## MULTIPLE

#### multiples or secondary reflections



Fig. 6.29 Types of multiples.

Table 3.1 Energy reflected at interface between two media

Interface	First medium		Second medium				
	Velocity	Density	Velocity	Density	$Z_{1}/Z_{2}$	R	$E_R$
Sandstone on limestone	2.0	2.4	3.0	2.4	0.67	0.2	0.040
Limestone on sandstone	3.0	2.4	2.0	2.4	1.5	-0.2	0.040
Shallow interface	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.4	0.93	0.045	0.0021
Deep interface	4.3	2.4	4.5	2.4	0.97	0.022	0.0005
"Soft" ocean bottom	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	0.50	0.33	0.11
"Hard" ocean botom	1.5	1.0		2.5	0.20	0.67	0.44
Surface of ocean (from below)	1.5	1.0	0.36	0.0012	3800	-0.9994	0.9988
Base of weathering	0.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	0.19	0.68	0.47
Shale over water sand	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.3	0.96	0.02	0.0004
Shale over gas sand	2.4	2.3	2.2	1.8	1.39	-0.16	0.027
Gas sand over water sand	2.2	1.8	2.5	2.3 %	0.69	0.18	0.034

Multiples are apparent reflectors due to "multiple" or repeated paths of the seismic waves inside the crossed thicknesses

Main discontinuities that cause presence of multiples: they are characterized by maximum (absolute) values of the reflection coefficients One of the first interpretation step is to recognize the <u>multiples.</u> They are signals that are to be recognized and classified in the seismic profiles. We have to interprete and to distinguish multiples from the <u>primary signals</u>, that describe the real geometries of the deep strata.

The paths of the seismic waves (also that ones that produce multiples signals) generally are represented by oblique paths within the reflecting discontinuties. Anyway, the horizontal components of the seismic profiles are smaller than vertical.

Del Ben Anna - Interpretazione Sismica - Multiple



Fig. 4.5 (a) Various types of multiple reflection in a layered ground. (b) The difference between short-path and long-path multiples.



#### Interpretazione Sismica - Del Ben Anna

Generally **multiples** are characterized and can be recognized, by:

- **depths**, depending on their travel; their deepening will be the summation of the deepening of the reflectors that produced them;

- **frequencies**, that are higher than primary reflection frequencies at the same TWT depths;



- sometimes many **diffraction** hyperbola due a *stack* velocity pertinent to the primary reflectors, therefore don't appropriate to horizontalize the multiples signals during the *stacking* process;

- **smaller velocities,** in the velocity semblance, than the primary reflectors at the same TWT depths.

The reflected energy of the multiples will be generally reduced by *standard processing:* if we correctly select the *stack velocities* in the semblance, the horizontal alignment of the multiple reflections will be reduced and the stacked signal will decrease.

Both the <u>frequency and velocity</u> parameters could be useful during *processing* to eliminating or almost decreasing multiples effect.

*Ringing* : repetition of path within an homogeneous stratum; in the example at the right, the stratum is water.

 $\rightarrow$ 







## Synthetic CMP-gathers and semblance



The hyperbola due to multiples are characterized by smaller velocities (therefore greater  $\Delta T$ ) than velocities of the primary reflectors sited at the same TWT depth.

- b) multiples: often they interfere with each other;
- c) composite seismogram: *multiples normally* have higher curvature than the primary reflectors; d) velocity spectrum.

Semblance  $\rightarrow$ 





Evidence of multiples in the seismic signal: how the *stacking* reduces multiples →

$$t(x) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{v_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2h}{v_1}\right)^2}$$



Figure 1.1: Scheme of seismic artefacts formation over the time section.

Three CMP gathers before (left) and after (right) NMO correction. Note that the primaries have been flattened and the multiples have been undercorrected after NMO correction. As a result, multiple energy has been attenuated on the stacked section (center) relative to primary energy (from Yilmaz, 2001)

# Example of multiples removal:

in the frequency domain we can select the multiple frequencies and eliminate them to obtain a *"demultiplexed"* profile







1999 Gulf of Mexico Line 7



Multiples of the sea bottom: the deeper the sea bottom, the deeper the multiple







The upper profile is characterized by greater water depth.
The first multiple (↓) doesn't interfere with Messinian marker.
In the lower profile, at a smaller depth, the first multiple doesn't interfere with the Messinian markers because this is very shallower.



Del Ben Anna - Interpretazione Sismica - Multiple

### Example of a profile with a multiple reflector (E-Ionian Sea)



 The main multiple has been produced by Ms + sea bottom. In the circle note as the multiple deepening becomes smaller than Ms deepening, due to the sommation of opposite sea bottom deepening.

#### **Different types of Multiples** – note the deepening of reflectors

first break volume 21, March 2003

technical article



In orange the primary reflectors, in blue the multiple reflectors. One of the evidenced multiple path is partially wrong: which is? Which is the correct path?

#### Multiple: Example (Patrasso Gulf)

single channel high resolution profile => no NMO correction
→ multiples have been not reduced by processing,
→ they interfer with the primary signal.
Between these, RH is a high amplitude reflectors,
which is however evident along the whole profile.



#### **Example of multiple removal**







#### Exercise:

What are primary and what are multiple signals?

What are the ray path that generated the multiple reflectors?

What is the real angle of the A (- PQ bottom reflector) assuming V  $_{PQ}$  = 2000m/s?