The Abdus Salam
**International Centre
for Theoretical Physics**

ICTP Diploma Programme

Earth System Physics

Seismology
Seismic sources - 3
Focal mechanisms

Fabio ROMANELLI

Dept. Mathematics & Geosciences

Università degli studi di Trieste

romanel@units.it

Focal mechanisms

- faulting and radiation pattern

- fault mechanism

- decomposition of moment tensor

- basic fault plane solutions

- faults and plates

Haskell model

- far field for an extended source

- directivity

- source spectra

Final source representation

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \iint_\Sigma [u_i] c_{ijpq} \nu_j * \frac{\partial G_{np}}{\partial \xi_q} d\Sigma$$

$$m_{pq} = [u_i] c_{ijpq} \nu_j \qquad u_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \iint_\Sigma m_{pq} * \frac{\partial G_{np}}{\partial \xi_q} d\Sigma$$

And if the source can be considered a point-source (for distances greater than fault dimensions), the contributions from different surface elements can be considered in phase. Thus for an effective **point source**, one can define the **moment tensor**:

$$M_{pq} = \iint_\Sigma m_{pq} d\Sigma$$

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = M_{pq} * G_{np,q}$$

$$\mathbf{m} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mu[u_1(\xi,\tau)] \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mu[u_1(\xi,\tau)] & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & M_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ M_0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\phi=0°,\ \delta=0°,\ \lambda°=0°$

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{cases} [\bar{u}]\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 0 \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{t} = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z + [\bar{u}]\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x\right) \\ \mathbf{b} = \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \times [\bar{u}]\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x\right) = [\bar{u}]\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \\ \mathbf{p} = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z - [\bar{u}]\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x\right) \end{cases}$$

Double Couple

Principle Axes

referred to principal axes

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -M_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

An important case to consider in detail is the radiation pattern expected when the source is a double-couple. The result for a moment time function $M_0(t)$ is:

$$u = \frac{A^{NF}}{4\pi\rho|\mathbf{x}|^4} \int_{|\mathbf{x}|/\alpha}^{|\mathbf{x}|/\beta} \tau M_0(t-\tau)d\tau +$$

$$+ \frac{A_P^{IF}}{4\pi\rho\alpha^2|\mathbf{x}|^2} M_0(t - \frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{\alpha}) - \frac{A_S^{IF}}{4\pi\rho\beta^2|\mathbf{x}|^2} M_0(t - \frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{\beta}) +$$

$$+ \frac{A_P^{FF}}{4\pi\rho\alpha^3|\mathbf{x}|} \dot{M}_0(t - \frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{\alpha}) - \frac{A_S^{FF}}{4\pi\rho\beta^3|\mathbf{x}|} \dot{M}_0(t - \frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{\beta})$$

$$A^{NF} = 9\sin2\theta\cos\phi\,\hat{\mathbf{r}} - 6\left(\cos2\theta\cos\phi\,\hat{\theta} - \cos\theta\sin\phi\,\hat{\phi}\right)$$

Near field term

$$A_P^{IF} = 4\sin2\theta\cos\phi\,\hat{\mathbf{r}} - 2\left(\cos2\theta\cos\phi\,\hat{\theta} - \cos\theta\sin\phi\,\hat{\phi}\right)$$

$$A_S^{IF} = -3\sin2\theta\cos\phi\,\hat{\mathbf{r}} + 3\left(\cos2\theta\cos\phi\,\hat{\theta} - \cos\theta\sin\phi\,\hat{\phi}\right)$$

Intermediate field term

$$A_P^{FF} = \sin2\theta\cos\phi\,\hat{\mathbf{r}}$$

$$A_S^{FF} = \cos2\theta\cos\phi\,\hat{\theta} - \cos\theta\sin\phi\,\hat{\phi}$$

Far field term

- The nature of faulting affects the amplitudes and shapes of seismic waves (this allows us to use seismograms to study the faulting).

- We call the variation in wave amplitude, due to the source, with direction (i.e. angular) the **radiation pattern**.

From the representation theorem we have:

$$u_n(\mathbf{x},t) = M_{pq} * G_{np,q}$$

that, in the far field and in a spherical coordinate system becomes:

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\rho\alpha^3}\left(\sin 2\theta \cos\phi\,\hat{\mathbf{r}}\right)\frac{\dot{M}(t-r/\alpha)}{r} +$$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\rho\beta^3}\left(\cos 2\theta \cos\phi\,\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \cos\theta\sin\phi\,\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\right)\frac{\dot{M}(t-r/\beta)}{r}$$

and both P and S radiation fields are proportional to the time derivative of the moment function (moment rate). If the moment function is a ramp of duration τ (**rise time**), the propagating disturbance in the far-field will be a **boxcar,** with the same duration, and whose amplitude is varying depending on the radiation pattern.

FIGURE 8.21 Far-field P- and S-wave displacements are proportional to $\dot{M}(t)$, the time derivative of the moment function $M(t) = \mu A(t)D(t)$. Simple step and ramp moment functions generate far-field impulses or boxcar ground motions.

P-wave radiation amplitude patterns:

$$u_r = \frac{1}{4\pi\rho\alpha^3 r}\,\dot{M}(t - r/\alpha)\sin 2\theta\,\cos\phi.$$

$\dfrac{1}{4\pi\rho\alpha^3 r} =$ amplitude term, with geometric spreading

$\sin 2\theta\,\cos\phi = $ P-wave radiation pattern (4-lobed)

$\dot{M}(t - r/\alpha) = $ source time function

$\dot{M}$ is the time derivative of the seismic moment function,

$$M(t) = \mu D(t) S(t)$$

$D(t) = $ slip history
$S(t) = $ fault area history

Body-wave radiation patterns for a double couple source.

SEIS - Sources 3 - Focal mechanisms

S-wave radiation amplitude patterns:

$$u_\theta = \frac{1}{4\pi\rho\beta^3 r} \, \dot{M}(t - r/\beta) \cos 2\theta \, \cos\phi$$

$$u_\phi = \frac{1}{4\pi\rho\beta^3 r} \, \dot{M}(t - r/\beta) \, (-\cos\theta \, \sin\phi)$$

Why are S waves usually larger than P waves?

These equations predict an average ratio of about α^3/β^3 or about 5.

Figure 4.2-7: *P* and *S* radiation amplitude patterns.

(a)

(b) *P* Waves

(c) *S* Waves

SEIS - Sources 3 - Focal mechan

Radiation Patterns of P and S waves
for a 45° Dipping Fault with a Strike Due North

S-Wave

P-Wave

North

Radiation from shear dislocation

Fault plane and auxiliary plane and sense of initial P-wave motion.

a) Coordinates parallel or perpendicular to fault plane with one axis along the slip direction.

b) radiation pattern in x-z plane

c) 3-D variation of P amplitude and polarity of wavefront from a shear dislocation

Radiation pattern of the radial displacement component (P-wave) due to a double-couple source:

a) for a plane of constant azimuth (with lobe amplitudes proportional to sin2θ). The pair of arrows at the center denotes the shear dislocation.

 b) over the focal sphere centered on the origin. Plus and minus signs of various sizes denote amplitude variation (with θ and φ) of outward and inward directed motions. The fault plane and auxiliary plane are nodal lines on which cosφ sin2θ=0.

Note the **alternating quadrants of inward and outward directions**.

Radiation pattern of the transverse displacement component (S-wave) due to a double-couple source:
a) in the plane $\{\phi = 0, \phi = \pi\}$.
Arrows imposed on each lobe show the direction of particle displacement; the pair of arrows in a) at the center denotes the shear dislocation

b) over a sphere centered on the origin. Arrows with varying size and direction indicate the variation of the transverse motions with θ and ϕ. There are no nodal lines but only nodal points where there is zero motion.
Note that the nodal point for transverse motion at $(\theta, \phi) = (45°, 0°)$ at T is a maximum in the pattern for longitudinal motion while the maximum transverse motion (e.g. at $\theta = 0$) occurs on a nodal line for the longitudinal motion.

Seismic "Beach Balls"

- We use the radiation patterns of P-waves to construct a graphical representation of earthquake faulting geometry.

- The symbols are called "Focal Mechanisms" or "Beach Balls", and they contain information on the fault orientation and the direction of slip.

- They are:
  - Graphical shorthand for a specific faulting process (strike, dip, slip)
  - Projections of a sphere onto a circle (the lower focal hemisphere)
  - Representations of the first motion of seismic waves.

- When mapping the focal sphere to a circle (beachball) two things happen:
  - Lines (vectors) become points
  - Planes become curved lines

Representing a Plane

Intersection of a hemisphere and plane

View From Side

Fault Surface

Fault Edge

Views From Above

Just the part inside the hemisphere

1) The stereographic projection

2) The geometry of first motions and how this is used to define fault motion.

Orthographic

Gnomonic

Stereographic

Equal-Area

Source:USGS

http://www.uwsp.edu/geo/projects/geoweb/participants/dutch/STRUCTGE/sphproj.htm

- A template called a stereonet is used to plot data.

- Example – plotting planes (e.g. faults)

Source:USGS

Fault strike 109° dip 55° SW

Figure 4.2-9: Stereonet used to display a hemisphere on a flat surface.

Figure 4.2-10: Example of three planes on a stereonet.

Figure 4.2-11: Example of plotting a plane on a stereonet.

N45°E

N

E

0 30 60 90 60 30 0

W

S

First draw a plane
with a dip of 60°E
and a strike of 0°.

N

Then rotate 45°.

N45°E

W

0 30 60 90 60 30 0

E

Strike N45°E
dip 60°E

S

SEIS - Sources 3 - Focal mechanisms

Figure 4.2-12: Example of plotting perpendicular planes on a stereonet.

Planes perpendicular to plane A

Pole to plane A

Plane A

Example – pitch (or rake) of a line on a plane (e.g. the slip direction on a fault)

Source:USGS

Example – plotting lines (e.g. ray paths)

Source:USGS

239°

43°

239°

239°

43°

239°

239°

43°

239°

In order to simplify the analysis, the concept of the "focal sphere" is introduced. The focal sphere is an imaginary sphere drawn around the source region enclosing the fault. If we know the earthquake location and local Earth structure, we can trace rays from the source region to the stations and find the ray take-off angle at the source to a given station.

Figure 4.2-8: Cartoon of the focal sphere.

At a given source-receiver, the distance can be determined and from this T and the slope (p) can be found from the travel time tables. For example, the Jeffreys-Bullen travel time tables can be used to obtain p and from this the take-off angle i.

Table 4.2-1: *P* wave take-off angles for a surface focus earthquake.

| Distance (°)	Take-off angle (°)	Distance (°)	Take-off angle (°)	Distance (°)	Take-off angle (°)
21	35	47	25	73	19
23	32	49	24	75	18
25	30	51	24	77	18
27	29	53	23	79	17
29	29	55	23	81	17
31	29	57	23	83	16
33	28	59	22	85	16
35	28	61	22	87	15
37	27	63	21	89	15
39	29	65	21	91	15
41	26	67	20	93	14
43	26	69	20	95	14
45	25	71	19	97	14

First motion of P waves at seismometers in various directions.

The polarities of the observed motion is used to determine the point source characteristics.

Beachballs always have two curved lines separating the quadrants, i.e. they show two planes. But there is only one fault plane and the other is called the auxiliary plane. Seismologists cannot tell which is which from seismograms alone, so we always show both of the possible solutions.

To obtain a fault plane solution basically three steps are required:

1. Calculating the positions of the penetration points of the seismic rays through the focal sphere which are defined by the ray azimuth and the take-off angle of the ray from the source.

2. Marking these penetration points through the upper or lower hemisphere in a horizontal (stereographic) projection sphere using different symbols for compressional and dilatational first arrivals.

3. Partitioning the projection of the lower focal sphere by two perpendicular great circles which separate all (or at least most) of the + and - arrivals in different quadrants.

P1, P2 and P3 mark the positions of the poles of the planes FP1 (fault plane), FP2 (auxiliary plane) and EP (equatorial plane) in their net projections. On the basis of polarity readings alone it can not be decided whether FP1 or FP2 was the really acting fault. A discrimination requires additionally studies

http://gfzpublic.gfz-potsdam.de/pubman/item/escidoc:65416/component/escidoc:76770/EX_3.2.pdf

http://demonstrations.wolfram.com/EarthquakeFocalMechanism/

Strike-slip Faulting

Normal Faulting

Thrust Faulting

Oblique Normal

Basis fault types and their appearance in the focal mechanisms. Dark regions indicate compressional P-wave motion.

Reverse

Normal

Strike-Slip

Low-Angle Reverse

Oblique

FM & stress axes

Figure 4.2-16: Relation between fault planes and stress axes.

SEIS - Sources 3 - Focal mechanisms

Figure 4.2-17: Examples of focal mechanisms and first motions.

Thrust faulting, Vanuatu Islands, July 3, 1985
Location: 17.2°S, 167.8°E. Depth: 30 km
Strike: 352°, Dip: 26°, Slip: 97°

Strike-slip faulting, west of Oregon, March 13, 1985
Location: 43.5°N, 127.6°W. Depth: 10 km
Strike: 302°, Dip: 90°, Slip: 186°

Normal faulting, mid-Indian rise, May 16, 1985
Location: 29.1°S, 77.7°E. Depth: 10 km
Strike: 8°, Dip: 70°, Slip: 270°

see also:
http://www.learninggeoscience.net/free/00071/

Figure 4.4-6: Selected moment tensors and their associated focal mechanisms.

| Moment tensor	Beachball	Moment tensor	Beachball
$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$		$-\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	
$-\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$		$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$		$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$		$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$		$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$		$-\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$	

SEIS – Sources 3 – Focal mechanisms

Figure 4.3-12: Surface wave amplitude radiation patterns for several focal mechanisms.

The style of faulting tells us something about the forces acting in a particular part of Earth.

Along plate boundaries, faulting reflects the motion of plates.

- Divergent Boundary = Normal Faulting
- Convergent Boundary = Reverse Faulting
- Transform Boundary = Strike-Slip Faulting

Where are the Normal Faults?

- So far we have talked about the faulting of shallow earthquakes, which are well explained by plate tectonics.

- What about the faulting style of deep earthquakes ?

- Do similar principles hold true?

🔵 We sometimes see "normal" faulting at depths of 100 km or so in subduction zones:

Earth's surface

The slab can *break* under the extensional bending stresses.

We sometimes see "reverse" faulting for the deepest earthquakes at about 600 km depth:

Earth's surface

670 km Discontinuity

Haskell dislocation model

Haskell N. A. (1964). Total energy spectral density of elastic wave radiation from propagating faults, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am. **54**, 1811-1841

Norman A. Haskell

Rupture

Sumatra earthquake, Dec 28, 2004

Ishii et al., Nature 2005 doi:10.1038/nature03675

FIGURE 9.5 Geometry of a one-dimensional fault of width w and length L. The individual segments of the fault are of length dx, and the moment of a segment is $m\,dx$. The fault ruptures with velocity v_r.

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\rho\alpha^3}\left(\sin 2\theta\cos\phi\,\hat{\mathbf{r}}\right)\frac{\dot{M}\left(t-r/\alpha\right)}{r}$$

$$u_r(r,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i\left(r_i, t - r_i/\alpha - \Delta t_i\right) =$$

$$= \frac{R_i^P\mu}{4\pi\rho\alpha^3}W\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\dot{D}_i}{r_i}\left(t-\Delta t_i\right)dx \approx$$

$$\approx \frac{R_i^P\mu}{4\pi\rho\alpha^3}\frac{W}{r}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\dot{D}(t)*\delta\left(t-\frac{x}{v_r}\right)dx \approx$$

$$\approx \frac{R_i^P\mu}{4\pi\rho\alpha^3}\frac{W}{r}\dot{D}(t)*\int_0^L\delta\left(t-\frac{x}{v_r}\right)dx =$$

$$= \frac{R_i^P\mu}{4\pi\rho\alpha^3}\frac{W}{r}v_r\dot{D}(t)*B(t;T_r)$$

Haskell source model: far field

$$u_r(r,t) \propto \dot{D}(t) * v_r H(z)\Big|_{t-x/v_r}^{t} = v_r \dot{D}(t) * B(t; T_r)$$

resulting in the convolution of two boxcars: the first
with duration equal to the rise time and the second with
duration equal to the **rupture time** (L/v$_r$)

FIGURE 9.6 The convolution of two boxcars, one of length τ_r and the other of length τ_c ($\tau_c > \tau_r$). The result is a trapezoid with a rise time of τ_r, a top of length $\tau_c - \tau_r$, and a fall of width τ_r.

FIGURE 9.7 A recording of the ground motion near the epicenter of an earthquake at Parkfield, California. The station is located on a node for P waves and a maximum for SH. The displacement pulse is the SH wave. Note the trapezoidal shape. (From Aki, J. Geophys. Res. 73, 5359–5375, 1968; © copyright by the American Geophysical Union.)

SEIS - Sources 3 - Focal mechanisms

The body waves generated from a breaking segment will arrive at a receiver before than those that are radiated by a segment that ruptures later.

If the path to the station is not perpendicular, the waves generated by different segments will have different path lengths, and then unequal travel times.

$$T_r = \left[\frac{L}{v_r} + \left(\frac{r - L\cos\theta}{c}\right)\right] - \frac{r}{c} =$$

$$= \frac{L}{v_r} - \left(\frac{L\cos\theta}{c}\right) = \frac{L}{v_r}\left(1 - \frac{v_r}{c}\cos\theta\right)$$

FIGURE 9.8 Geometry of a rupturing fault and the path to a remote recording station. (From Kasahara, 1981.)

Fault

rupture direction

Area = Mo

Area = Mo

FIGURE 9.9 Azimuthal variability of the source time function for a unilaterally rupturing fault. The duration changes, but the area of the source time function is the seismic moment and is independent of azimuth.

Earthquake ruptures typically propagate at velocities that are in the range 70–90% of the S-wave velocity and this is independent of earthquake size. A small subset of earthquake ruptures appear to have propagated at speeds greater than the S-wave velocity. These supershear earthquakes have all been observed during large strike-slip events.

Rupture Velocity and Directivity:
Most important finite-source effect

**FIGURE 9.10** The variability of *P*- and *SH*-wave amplitude for a propagating fault (from left to right). For the column on the left $v_r/v_s = 0.5$, while for the column on the right $v_r/v_s = 0.9$. Note that the effects are amplified as rupture velocity approaches the propagation velocity. (From Kasahara, 1981.)

Surface Cumulative Peak Velocity Magnitude (3 sec)

■ PeakVelocity:0.0005 Lat:-117.4650 Long:34.2758 ■ PeakVelocity:0.0004 Lat:-115.6840 Long:33.3579

Simulation2 (NW-SE)   0   1.0   2.0   4.0 m/s   100 km   Simulation3 (SE-NW)

The two views in this movie show the cumulative velocities for a San Andreas earthquake TeraShake simulation, rupturing south to north and north to south. The crosshairs pinpoint the peak velocity magnitude as the simulation progresses.

www.scec.org

The displacement pulse, corrected for the geometrical spreading and the radiation pattern can be written as:

$$u(t) = M_0\left(B(t;\tau) * B(t;T_R)\right)$$

and in the frequency domain:

$$U(\omega) = M_0 F(\omega) = M_0 \left|\frac{\sin\left(\frac{\omega\tau}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\omega\tau}{2}\right)}\right|\left|\frac{\sin\left(\frac{\omega L}{v_r\,2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\omega L}{v_r\,2}\right)}\right| \approx \begin{cases} M_0 & \omega < \dfrac{2}{T_r} \\[4mm] \dfrac{2M_0}{\omega T_R} & \dfrac{2}{T_r} < \omega < \dfrac{2}{\tau} \\[4mm] \dfrac{4M_0}{\omega^2 \tau T_R} & \omega > \dfrac{2}{\tau} \end{cases}$$

Figure 4.6-4: Approximation of the (sin *x*)/*x* function, and derivation of corner frequencies.

$$U(\omega) \approx \begin{cases} M_0 & \omega < \dfrac{2}{T_r} \\[2em] \dfrac{2M_0}{\omega T_R} & \dfrac{2}{T_r} < \omega < \dfrac{2}{\tau} \\[2em] \dfrac{4M_0}{\omega^2 \tau T_R} & \omega > \dfrac{2}{\tau} \end{cases}$$