Image Processing for Physicists

Prof. Pierre Thibault pthibault@units.it

Overview

- Imaging using far-field amplitude measurements
	- Fourier transform holography
	- Coherent diffraction imaging
	- Ptychography

Last week...

- Off-axis holography:
	- Key idea: encoding a complexvalued wavefield through interference with a (known) tilted planar wave front.

– Analysis: sidebands in Fourier space

Diffraction patterns

Fourier transform holography

Fig. 1. Recording of a Fourier-transform hologram with a lens L. Σ_R = reference wavefront.

Source: G. Stroke, Appl. Phys. Lett. 6, 201-203 (1965).

Fourier transform holography

Source: S. Eisebitt et al., Nature 432, 885-888 (2004).

Fourier transform holography
\n
$$
\psi(\vec{r}) = \psi_{\kappa}(\vec{r}) + \psi_{\epsilon}(\vec{r}) \leftarrow \text{ext}^{\dagger} \text{ wave}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\psi}(\vec{r}) = \hat{\psi}_{\kappa}(\vec{u}) + \hat{\psi}_{\epsilon}(\vec{u}) \leftarrow \text{for field}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{I}(\vec{u}) = |\vec{\psi}(\vec{u})|^{\nu} = |\hat{\psi}_{\kappa}(\vec{u})|^{\nu} + |\hat{\psi}_{\epsilon}(\vec{u})|^{\nu} + \hat{\psi}_{\epsilon}(\vec{u})\hat{\psi}_{\epsilon}(\vec{u}) + \dots
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\psi}(\vec{u}) = \frac{|\vec{\psi}(\vec{u})|^{\nu} + |\vec{\psi}_{\epsilon}(\vec{u})|^{\nu} + \hat{\psi}_{\epsilon}(\vec{u})\hat{\psi}_{\epsilon}(\vec{u}) + \dots
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\psi}_{\epsilon} = \text{mod interval}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\psi}_{\epsilon}(\vec{u}) = \text{mod interval}
$$

Fourier transform holography

N.B. autocomelation is always centrosymmetric (equal to
itself complex conjugated to volation) because it is the F.T. of a

Fourier transform holography Multiple references

Source: W. Schlotter et al., Opt.. Lett. 21, 3110-3112 (2006).

Coherent diffractive imaging

The phase problem

Coherent diffractive imaging

2. Solution is isolated remove is in

Radiation damage limits on radiation

K. J. Gaffney et al, Science 316, 1444 (2007) R. Neutze et al, Nature 406, 752 (2000)

"Diffraction before destruction"

H. N. Chapman et al, Nat. Phys. 2, 839 (2006)

"Diffraction before destruction" The imaging pulse vaporized the sample

H. N. Chapman et al, Nat. Phys. 2, 839 (2006)

Ptychography

- Scanning an isolated illumination on an extended specimen
- Measure full coherent diffraction pattern at each scan point
- Combine everything to get a reconstruction

Dynamische Theorie der Kristallstrukturanalyse durch Elektronenbeugung im inhomogenen Primärstrahlwellenfeld

Von R. Hegerl und W. Hoppe

Some time ago a new principle was proposed for the registration of the complete information (amplitudes and phases) in a diffraction diagram, which does not – as does Holography – require the interference of the scattered waves with a single reference wave. The basis of the principle lies in the interference of neighbouring scattered waves which result when the object function $\rho(x, y)$ is multiplied by a generalized primary wave function $p(x, y)$ in Fourier space (diffraction diagram) this is a convolution of the Fourier transforms of these functions. The above mentioned interferences necessary for the phase determination can be obtained by suitable choice of the shape of $p(x, y)$. To distinguish it from holography this procedure is designated "ptychography") $(\pi r)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ fold). The procedure is applicable to periodic and aperiodic structures. The relationships are simplest for plane lattiees. In this paper the theory is extended to space lattices both with and without consideration of the dynamic theory. The resulting effects are demonstrated using a practical example.

A. Maiden et al., Opt. Lett. 35, 2585-2587 (2010).

P. Thibault et al., New J. Phys 14, 063004 (2012).

M. Humphry et al., Nat. Comm. 3, 730 (2012).

Speckle imaging in astronomy

Source:http://www.cis.rit.edu/research/thesis/bs/2000/hoffmann/thesis.html

Speckle imaging in astronomy\nModel

\n
$$
(\text{incoherent-imaging})
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{I} = O * / P_{\text{in}}^{\text{incoherent-imaging}}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{I} = O * / P_{\text{in}}^{\text{incoherent-im of PSP}} \circ \mathcal{I}^{\text{in}}^{\text{inotected PSP}} \circ \mathcal{I}^{\text{incoefficient}}
$$
\n
$$
|\tilde{\mathcal{I}}|^2 = |\tilde{O}|^2 \cdot |P_A|^2 \circ \text{conote-convolary}
$$
\n
$$
\langle |\tilde{\mathcal{I}}|^2 \rangle = |\tilde{O}|^2 \langle |P_A|^2 \rangle \circ \text{(on be considered)}
$$
\n
$$
\text{inometricity}
$$

Speckle imaging in astronomy Retrieval of the autocorrelation

Source: http://www.astrosurf.com/hfosaf/uk/speckle10.htm

Crystallography is not Nyquist sampled

Crystallography

Crystallography Problem is underconstrained with a crystal

unknowns = N

constraints = $N/2$

Crystallography Structure determination

Image from Wikimedia courtesy Thomas Splettstoesser

Crystallography

Structure determination

- Hard problem: few measurements for the number of unknowns
- $-$ Luckily: crystals are made of atoms \rightarrow strong constraint
- Also common: combining additional measurements (SAD, MAD, isomorphous replacement, ...)

Summary

Imaging from far-field amplitudes

- Used when image-forming lenses are unavailable (or unreliable) or to obtain more quantitative images.
- In general difficult because of the phase problem
- Solved with the help of additional information:
	- Strong a priori knowledge (e.g. CDI: support)
	- Multiple measurements (e.g. ptychography)