

LESSON 11.

1. REGULAR MAPS.

Let X, Y be quasi-projective varieties (or more generally locally closed sets). Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map.

Definition 1.1. φ is a *regular map* or a *morphism* if

- (i) φ is continuous for the Zariski topology;
- (ii) φ preserves regular functions, i.e. for all $U \subset Y$ (U open and non-empty) and for all $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, then $f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\varphi^{-1}(U))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y & & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \varphi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi|} & U & \xrightarrow{f} & K \end{array}$$

Note that:

- a) for all X the identity map $1_X : X \rightarrow X$ is regular;
- b) for all X, Y, Z and regular maps $X \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y, Y \xrightarrow{\psi} Z$, the composite map $\psi \circ \varphi$ is regular.

An *isomorphism* of varieties is a regular map which possesses regular inverse, i.e. a regular map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that there exists a regular map $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ verifying the conditions $\psi \circ \varphi = 1_X$ and $\varphi \circ \psi = 1_Y$. In this case X and Y are said to be isomorphic, and we write: $X \simeq Y$.

If $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is regular, there is a natural K -homomorphism $\varphi^* : \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$, called the *comorphism associated to φ* , defined by: $f \rightarrow \varphi^*(f) := f \circ \varphi$.

The construction of the comorphism is *functorial*, which means that:

- a) $1_X^* = 1_{\mathcal{O}(X)}$;
- b) $(\psi \circ \varphi)^* = \varphi^* \circ \psi^*$.

This implies that, if $X \simeq Y$, then $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}(Y)$. In fact, if $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism and ψ is its inverse, then $\varphi \circ \psi = 1_Y$, so $(\varphi \circ \psi)^* = \psi^* \circ \varphi^* = (1_Y)^* = 1_{\mathcal{O}(Y)}$ and similarly $\psi \circ \varphi = 1_X$ implies $\varphi^* \circ \psi^* = 1_{\mathcal{O}(X)}$.

Example 1.2.

- 1) The homeomorphism $\varphi_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of Lesson 3, 1.6, is an isomorphism.
- 2) There exist homeomorphisms which are not isomorphisms. Let $Y = V(x^3 - y^2) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$. We have seen (see Exercise 2, Lesson 8) that $K[X] \not\cong K[\mathbb{A}^1]$, hence Y is not isomorphic to

the affine line \mathbb{A}^1 . Nevertheless, the map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y \text{ such that } t \rightarrow (t^2, t^3)$$

is regular, bijective and also a homeomorphism (see Exercise 1, Lesson 8).

Its inverse $\varphi^{-1} : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is defined by

$$(x, y) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{y}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

Note that φ^{-1} cannot be regular at the point $(0, 0)$.

Next Proposition tells us how a morphism is given in practice, when the codomain is contained in an affine space.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be a map. Then φ is regular if and only if $\varphi_i := t_i \circ \varphi$ is a regular function on X , for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where t_1, \dots, t_n are the coordinate functions on Y .*

Proof. If φ is regular, then $\varphi_i = \varphi^*(t_i)$ is regular by definition.

Conversely, assume that φ_i is a regular function on X for all i . Let $Z \subset Y$ be a closed subset and we have to prove that $\varphi^{-1}(Z)$ is closed in X . Since any closed subset of \mathbb{A}^n is an intersection of hypersurfaces, it is enough to consider $\varphi^{-1}(Y \cap V(F))$ with $F \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$:

$$\varphi^{-1}(Y \cap V(F)) = \{P \in X \mid F(\varphi(P)) = F(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(P) = 0\} = V(F(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)).$$

But note that $F(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \in \mathcal{O}(X)$: it is the composition of F with the regular functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$. Hence $\varphi^{-1}(Y \cap V(F))$ is closed, so we can conclude that φ is continuous. If $U \subset Y$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, for any point P of U choose an open neighbourhood U_P such that $f = F_P/G_P$ on U_P . So $f \circ \varphi = F_P(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)/G_P(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ on $\varphi^{-1}(U_P)$, hence it is regular on each $\varphi^{-1}(U_P)$ and by consequence on $\varphi^{-1}(U)$. \square

Remark. If $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a regular map and $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$, by Proposition 1.3 we can represent φ in the form $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$, where $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\varphi_i = \varphi^*(t_i)$. $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are not arbitrary in $\mathcal{O}(X)$ but such that $\text{Im } \varphi \subset Y$.

If Y is closed in \mathbb{A}^n , let us recall that t_1, \dots, t_n generate $\mathcal{O}(Y)$, hence $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ generate $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}(Y))$ as K -algebra. This observation is the key for the following important result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a locally closed algebraic set and Y be an affine algebraic set. Let $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ denote the set of regular maps from X to Y and $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(Y), \mathcal{O}(X))$ denote the set of K -homomorphisms from $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ to $\mathcal{O}(X)$.*

Then the map $\text{Hom}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(Y), \mathcal{O}(X))$, such that $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ goes to $\varphi^* : \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$, is bijective.

Proof. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ and let t_1, \dots, t_n be the coordinate functions on Y , so $\mathcal{O}(Y) = K[t_1, \dots, t_n]$. Let $u : \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ be a K -homomorphism: we want to define a morphism $u^\sharp : X \rightarrow Y$ whose associated comorphism is u . By the previous Remark, if u^\sharp exists, its components have to be $u(t_1), \dots, u(t_n)$. So we define

$$\begin{aligned} u^\sharp : X &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \\ P &\rightarrow (u(t_1)(P), \dots, u(t_n)(P)). \end{aligned}$$

This is a morphism by Proposition 1.3. We claim that $u^\sharp(X) \subset Y$. Let $F \in I(Y)$ and $P \in X$: then

$$\begin{aligned} F(u^\sharp(P)) &= F(u(t_1)(P), \dots, u(t_n)(P)) = \\ &= F(u(t_1), \dots, u(t_n))(P) = \\ &= u(F(t_1, \dots, t_n))(P) \text{ because } u \text{ is } K\text{-homomorphism} = \\ &= u(0)(P) = \\ (1) \qquad &= 0(P) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So u^\sharp is a regular map from X to Y .

We consider now $(u^\sharp)^* : \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$: it takes a function f to $f \circ u^\sharp = f(u(t_1), \dots, u(t_n)) = u(f)$, so $(u^\sharp)^* = u$. Conversely, if $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is regular, then $(\varphi^*)^\sharp$ takes P to

$$(\varphi^*(t_1)(P), \dots, \varphi^*(t_n)(P)) = (\varphi_1(P), \dots, \varphi_n(P)),$$

so $(\varphi^*)^\sharp = \varphi$. □

Note that, by definition, $1_{\mathcal{O}(X)}^\sharp = 1_X$, for all affine X ; moreover $(v \circ u)^\sharp = u^\sharp \circ v^\sharp$ for all $u : \mathcal{O}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y)$, $v : \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$, K -homomorphisms of rings of regular functions of affine algebraic sets: this means that also this construction is functorial.

The construction of the comorphism associated to a regular function and the result of Theorem 1.4 can be rephrased using the language of categories. We will see it in Lesson 12.

If X and Y are quasi-projective varieties and $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a regular map, it is not always possible to define a comorphism $K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$. If f is a rational function on Y with $\text{dom} f = U$, it can happen that $\varphi(X) \cap \text{dom} f = \emptyset$, in which case $f \circ \varphi$ does not exist. Nevertheless, if we assume that φ is **dominant**, i.e. $\overline{\varphi(X)} = Y$, then certainly $\varphi(X) \cap U \neq \emptyset$, hence $\langle \varphi^{-1}(U), f \circ \varphi \rangle \in K(X)$. We obtain a K -homomorphism, which is necessarily injective, $K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$, also denoted by φ^* . Note that in this case, we have:

$\dim X \geq \dim Y$. As above, it is possible to check that, if $X \simeq Y$, then $K(X) \simeq K(Y)$, hence $\dim X = \dim Y$. Moreover, if $P \in X$ and $Q = \varphi(P)$, then φ^* induces a map $\mathcal{O}_{Q,Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P,X}$, such that $\varphi^* \mathcal{M}_{Q,Y} \subset \mathcal{M}_{P,X}$. Also in this case, if φ is an isomorphism, then $\mathcal{O}_{Q,Y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P,X}$.

We will see now how to express in practice a regular map when the target is contained in a projective space. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a quasi-projective variety and $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ be a map.

Proposition 1.5. *φ is a morphism if and only if, for any $P \in X$, there exist an open neighbourhood U_P of P and $n + 1$ homogeneous polynomials F_0, \dots, F_m of the same degree in $K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$, such that, if $Q \in U_P$, then $\varphi(Q) = [F_0(Q), \dots, F_m(Q)]$. In particular, for any $Q \in U_P$, there exists an index i such that $F_i(Q) \neq 0$.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” Let $P \in X$, $Q = \varphi(P)$ and assume that $Q \in U_0$. Then $U := \varphi^{-1}(U_0)$ is an open neighbourhood of P and we can consider the restriction $\varphi|_U : U \rightarrow U_0$, which is regular. Possibly after restricting U , using non-homogeneous coordinates on U_0 , we can assume that $\varphi|_U = (F_1/G_1, \dots, F_m/G_m)$, where $(F_1, G_1), \dots, (F_m, G_m)$ are pairs of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree such that $V_P(G_i) \cap U = \emptyset$ for all index i . We can reduce the fractions F_i/G_i to a common denominator F_0 , so that $\deg F_0 = \deg F_1 = \dots = \deg F_m$ and $\varphi|_U = (F_1/F_0, \dots, F_m/F_0) = [F_0, F_1, \dots, F_m]$, with $F_0(Q) \neq 0$ for $Q \in U$.

“ \Leftarrow ” Possibly after restricting U_P , we can assume $F_i(Q) \neq 0$ for all $Q \in U_P$ and suitable i . Let $i = 0$: then $\varphi|_{U_P} : U_P \rightarrow U_0$ operates as follows:

$$\varphi|_{U_P}(Q) = (F_1(Q)/F_0(Q), \dots, F_m(Q)/F_0(Q)),$$

so it is a morphism by Proposition 1.3. From this remark, one deduces that also φ is a morphism. \square

Example 1.6.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, $X = V_P(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_0^2)$, the projective closure of the unitary circle. We define $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ by

$$[x_0, x_1, x_2] \rightarrow \begin{cases} [x_0 - x_2, x_1] & \text{if } (x_0 - x_2, x_1) \neq (0, 0) \\ [x_1, x_0 + x_2] & \text{if } (x_1, x_0 + x_2) \neq (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

φ is well-defined because, on X , $x_1^2 = (x_0 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2)$. Moreover

$$(x_1, x_0 - x_2) \neq (0, 0) \Leftrightarrow [x_0, x_1, x_2] \in X \setminus \{[1, 0, 1]\},$$

$$(x_0 + x_2, x_1) \neq (0, 0) \Leftrightarrow [x_0, x_1, x_2] \in X \setminus \{[1, 0, -1]\}.$$

The map φ is the natural extension of the rational function $f : X \setminus \{[1, 0, 1]\} \rightarrow K$ such that $[x_0, x_1, x_2] \rightarrow x_1/(x_0 - x_2)$ (Lesson 10, Example 1.11, 2). Now the point $P[1, 0, 1]$, the centre of the stereographic projection, goes to the point at infinity of the line $V_P(x_2)$.

By geometric reasons φ is invertible and $\varphi^{-1} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ takes $[\lambda, \mu]$ to $[\lambda^2 + \mu^2, 2\lambda\mu, \lambda^2 - \mu^2]$ (note the connection with the Pitagorean triples!).

Indeed: the line through P and $[\lambda, \mu, 0]$ has equation: $\mu x_0 - \lambda x_1 - \mu x_2 = 0$. Its intersections with X are represented by the system:

$$\begin{cases} \mu x_0 - \lambda x_1 - \mu x_2 = 0 \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_0^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Assuming $\mu \neq 0$ this system is equivalent to the following:

$$\begin{cases} \mu x_0 - \lambda x_1 - \mu x_2 = 0 \\ \mu^2 x_0^2 = \mu^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2) = (\lambda x_1 + \mu x_2)^2 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, either $x_1 = 0$ and $x_0 = x_2$, or

$$\begin{cases} (\mu^2 - \lambda^2)x_1 - 2\lambda\mu x_2 = 0 \\ \mu x_0 = \lambda x_1 + \mu x_2 \end{cases}$$

which gives the required expression.

Example 1.7. *Affine transformations.*

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $n \times n$ matrix with entries in K , let $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ be a point. The map $\tau_A : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ defined by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, such that

$$\{y_i = \sum_j a_{ij}x_j + b_i, i = 1, \dots, n,$$

is a regular map called an affine transformation of \mathbb{A}^n . In matrix notation τ_A is $Y = AX + B$. If A is of rank n , then τ_A is said non-degenerate and is an isomorphism: the inverse map τ_A^{-1} is represented by $X = A^{-1}Y - A^{-1}B$. More in general, an affine transformation from \mathbb{A}^n to \mathbb{A}^m is a map represented in matrix form by $Y = AX + B$, where A is a $m \times n$ matrix and $B \in \mathbb{A}^m$. It is injective if and only if $\text{rk}A = n$ and surjective if and only if $\text{rk}A = m$.

The isomorphisms of an algebraic set X in itself are called **automorphisms of X** : they form a group for the usual composition of maps, denoted by $\text{Aut } X$. If $X = \mathbb{A}^n$, the non-degenerate affine transformations form a subgroup of $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}^n$.

If $n = 1$ and the characteristic of K is 0, then $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}^1$ coincides with this subgroup. In fact, let $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ be an automorphism: it is represented by a polynomial $F(x)$ such that there exists $G(x)$ satisfying the condition $G(F(t)) = t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$, i.e. $G(F(x)) = x$ in the polynomial ring $K[x]$. Then, taking derivatives, we get $G'(F(x))F'(x) = 1$, which implies $F'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in K$, so $F'(x)$ is a non-zero constant. Hence, F is linear and G is linear too.

If $n \geq 2$, then $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}^n$ is not completely described. There exist non-linear automorphisms of degree d , for all d . For example, for $n = 2$: let $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be given by $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y + P(x))$, where P is any polynomial of $K[x]$. Then $\varphi^{-1} : (x', y') \rightarrow (x', y' - P(x'))$. A very important and difficult open problem in Algebraic Geometry is the Jacobian conjecture, stating that, in characteristic zero, a regular map $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is an automorphism if and only if the Jacobian determinant $|J(\varphi)|$ is a non-zero constant.

Example 1.8. *Projective transformations.*

Let A be a $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ -matrix with entries in K . Let $P[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n$: then $[a_{00}x_0 + \dots + a_{0n}x_n, \dots, a_{n0}x_0 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n]$ is a point of \mathbb{P}^n if and only if it is different from $[0, \dots, 0]$. So A defines a regular map $\tau : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ if and only if $\text{rk}A = n + 1$. If $\text{rk}A = r < n + 1$, then A defines a regular map whose domain is the quasi-projective variety $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathbb{P}(\ker A)$. If $\text{rk}A = n + 1$, then τ is an isomorphism, called a projective transformation. Note that the matrices λA , $\lambda \in K^*$, all define the same projective transformation. So $PGL(n + 1, K) := GL(n + 1, K)/K^*$ acts on \mathbb{P}^n as the group of projective transformations.

If $X, Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, they are called **projectively equivalent** if there exists a projective transformation $\tau : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\tau(X) = Y$.

Theorem 1.9. *Fundamental theorem on projective transformations.*

Let two $(n + 2)$ -tuples of points of \mathbb{P}^n in general position be fixed: P_0, \dots, P_{n+1} and Q_0, \dots, Q_{n+1} . Then there exists one, and only one, isomorphic projective transformation τ of \mathbb{P}^n in itself, such that $\tau(P_i) = Q_i$ for all index i .

Proof. Put $P_i = [v_i]$, $Q_i = [w_i]$, $i = 0, \dots, n + 1$. So $\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\{w_0, \dots, w_n\}$ are two bases of K^{n+1} , hence there exist scalars $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu_0, \dots, \mu_n$ such that

$$v_{n+1} = \lambda_0 v_0 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n, \quad w_{n+1} = \mu_0 w_0 + \dots + \mu_n w_n,$$

where the coefficients are all different from 0, because of the general position assumption. We replace v_i with $\lambda_i v_i$ and w_i with $\mu_i w_i$ and get two new bases, so there exists a unique automorphism of K^{n+1} transforming the first basis in the second one and, by consequence, also v_{n+1} in w_{n+1} . This automorphism induces the required projective transformation on \mathbb{P}^n . \square

An immediate consequence of the above theorem is that projective subspaces of the same dimension are projectively equivalent. Also two subsets of \mathbb{P}^n formed both by k points in general position are projectively equivalent if $k \leq n + 2$. If $k > n + 2$, this is no longer true, already in the case of four points on a projective line. The problem of describing the classes of projective equivalence of k -tuples of points of \mathbb{P}^n , for $k > n + 2$, is one the first problems

of classical Invariant Theory. The solution in the case $k = 4$, $n = 1$ is given by the notion of *cross-ratio*.

Example 1.10.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine variety, then $X_F := X \setminus V(F)$ is isomorphic to a closed subset of \mathbb{A}^{n+1} , i.e. to $Y = V(x_{n+1}F - 1, G_1, \dots, G_r)$, where $I(X) = \langle G_1, \dots, G_r \rangle$. Indeed, the following regular maps are inverse each other:

- $\varphi : X_F \rightarrow Y$ such that $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n, 1/F(x_1, \dots, x_n))$,
- $\psi : Y \rightarrow X_F$ such that $(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Hence, X_F is a quasi-projective variety contained in \mathbb{A}^n , not closed in \mathbb{A}^n , but isomorphic to a closed subset of another affine space.

From now on, the term *affine variety* will denote a *locally closed subset of a projective space isomorphic to some affine closed set*.

If X is an affine variety and precisely $X \simeq Y$, with $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ closed, then $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}(Y) = K[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is a finitely generated K -algebra. In particular, if K is algebraically closed and α is an ideal strictly contained in $\mathcal{O}(X)$, then $V(\alpha) \subset X$ is non-empty, by the relative form of the Nullstellensatz. From this observation, we can deduce that the quasi-projective variety of next example is not affine.

Example 1.11. $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is not affine.

Set $X = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$: first of all we will prove that $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq K[x, y] = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$, i.e. any regular function on X can be extended to a regular function on the whole plane.

Indeed: let $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$: if $P \neq Q$ are points of X , then there exist polynomials F, G, F', G' such that $f = F/G$ on a neighbourhood U_P of P and $f = F'/G'$ on a neighbourhood U_Q of Q . So $F'G = FG'$ on $U_P \cap U_Q \neq \emptyset$, which is open also in \mathbb{A}^2 , hence dense. Therefore $F'G = FG'$ in $K[x, y]$. We can clearly assume that F and G are coprime and similarly for F' and G' . So by the unique factorization property, it follows that $F' = F$ and $G' = G$. In particular f admits a unique representation as F/G on X and $G(P) \neq 0$ for all $P \in X$. Hence G has no zeros on \mathbb{A}^2 , so $G = c \in K^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$.

Now, the ideal $\langle x, y \rangle$ has no zeros in X and is proper: this proves that X is not affine.

We have exploited the fact that a polynomial in more than one variables has infinitely many zeros, a fact that allows to generalise the previous observation.

On the other hand, the following property holds:

Proposition 1.12. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be quasi-projective. Then X admits an open covering by affine varieties.*

Proof. Let $X = X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n$ be the open covering of X where $X_i = U_i \cap X = \{P \in X \mid P[a_0, \dots, a_n], a_i \neq 0\}$. So, fixed P , there exists an index i such that $P \in X_i$. We can assume that $P \in X_0$: X_0 is open in some affine variety Y of \mathbb{A}^n (identified with U_0); set $X_0 = Y \setminus Y'$, where Y, Y' are both closed. Since $P \notin Y'$, there exists F such that $F(P) \neq 0$ and $V(F) \supset Y'$. So $P \in Y \setminus V(F) \subset Y \setminus Y'$ and $Y \setminus V(F)$ is an affine open neighbourhood of P in $Y \setminus Y' = X_0 \subset X$. \square

Example 1.13. *The Veronese maps.*

Let n, d be positive integers; put $N(n, d) = \binom{n+d}{d} - 1$. Note that $\binom{n+d}{d}$ is equal to the number of (monic) monomials of degree d in the variables x_0, \dots, x_n , that is equal to the number of $(n+1)$ -tuples (i_0, \dots, i_n) such that $i_0 + \dots + i_n = d, i_j \geq 0$. Then in $\mathbb{P}^{N(n,d)}$ we can use coordinates $\{v_{i_0 \dots i_n}\}$, where $i_0, \dots, i_n \geq 0$ and $i_0 + \dots + i_n = d$. For example: if $n = 2, d = 2$, then $N(2, 2) = \binom{4}{2} - 1 = 5$. In \mathbb{P}^5 we can use coordinates $v_{200}, v_{110}, v_{101}, v_{020}, v_{011}, v_{002}$.

For all n, d we define the map $v_{n,d} : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N(n,d)}$ such that

$$[x_0, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow [v_{d00\dots 0}, v_{d-1,10\dots 0}, \dots, v_{0\dots 00d}]$$

where $v_{i_0 \dots i_n} = x_0^{i_0} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$: $v_{n,d}$ is clearly a morphism, its image is denoted by $V_{n,d}$ and is called *the Veronese variety* of type (n, d) . It is in fact the projective variety of equations:

$$(2) \quad \{v_{i_0 \dots i_n} v_{j_0 \dots j_n} - v_{h_0 \dots h_n} v_{k_0 \dots k_n}, \forall i_0 + j_0 = h_0 + k_0, i_1 + j_1 = h_1 + k_1, \dots\}$$

We prove this statement in the particular case $n = d = 2$; the general case is similar.

First of all, it is clear that the points of $v_{n,d}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ satisfy the system (2). Conversely, assume that $P[v_{200}, v_{110}, \dots] \in \mathbb{P}^5$ satisfies equations (2), which become:

$$\begin{cases} v_{200}v_{020} = v_{110}^2 \\ v_{200}v_{002} = v_{101}^2 \\ v_{002}v_{020} = v_{011}^2 \\ v_{200}v_{011} = v_{110}v_{101} \\ v_{020}v_{101} = v_{110}v_{011} \\ v_{110}v_{002} = v_{011}v_{101} \end{cases}$$

Then, at least one of the coordinates $v_{200}, v_{020}, v_{002}$ is different from 0.

Therefore, if $v_{200} \neq 0$, then $P = v_{2,2}([v_{200}, v_{110}, v_{101}])$; if $v_{020} \neq 0$, then $P = v_{2,2}([v_{110}, v_{020}, v_{011}])$; if $v_{002} \neq 0$, then $P = v_{2,2}([v_{101}, v_{011}, v_{002}])$. Note that, if two of these three coordinates are different from 0, then the points of \mathbb{P}^2 found in this way have proportional coordinates, so they coincide.

We have also proved in this way that $v_{2,2}$ is an isomorphism between \mathbb{P}^2 and $V_{2,2}$, called the Veronese surface of \mathbb{P}^5 . The same happens in the general case.

If $n = 1$, $v_{1,d} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ takes $[x_0, x_1]$ to $[x_0^d, x_0^{d-1}x_1, \dots, x_1^d]$: the image is called the *rational normal curve* of degree d , it is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . If $d = 3$, we find the skew cubic.

Let now $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hypersurface of degree d : $X = V_P(F)$, with

$$F = \sum_{i_0 + \dots + i_n = d} a_{i_0 \dots i_n} x_0^{i_0} \dots x_n^{i_n}.$$

Then $v_{n,d}(X) \simeq X$: it is the set of points

$$\{v_{i_0 \dots i_n} \in \mathbb{P}^{N(n,d)} \mid \sum_{i_0 + \dots + i_n = d} a_{i_0 \dots i_n} v_{i_0 \dots i_n} = 0 \text{ and } [v_{i_0 \dots i_n}] \in V_{n,d}\}.$$

It coincides with $V_{n,d} \cap H$, where H is a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^{N(n,d)}$: a hyperplane section of the Veronese variety. This is called the linearisation process, allowing to “transform” a hypersurface in a hyperplane, modulo the Veronese isomorphism.

The Veronese surface $V = V_{2,2}$ of \mathbb{P}^5 enjoys a lot of interesting properties. Most of them follow from its property of being covered by a 2-dimensional family of conics, which are precisely the images via $v_{2,2}$ of the lines of the plane.

To see this, we will change notation and will use as coordinates in \mathbb{P}^5 $w_{00}, w_{01}, w_{02}, w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{22}$, so that $v_{2,2}$ sends $[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ to the point of coordinates $w_{ij} = x_i x_j$. With this choice of coordinates, the equations of V are obtained by annihilating the 2×2 minors of the symmetric matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} w_{00} & w_{01} & w_{02} \\ w_{01} & w_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{02} & w_{12} & w_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ℓ be a line of \mathbb{P}^2 of equation $b_0 x_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 = 0$. Its image is the set of points of \mathbb{P}^5 with coordinates $w_{ij} = x_i x_j$, such that there exists a non-zero triple $[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ with $b_0 x_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 = 0$. But this last equation is equivalent to the system:

$$\begin{cases} b_0 x_0^2 + b_1 x_0 x_1 + b_2 x_0 x_2 = 0 \\ b_0 x_0 x_1 + b_1 x_1^2 + b_2 x_1 x_2 = 0 \\ b_0 x_0 x_2 + b_1 x_1 x_2 + b_2 x_2^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

It represents the intersection of V with the plane

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} b_0 w_{00} + b_1 w_{01} + b_2 w_{02} = 0 \\ b_0 w_{01} + b_1 w_{11} + b_2 w_{12} = 0 \\ b_0 w_{02} + b_1 w_{12} + b_2 w_{22} = 0 \end{cases}$$

so $v_{2,2}(\ell)$ is a plane curve. Its degree is the number of points in its intersection with a general hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^5 : this corresponds to the intersection in \mathbb{P}^2 of ℓ with a conic (a hypersurface of degree 2). Therefore $v_{2,2}(\ell)$ is a conic.

So the isomorphism $v_{2,2}$ transforms the geometry of the lines in the plane in the geometry of the conics in the Veronese surface. In particular, given two distinct points on V , there is exactly one conic contained in V and passing through them.

From this observation it is easy to deduce that the *secant lines* of V , i.e. the lines meeting V at two points, are precisely the lines of the planes generated by the conics contained in V , so that the (closure of the) union of these secant lines coincides with the union of the planes of the conics of V . This union results to be the cubic hypersurface defined by the equation

$$\det M = \det \begin{pmatrix} w_{00} & w_{01} & w_{02} \\ w_{01} & w_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{02} & w_{12} & w_{22} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Indeed a point in \mathbb{P}^5 , of coordinates $[w_{ij}]$ belongs to the plane of a conic contained in V if and only if there exists a non-zero triple $[b_0, b_1, b_2]$ which is solution of the homogeneous system (3).

Exercises 1.14. 1. Let X, Y be closed subsets of \mathbb{A}^n . Consider $X \times Y \subset \mathbb{A}^{2n}$ and the linear subspace, called the diagonal, $\Delta \subset \mathbb{A}^{2n}$ defined by the equations $x_i - y_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Prove that $(X \times Y) \cap \Delta$ is isomorphic to $X \cap Y$, constructing an explicit regular map with regular inverse.

2. Let $f : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be the map defined by $f(x, y) = (x, xy)$. Check that f is regular and find the image $f(\mathbb{A}^2)$: is it open in \mathbb{A}^2 ? Dense? Closed? Locally closed? Irreducible?

3. Let $v_{1,d} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ be the d -tuple Veronese map, such that $v_{1,d}([x_0, x_1]) = [x_0^d, x_0^{d-1}x_1, \dots, x_1^d]$.

a) Check that the image of $v_{1,d}$ is C_d , the projective algebraic set defined by the 2×2 minors of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \dots & x_{d-1} \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

C_d is called the rational normal curve of degree d .

b) Prove that $v_{1,d} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C_d$ is an isomorphism, by explicitly constructing its inverse morphism.

c) Prove that any $d+1$ points on C_d are linearly independent in \mathbb{P}^d (Hint: Vandermonde).