cluster are covered by a broad enrichment of the PRC2 mark H3K27me3, as are imprinted genes in the *Kcnq1* cluster and in other imprinted clusters. Imprinted lncRNAs including *Airn*, *Kcnq1ot1* and *Meg3* have been reported to directly interact with PRC2 and EHMT2 [8,20,21], although the *Airn*-PRC2 interaction was reported in embryonic stem (ES) cells where *Airn* is very lowly expressed and no genes in the *Igf2r* cluster show imprinted expression [11,20]. PRC1, PRC2 and EHMT2 have been shown to be required to maintain imprinted silencing of members of the *Kcnq1* cluster that show extra-embryonic specific imprinted expression [19,38], and it has also been recently reported that imprinted silencing of *Dlk1* by *Meg3* requires PRC2 [39]. *Igf2r* imprinted silencing by *Airn* does not require PRC2 or EHMT2 [21,40], but while the effect of loss of PRC1 and PRC2 on *Slc22a3* and other members of the *Igf2r* cluster has not been tested, loss of EHMT2 has been shown to lead to loss of imprinted silencing of *Slc22a3* [21].

Although it is technically difficult to exclude a role for transcription of the lncRNA versus the RNA product, together these results indicate that imprinted lncRNAs like *Airn* may silence distant imprinted genes like *Slc22a2*, *Slc22a3* and *Pde10a* by recruiting and targeted PRC1, PRC2 and EHMT2 to these genes to deposit repressive chromatin modification and cause



Fig 5. Model: chromosome conformation guides *Airn* lncRNA to target silencing of distant imprinted genes in extra-embryonic tissues. Left: In early development where *Airn* is not expressed, and the genes that it regulates *Igf2r*, *Slc22a2* and *Slc22a3* are expressed at low levels from both alleles (dashed arrows). Both parental alleles have a chromosomal conformation that brings the *Airn* gene body and the promoters of *Slc22a2* and *Slc22a3* in close proximity. These chromosome interactions may be mediated by interaction factors (IF) at regions of open chromatin marked by H3K27ac histone modification (triangles). The imprint control element (ICE) or *Airn* promoter is methylated on the maternal allele (filled diamond) and unmethylated on the paternal allele (unfilled diamond). Middle: As development progresses, methylation on the maternal allele prevents expression of *Airn*, the chromosome conformation is maintained and *Igf2r, Slc22a2* and *Slc22a3* are upregulated (solid arrows). On the unmethylated paternal allele, *Airn* (wavy line) starts to be expressed and recruits repressive histone modifiers (HM) and targets them to the promoters of *Slc22a2* and *Slc22a3*, preventing these genes from being upregulated. *Airn* prevents *Igf2r* from being upregulated by transcriptional interference with its promoter [7]. Right: Later in development, on the maternal allele, the loss of H3K27ac and the establishment of a compact repressive chromatin domain including H3K27me3, maintains silencing of *Slc22a2* and *Slc22a3*, and leads to the loss of chromosome interactions.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008268.g005

silencing. This indicates that *Airn* silences imprinted genes in the *Igf2r* cluster by two different mechanisms: *Airn* transcription silences *Igf2r* by transcription interference that does not require repressive chromatin modifying complexes [7], and the *Airn* RNA product recruits repressive chromatin modifying complexes and targets them to distant, non-overlapped genes like *Slc22a2*, *Slc22a3* and *Pde10a* to cause silencing. Importantly, the mechanism for targeting silencing remains unknown.

In this study we showed that chromosome interactions between the *Airn* gene body and the *Slc22a3* promoter are enriched on the maternal allele because *Airn* expression represses these interactions on the paternal allele. We showed that these interactions are not required to upregulate *Slc22a3* expression on the maternal allele, indicating that they are not essential promoter-enhancer interactions, but they may serve to place the *Airn* locus and *Slc22a3* promoter in close proximity in the nuclear space. Chromosome interactions can exist in the ground state or be formed during development [41]. Therefore, we propose that in the ground state interactions between the *Airn* locus and the promoter of *Slc22a3* (and likely all other genes silenced by *Airn*, like *Slc22a2*), are present on both alleles (Fig 5 left). During development *Airn* is upregulated on the paternal allele, and these pre-existing interactions allow *Airn* to target gene promoters while recruiting PRC2 and EHMT2 to deposit repressive histone modifications (Fig 5 middle). The establishment of repressive chromatin on the targeted promoters then leads to the loss of chromosome interactions with the *Airn* locus on the paternal allele (Fig 5 right). On

PLOS GENETICS

the paternal allele the *Airn* RNA and *Slc22a3* promoter are also in close proximity [21]. This may be achieved by the formation of a compacted repressive chromatin domain [19], which may allow *Airn* to continue to find repressed promoters to help maintain silencing despite the loss of the chromosome interactions.

Imprinted genes show tissue-specific expression. In the *Igf2r* and *Kcnq1* cluster, where imprinted silencing is initiated by a lncRNA, genes closer to or overlapped by the lncRNA locus show imprinted expression in multiple tissues, whereas the more distant genes show imprinted expression restricted to extra-embryonic tissues [5,11,17,42]. Our model seeks to explain silencing of these distant, extra-embryonic specific imprinted genes. In the *Igf2r* cluster there is a relatively clear distinction between *Igf2r*, which is overlapped in antisense by *Airn* and silenced by transcriptional interference [7], and other genes in the cluster that are not overlapped and are silenced only in extra-embryonic tissues. However, it has been recently shown that *Slc22a3* also shows imprinted expression in neonatal tongue and adult liver [11], and Kcnq1ot1 does not overlap the promoter of any of the proximal imprinted genes in the *Kcnq1* cluster that show imprinted expression in multiple tissues [42]. Interestingly, *Kcnq1* itself has been reported to be subject to lncRNA independent imprinted silencing despite Kcnq1ot1 lying within one of its introns, and to lose imprinted expression during heart development [43]. Therefore, it remains to be tested if the model can explain lncRNA mediated imprinted silencing of non-overlapped genes in all tissues, or if it is restricted to the specific epigenetic environment present in extra-embryonic tissues, known to have unique features such as low levels of DNA methylation [44,45].

Our model has parallels with one proposed with to explain how the lncRNA *Xist* may find its targets during the initiation of X inactivation. *Xist* initially binds at discrete sites throughout the X chromosome, before spreading to cover the whole chromosome [46]. Similar to imprinted lncRNAs like *Airn* and *Kcnq1ot1*, *Xist* recruits and targets repressive histone modifying complexes like PRC1 and PRC2 to chromatin as part of the X inactivation process [47]. These early binding sites correlate with the Hi-C interaction map in undifferentiated ES cells that have 2 active X chromosomes, indicating that pre-existing interactions in the ground state may guide *Xist* to initiate silencing at these sites [46].

Imprinting and X inactivation show allele-specific differences in gene silencing and chromosome interactions within the same cell, making them powerful model systems for uncovering the mechanism of lncRNA mediated silencing. LncRNAs that show biallelic silencing may act in a similar way, but without a picture of chromosome interactions in the ground state detecting distant target genes may be difficult. Future studies should focus on testing the predictions of the model in imprinted and non-imprinted systems.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

Mice were housed and treated according to Austrian law under Laboratory Animal Facility Permit GZ: 311633/2014/9 that was approved by the Office of the Vienna provincial government. Mice were maintained in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals from the NIH, the opinion of the European Group on Ethics in Science, and the European Union (EU) Protocol on the Protection and Welfare of Animals. The Animal Research is covered by Federal Austrian legislation (Law of Animal Experiments 2012 ("TVG-Tierversuchsgesetz"; regulating the "Experimentation on living animals" BGBI. I Nr.114/2012) and the overriding EU and international legislation and codes of conduct. No experimental procedures were performed on the animals so no extra permissions were required.

Mouse strains

FVB/NJ (FVB) mice were obtained from Charles River. CAST/EiJ (CAST) mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. The FVB.AK-Del(17)T<hp> (*Thp*) mouse (EM:09898) contains an minimum 5.56Mb deletion on chromosome 17 that includes the *Igf2r* imprinted cluster allowing parental allele-specific analysis [27,28]. The FVB.129P2-Airn<tm1Dpb> (*AirnT*) mouse (EM:09895) has a polyadenylation cassette inserted into the *Airn gene*, 3kb downstream from its start site causing it to be truncated and non-functional [5]. The FVB.129P2-Airn-R2D (*R2* Δ) mouse (EM:09897) has a deletion that includes the *Airn* promoter and the imprint control element (ICE) of the *Igf2r* imprinted cluster [18]. Note that the *Thp*, *AirnT* and *R2* Δ mice have been cryopreserved by the EMMA mouse repository (EMMA ID indicated). The *Sod2-flox* mice contain loxP sites flanking the exon 3 of *Sod2* and were made in a 129 ES cell line [30]. The Hprt-Cre mice express Cre during male meiosis and were a kind gift from Simon Hippenmeyer [31]. Note in mouse crosses the maternal allele is always written on the left.

Derivation of the RSDel mouse by targeted meiotic recombination

The *RSDel* mice were created by *Hprt-Cre*-mediated trans-recombination during male meiosis between the remaining loxP site in the *R2* Δ and *Sod2* Δ alleles [18,29–31]. In the first generation *Sod2-flox* mice recovered from frozen embryos were crossed to *Hprt-Cre* mice, while in parallel *Hprt-Cre* was crossed to *R2* Δ , and the offspring of both crosses were genotyped. In the second generation *Hprt-Cre/R2* Δ were crossed to *Sod2* Δ , and Hprt-Cre/*Sod2* Δ was crossed to R2 Δ , and the offspring were screened for triple mutant males. In the third generation *Hprt-Cre/R2* Δ /*Sod2* Δ triple mutant males were crossed to FVB females and the offspring screened for the *RSDel* deletion. One *RSDel* male was detected among 72 offspring, and this male was backcrossed to FVB to establish the *RSDel* strain. Note that this strain has been cryopreserved and is stored at IMBA.

Tissue isolation

Placenta was isolated from E12.5 embryos under a dissection microscope, taking care to remove as much decidua as possible. Visceral yolk sac (VYS) was isolated from E9.5 and E12.5 embryos under a dissection microscope. The whole VYS was used for the chromosome conformation capture (3C experiments), while for RNA isolation and for chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) the VYS endoderm was mechanically separated away from the rest of the VYS after 1–2 hours of DispaseII digestion at 4°C, as previously described [17].

Chromosome Conformation Capture

Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C) was performed following established protocols with minor modifications [48,49]. To allow the maternal and paternal chromosome to be examined separately at the *Igf2r* imprinted locus we used reciprocal crosses of *Thp* and FVB mice [28]. To determine the influence of *Airn* on interactions we used *Thp* x *AirnT* cross, where *AirnT* mice have a truncated and non-functional *Airn* [5]. We collected visceral yolk sac (VYS) samples from E12.5 embryos, and processed samples for 3C using a protocol adapted from a method designed for cell culture cells with minor modifications [48]. Briefly, single VYS were fixed for 10 minutes in 500µl 2% formaldehyde/PBS at room temperature, before quenching by adding 56µl 2.5M glycine and incubating for 5 minutes at room temperature and then for at least 20 minutes on ice. The liquid was then removed and the samples frozen on dry ice before being stored at -80°C. DNA isolated from the embryonic heads was used to genotype samples by a DNA methylation sensitive Southern blot assay using a EcoRI/MluI

digest and a 1013bp probe (chr17:12,741,515–12,742,527; GRCm38/mm10), which detects a 6.3kb (methylated) and 5.0kb (unmethylated) band at the differentially methylated *Igf2r* imprint control element (ICE). Around 28 VYS were then pooled per genotype and thawed on ice and then incubated with 8ml lysis buffer for 15 minutes on ice (1 tab Complete Protease Inhibitor (Roche) per 25ml lysis buffer (10mM Tris-HCl ph8, 10mM NaCl, 0.2% NP-40)). The samples were then dounced in a 15ml glass dounce (Wheaton) with a loose pestle about 30 times, and then 30 times with a tight pestle, before centrifuging for 5 minutes at 2000g at 4°C. The supernatant was then removed leaving a nuclear pellet, which was then resuspended in 1 x EcoRI buffer (Fermentas). Samples were then subject to EcoRI digestion and ligation as previously described [48]. The formaldehyde crosslinks were then reversed by proteinase K treatment (66µg/ml) and heating at 65°C overnight, followed by another 2 hours at 65°C with fresh proteinase K. The samples were then subject to phenol/chloroform extraction, precipitated, then resuspended in TE buffer before being subject to dialysis overnight at 4°C. The 3C material was then again precipitated and the pellet washed x6 with 70% ethanol and x2 with 100% ethanol, before finally being resuspended in 500 µl TE buffer.

We detected 3C interactions by Taqman quantitative PCR following a previously published protocol with minor modifications [49], and by using the standard curve method to analyze qPCR data. Briefly, a primer and Taqman probe were designed near to an EcoRI site on the "bait" EcoRI fragment (e.g. *Slc22a3* promoter) and a "prey" primer was designed near the EcoRI site for fragments in the target region (e.g. *Airn* gene body). All 3C interactions detected in the *Igf2r* imprinted cluster (*Thp* deletion region on chromosome 17) were normalized by dividing by the mean of 2 interactions with the *H19/Igf2* ICE, an independent locus on chromosome 7. To correct for technical and biological variation between experiments the highest interaction level was then set to 1. The primer/probe combinations used are given in S1 Table.

RNA isolation

Tissue from VYS endoderm or placenta was collected and homogenized in TRI reagent, and total RNA isolated according to the manufacturers protocol (Sigma-Aldrich).

RT qPCR analysis

Total RNA from E9.5 VYS endoderm and E12.5 placenta collected from *RSDel* x FVB reciprocal crosses was DNase treated using the DNA-free kit (ThermoFisher Scientific), and then converted to cDNA using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was then conducted using either a Taqman or SYBR Green system using the standard curve method to analysis the data, and normalization to a house keeping gene (cyclophillin A). The RT-qPCR results in Fig 2 are shown relative to the mean of the wildtype controls. The primers and probes used are listed in <u>S2 Table</u>.

RNA and ChIP-seq

Strand-specific polyA enriched RNA-seq libraries were generated from E12.5 placenta and VYS endoderm from *RSDel* x CAST reciprocal crosses using the TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit v2 (Illumina) modified as previously described [50]. For each tissue, a total of 12 libraries were generated: 3x WT and 3x Del (*RSDel* x CAST, maternal deletion cross) and 3x WT and 3x Del (CAST x *RSDel*, paternal deletion cross). Native ChIP was performed using an H3K27me3 antibody (Jenuwein lab antibody 6523, 5th bleed) on E12.5 VYS endoderm from FVB x CAST reciprocal crosses (2x CAST x FVB, 2x FVB x CAST, tissues from multiple litters were pooled) as previously described [51]. ChIP-seq libraries were prepared using the TruSeq ChIP Sample

Prep Kit (Illumina). Both, RNA-seq and ChIP-seq libraries were sequenced with a 50bp single end on an Illumina HiSeq 2000/2500. Note, that the H3K27ac ChIP-seq data from VYS endoderm included in this study was described in a previous study [11].

Allele-specific RNA and ChIP-seq analysis

Allele-specific expression and histone modification enrichment was detected from RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data using the Allelome.PRO program [33]. The SNP annotation file containing 20,601,830 high confidence SNPs between the CAST/EiJ and FVB/NJ strains was extracted from the Sanger database as described previously described [33,52]. For RNA-seq analysis, but not ChIP-seq, SNPs overlapping retroposed genes including pseudogenes were removed (RetroGenes V6 from UCSC genome browser). The *RSDel* mouse was backcrossed to FVB, but the region around the *Igf2r* cluster is likely to have a 129 background as both the *R2* Δ and *Sod2* Δ alleles from which the *RSDel* mouse is derived were made in 129 ES cells [18,30]. Therefore, in our allele-specific RNA-seq analysis we used only CAST/FVB SNPs where the FVB allele was shared with all three sequenced 129 strains (Final SNP number: 16,988,479 SNPs).

We used the following Allelome.PRO parameters for our analysis:

RNA-seq: minread 2 (allelic ratios extracted from debug folder), RefSeq annotation. H3K27me3 ChIP-seq enrichment: FDR 1%, allelic ratio cutoff 0.7, minread 1, 20Kb sliding

windows.

Note: minread = minimum number of reads that must cover a SNP for it to be included in the analysis.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Primer and Taqman probes and combinations for Chromosome Conformation Capture quantitative PCRs (3C-qPCR). (XLSX)

S2 Table. Primer and Taqman probes for reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RTqPCR).

(XLSX)

S3 Table. Chromosome interactions between the *Slc22a3* promoter and the *Airn* gene body are enriched on the maternal allele. Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C) quantitative PCR (qPCR) raw data and analysis for Fig 1A. (XLSX)

S4 Table. Paternal allele chromosome interactions between the *Slc22a3* promoter and the *Airn* gene body are increased following truncation of *Airn*. Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C) quantitative PCR (qPCR) raw data and analysis for Fig 1B. (XLSX)

S5 Table. The *RSDel* maternal deletion does not affect *Slc22a3* expression, whereas the paternal deletion leads to a doubling of *Slc22a3* expression in E9.5 visceral yolk sac (VYS) endoderm. Real time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) raw data and analysis for Fig 2D left panel. (XLSX)

S6 Table. The *RSDel* maternal deletion does not affect *Slc22a3* expression, whereas the paternal deletion leads to a doubling of *Slc22a3* expression in E12.5 placenta. Real time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) raw data and analysis for Fig 2D right panel. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

This study was largely conducted in the lab of the late Denise Barlow under her guidance and supervision. We thank Simon Hippenmeyer for providing the Hprt-Cre mice.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Daniel Andergassen, Florian M. Pauler, Quanah J. Hudson.

Data curation: Daniel Andergassen, Markus Muckenhuber.

Formal analysis: Daniel Andergassen, Markus Muckenhuber, Quanah J. Hudson.

Funding acquisition: Quanah J. Hudson.

Investigation: Daniel Andergassen, Markus Muckenhuber, Philipp C. Bammer, Tomasz M. Kulinski, Hans-Christian Theussl, Quanah J. Hudson.

Methodology: Markus Muckenhuber, Hans-Christian Theussl, Quanah J. Hudson.

Project administration: Quanah J. Hudson.

Resources: Takahiko Shimizu, Josef M. Penninger.

Supervision: Florian M. Pauler, Quanah J. Hudson.

Visualization: Daniel Andergassen, Florian M. Pauler.

Writing - original draft: Quanah J. Hudson.

Writing - review & editing: Daniel Andergassen, Florian M. Pauler.

References

- Uszczynska-Ratajczak B, Lagarde J, Frankish A, Guigo R, Johnson R (2018) Towards a complete map of the human long non-coding RNA transcriptome. Nat Rev Genet 19: 535–548. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41576-018-0017-y PMID: 29795125</u>
- 2. Marchese FP, Raimondi I, Huarte M (2017) The multidimensional mechanisms of long noncoding RNA function. Genome Biol 18: 206. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1348-2 PMID: 29084573
- 3. Balas MM, Johnson AM (2018) Exploring the mechanisms behind long noncoding RNAs and cancer. Noncoding RNA Res 3: 108–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncrna.2018.03.001 PMID: 30175284
- Delas MJ, Hannon GJ (2017) IncRNAs in development and disease: from functions to mechanisms. Open Biol 7.
- Sleutels F, Zwart R, Barlow DP (2002) The non-coding Air RNA is required for silencing autosomal imprinted genes. Nature 415: 810–813. https://doi.org/10.1038/415810a PMID: 11845212
- Mancini-Dinardo D, Steele SJ, Levorse JM, Ingram RS, Tilghman SM (2006) Elongation of the Kcnq1ot1 transcript is required for genomic imprinting of neighboring genes. Genes Dev 20: 1268– 1282. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1416906 PMID: 16702402
- Latos PA, Pauler FM, Koerner MV, Senergin HB, Hudson QJ, et al. (2012) Airn transcriptional overlap, but not its IncRNA products, induces imprinted Igf2r silencing. Science 338: 1469–1472. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1228110</u> PMID: 23239737
- Pandey RR, Mondal T, Mohammad F, Enroth S, Redrup L, et al. (2008) Kcnq1ot1 antisense noncoding RNA mediates lineage-specific transcriptional silencing through chromatin-level regulation. Mol Cell 32: 232–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.08.022 PMID: 18951091
- Kaikkonen MU, Adelman K (2018) Emerging Roles of Non-Coding RNA Transcription. Trends Biochem Sci 43: 654–667. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2018.06.002 PMID: 30145998
- Barlow DP (2011) Genomic imprinting: a Mammalian epigenetic discovery model. Annu Rev Genet 45: 379–403. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-110410-132459 PMID: 21942369
- 11. Andergassen D, Dotter CP, Wenzel D, Sigl V, Bammer PC, et al. (2017) Mapping the mouse Allelome reveals tissue-specific regulation of allelic expression. Elife 6.

- Lozano-Urena A, Montalban-Loro R, Ferguson-Smith AC, Ferron SR (2017) Genomic Imprinting and the Regulation of Postnatal Neurogenesis. Brain Plast 3: 89–98. <u>https://doi.org/10.3233/BPL-160041</u> PMID: 29765862
- Peters J (2014) The role of genomic imprinting in biology and disease: an expanding view. Nat Rev Genet 15: 517–530. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3766 PMID: 24958438
- 14. Barlow DP, Bartolomei MS (2014) Genomic imprinting in mammals. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 6.
- Meng L, Person RE, Beaudet AL (2012) Ube3a-ATS is an atypical RNA polymerase II transcript that represses the paternal expression of Ube3a. Human molecular genetics 21: 3001–3012. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/dds130 PMID: 22493002</u>
- Williamson CM, Ball ST, Dawson C, Mehta S, Beechey CV, et al. (2011) Uncoupling antisense-mediated silencing and DNA methylation in the imprinted Gnas cluster. PLoS genetics 7: e1001347. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001347</u> PMID: 21455290
- Hudson QJ, Seidl CI, Kulinski TM, Huang R, Warczok KE, et al. (2011) Extra-embryonic-specific imprinted expression is restricted to defined lineages in the post-implantation embryo. Developmental biology 353: 420–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.02.017 PMID: 21354127
- Wutz A, Theussl HC, Dausman J, Jaenisch R, Barlow DP, et al. (2001) Non-imprinted Igf2r expression decreases growth and rescues the Tme mutation in mice. Development 128: 1881–1887. PMID: <u>11311167</u>
- Terranova R, Yokobayashi S, Stadler MB, Otte AP, van Lohuizen M, et al. (2008) Polycomb group proteins Ezh2 and Rnf2 direct genomic contraction and imprinted repression in early mouse embryos. Dev Cell 15: 668–679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2008.08.015 PMID: 18848501
- Zhao J, Ohsumi TK, Kung JT, Ogawa Y, Grau DJ, et al. (2010) Genome-wide identification of polycomb-associated RNAs by RIP-seq. Mol Cell 40: 939–953. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.12. 011 PMID: 21172659
- Nagano T, Mitchell JA, Sanz LA, Pauler FM, Ferguson-Smith AC, et al. (2008) The Air noncoding RNA epigenetically silences transcription by targeting G9a to chromatin. Science 322: 1717–1720. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1163802 PMID: 18988810
- 22. Catarino RR, Stark A (2018) Assessing sufficiency and necessity of enhancer activities for gene expression and the mechanisms of transcription activation. Genes Dev 32: 202–223. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.310367.117 PMID: 29491135</u>
- Pauler FM, Barlow DP, Hudson QJ (2012) Mechanisms of long range silencing by imprinted macro noncoding RNAs. Current opinion in genetics & development 22: 283–289.
- 24. Pauler FM, Stricker SH, Warczok KE, Barlow DP (2005) Long-range DNase I hypersensitivity mapping reveals the imprinted Igf2r and Air promoters share cis-regulatory elements. Genome Res 15: 1379–1387. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.3783805 PMID: 16204191
- Seidl CIM, Stricker SH, Barlow DP (2006) The imprinted Air ncRNA is an atypical RNAPII transcript that evades splicing and escapes nuclear export. The EMBO journal 25: 3565–3575. https://doi.org/10. 1038/sj.emboj.7601245 PMID: 16874305
- Stricker SH, Steenpass L, Pauler FM, Santoro F, Latos PA, et al. (2008) Silencing and transcriptional properties of the imprinted Airn ncRNA are independent of the endogenous promoter. The EMBO journal 27: 3116–3128. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2008.239 PMID: 19008856
- Johnson DR (1974) Hairpin-tail: a case of post-reductional gene action in the mouse egg. Genetics 76: 795–805. PMID: 4838760
- Barlow DP, Stöger R, Herrmann BG, Saito K, Schweifer N (1991) The mouse insulin-like growth factor type-2 receptor is imprinted and closely linked to the Tme locus. Nature 349: 84–87. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/349084a0 PMID: 1845916</u>
- Herault Y, Rassoulzadegan M, Cuzin F, Duboule D (1998) Engineering chromosomes in mice through targeted meiotic recombination (TAMERE). Nat Genet 20: 381–384. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/3861</u> PMID: 9843213
- Ikegami T, Suzuki Y, Shimizu T, Isono K, Koseki H, et al. (2002) Model mice for tissue-specific deletion of the manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) gene. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 296: 729– 736. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-291x(02)00933-6 PMID: 12176043
- Tang SH, Silva FJ, Tsark WM, Mann JR (2002) A Cre/loxP-deleter transgenic line in mouse strain 129S1/SvImJ. Genesis 32: 199–202. PMID: 11892008
- Zwart R, Sleutels F, Wutz A, Schinkel AH, Barlow DP (2001) Bidirectional action of the Igf2r imprint control element on upstream and downstream imprinted genes. Genes Dev 15: 2361–2366. https://doi. org/10.1101/gad.206201 PMID: 11562346
- Andergassen D, Dotter CP, Kulinski TM, Guenzl PM, Bammer PC, et al. (2015) Allelome.PRO, a pipeline to define allele-specific genomic features from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 43: e146. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv727 PMID: 26202974

- Pauler FM, Sloane MA, Huang R, Regha K, Koerner MV, et al. (2009) H3K27me3 forms BLOCs over silent genes and intergenic regions and specifies a histone banding pattern on a mouse autosomal chromosome. Genome Res 19: 221–233. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.080861.108 PMID: 19047520
- Umlauf D, Goto Y, Cao R, Cerqueira F, Wagschal A, et al. (2004) Imprinting along the Kcnq1 domain on mouse chromosome 7 involves repressive histone methylation and recruitment of Polycomb group complexes. Nat Genet 36: 1296–1300. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1467 PMID: 15516932
- Inoue A, Jiang L, Lu F, Suzuki T, Zhang Y (2017) Maternal H3K27me3 controls DNA methylation-independent imprinting. Nature 547: 419. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23262 PMID: 28723896
- Engreitz JM, Haines JE, Perez EM, Munson G, Chen J, et al. (2016) Local regulation of gene expression by IncRNA promoters, transcription and splicing. Nature 539: 452. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20149 PMID</u>: 27783602
- Wagschal A, Sutherland HG, Woodfine K, Henckel A, Chebli K, et al. (2008) G9a histone methyltransferase contributes to imprinting in the mouse placenta. Mol Cell Biol 28: 1104–1113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01111-07 PMID: 18039842</u>
- Sanli I, Lalevee S, Cammisa M, Perrin A, Rage F, et al. (2018) Meg3 Non-coding RNA Expression Controls Imprinting by Preventing Transcriptional Upregulation in cis. Cell Rep 23: 337–348. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.044 PMID: 29641995
- Mager J, Montgomery ND, de Villena FP, Magnuson T (2003) Genome imprinting regulated by the mouse Polycomb group protein Eed. Nat Genet 33: 502–507. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1125 PMID: 12627233
- 41. de Laat W, Duboule D (2013) Topology of mammalian developmental enhancers and their regulatory landscapes. Nature 502: 499–506. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12753 PMID: 24153303
- Lewis A, Mitsuya K, Umlauf D, Smith P, Dean W, et al. (2004) Imprinting on distal chromosome 7 in the placenta involves repressive histone methylation independent of DNA methylation. Nature Genetics 36: 1291. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1468 PMID: 15516931
- Korostowski L, Sedlak N, Engel N (2012) The Kcnq1ot1 long non-coding RNA affects chromatin conformation and expression of Kcnq1, but does not regulate its imprinting in the developing heart. PLoS Genet 8: e1002956. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002956 PMID: 23028363
- Kulinski TM, Casari MR, Guenzl PM, Wenzel D, Andergassen D, et al. (2015) Imprinted expression in cystic embryoid bodies shows an embryonic and not an extra-embryonic pattern. Dev Biol 402: 291– 305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.04.010 PMID: 25912690
- Popp C, Dean W, Feng S, Cokus SJ, Andrews S, et al. (2010) Genome-wide erasure of DNA methylation in mouse primordial germ cells is affected by AID deficiency. Nature 463: 1101. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature08829</u> PMID: 20098412
- 46. Engreitz JM, Pandya-Jones A, McDonel P, Shishkin A, Sirokman K, et al. (2013) The Xist IncRNA exploits three-dimensional genome architecture to spread across the X chromosome. Science 341: 1237973. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237973 PMID: 23828888
- Wutz A (2011) Gene silencing in X-chromosome inactivation: advances in understanding facultative heterochromatin formation. Nat Rev Genet 12: 542–553. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3035</u> PMID: 21765457
- Dostie J, Richmond TA, Arnaout RA, Selzer RR, Lee WL, et al. (2006) Chromosome Conformation Capture Carbon Copy (5C): a massively parallel solution for mapping interactions between genomic elements. Genome Res 16: 1299–1309. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.5571506 PMID: 16954542
- Hagege H, Klous P, Braem C, Splinter E, Dekker J, et al. (2007) Quantitative analysis of chromosome conformation capture assays (3C-qPCR). Nat Protoc 2: 1722–1733. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot. 2007.243 PMID: 17641637
- Sultan M, Dokel S, Amstislavskiy V, Wuttig D, Sultmann H, et al. (2012) A simple strand-specific RNA-Seq library preparation protocol combining the Illumina TruSeq RNA and the dUTP methods. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 422: 643–646. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.05.043 PMID: 22609201
- Regha K, Sloane MA, Huang R, Pauler FM, Warczok KE, et al. (2007) Active and repressive chromatin are interspersed without spreading in an imprinted gene cluster in the mammalian genome. Mol Cell 27: 353–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2007.06.024 PMID: 17679087
- 52. Keane TM, Goodstadt L, Danecek P, White MA, Wong K, et al. (2011) Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation. Nature 477: 289–294. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10413</u> PMID: 21921910