Lorenzo Castelli, Università degli Studi di Trieste.

Duality and sensitivity analysis **A relaxed problem 1 | 21**

Consider the standard form problem

min $c^T x$ $Ax = b$ $x > 0$

which we call the primal problem, and let **x [∗]** be an optimal solution, assumed to exist. We introduce a relaxed problem in which the <code>constraint $\bm{A}\bm{x}=\bm{b}$ is replaced by a penalty $\bm{p^T}(\bm{b}-\bm{A}\bm{x})$, where \bm{p} is a</code> vector of the same dimension as **b**. We are then faced with the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\min c^T x + p^T (b - Ax) \\
x \ge 0.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Duality and sensitivity analysis **A relaxed problem 2 | 21**

$$
2 \mid 21
$$

Let $g(p)$ be the optimal cost for the relaxed problem, as a function of vector **p**. The relaxed problem allows for more options than those present in the primal problem, and we expect $g(p)$ to be no larger than the optimal cost $c^{\mathsf{T}}x^*$. Indeed,

$$
\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \geq 0} \left[c^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{p}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}) \right] \leq c^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^* + \boldsymbol{p}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^*) = c^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^*,
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that **x ∗** is a feasible solution to the primal problem, and satisfies $Ax^* = b$. Thus, each \boldsymbol{p} leads to a lower bound $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{p})$ for the optimal cost $\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}}\boldsymbol{x}^*$.

Duality and sensitivity analysis **A tight bound 3 | 21**

The problem

 $max_{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{p})$ subject to no constraints

can be interpreted as a search for the tightest possible lower bound of this type, and is known as the dual problem.

Duality and sensitivity analysis **The dual problem 4 | 21**

Using the definition of $g(p)$, we have

$$
\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \geq 0} \left[c^{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}} (\boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{A} \mathbf{x}) \right] = \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \boldsymbol{b} + \min_{\mathbf{x} \geq 0} (c^{\boldsymbol{\tau}} - \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \boldsymbol{A}) \mathbf{x}
$$

Note that

$$
\min_{x \geq 0} (\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A})x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \geq 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

In maximising $g(p)$ we only need to consider those values of p for which $g(p)$ is not equal to $-\infty$. We therefore conclude that the dual problem is the same as

$$
\begin{aligned} \n\mathsf{maxp}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{b} \\ \n\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{A} &\leq \boldsymbol{c}^{\mathsf{T}}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Duality and sensitivity analysis **The dual problem 5 | 21**

$$
5 \mid 21
$$

In the example, we started with equality constraints $Ax = b$ and we ended up with no constraints on the sign of the vector **p**. If the primal problem had instead inequality constraints of the form $Ax \geq b$, they could be replaced by $Ax - s = b$, $s > 0$. The equality constraints can be written in the form

$$
[\mathbf{A}|-I]\left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{s} \end{array}\right]=\mathbf{b},
$$

which leads to the dual constraints

$$
p^T[a|-1] \leq [c^T|0^T],
$$

or equivalently,

$$
p^T A \leq c^T, p \geq 0.
$$

Duality and sensitivity analysis **The dual problem 6 | 21**

If the vector **x** is free rather sign-constrained, we use the fact

$$
\min_{x}(\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A})x = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{if } \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

to end up with the constraints $\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}\boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ in the dual problem. These considerations motivate the primal-dual relationships.

Duality and sensitivity analysis **Sensitivity analysis 7 | 21**

The main purpose of sensitivity analysis is to identify the sensitive parameters (i.e., those that cannot be changed without changing the optimal solution). The sensitive parameters are the parameters that need to be estimated with special care to minimise the risk of obtaining an erroneous optimal solution.

The model parameters under study are the a_{ij}, b_i, c_j for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Duality and sensitivity analysis **Resource availability 8 | 21**

- **•** Linear programming problems often can be interpreted as allocating resources to activities.
- **•** When the constraints are in **≤** form, we interpreted the **bⁱ** (the right-hand sides) as the amounts of the respective resources being made available for the activities under consideration.
- **•** In many cases, the **bⁱ** values used in the initial model actually may represent management's tentative initial decision on how much of the organisation's resources will be provided to the activities considered in the model.
- **•** From this broader perspective, some of the **bⁱ** values can be increased in a revised model, but only if a sufficiently strong case can be made to management that this revision would be beneficial.

Duality and sensitivity analysis **Shadow price 9 | 21**

Definition

The shadow price for resource \boldsymbol{i} (denoted by $\boldsymbol{y_i^*}$) measures the marginal value of this resource, i.e., the rate at which **Z** could be increased by (slightly) increasing the amount of this resource (**bi**) being made available.

In the case of a functional constraint in $=$ or \geq form, its shadow price is again defined as the rate at which **Z** could be increased by (slightly) increasing the value of **bⁱ** , although the interpretation of **bⁱ** now would normally be something other than the amount of a resource being made available.

Duality and sensitivity analysis **Shadow price - example 10 | 21**

Here $$ **² = 12** $$ What if, for instance, **b**₂ "slightly" changes, e.g., it increases by **1**, that is **?**

Duality and sensitivity analysis **Shadow price - example 11 | 21**

Shadow price - example 12 | 21

$$
12 \mid 21
$$

The graph shows that the shadow price is $y_2^* = \frac{3}{2}$ for resource 2. The two dots are the optimal solutions for $b_2 = 12$ or $b_2 = 13$, and plugging these solutions into the objective function reveals that increasing b_2 by 1 increases **Z** by $y_2^* = \frac{3}{2}$.

It demonstrates that $y_2^* = \frac{3}{2}$ is the rate at which **Z** could be increased by increasing **b²** "slightly". However, it also demonstrates the common phenomenon that this interpretation holds only for a small increase in **b2**. Once **b²** is increased beyond **18**, the optimal solution stays at (**0***,* **9**) with no further increase in **Z**.

In other words, $Z = 45$ for any b_2 such that $b_2 \geq 18$ because the constraint $2x_2 = b_2$ becomes redundant.

Shadow price - example 13 | 21

Note that $y_1^* = 0$. Because the constraint on resource 1, $x_1 \le 4$, is not binding on the optimal solution (**2***,* **6**), there is a surplus of this resource. Therefore, increasing **b¹** beyond **4** cannot yield a new optimal solution with a larger value of **Z**.

By contrast, the constraints on resources 2 and 3, $2x_2 < 12$ and $3x_1 + 2x_2 \le 18$, are binding constraints (constraints that hold with equality at the optimal solution). Because the limited supply of these resources ($b_2 = 12$, $b_3 = 18$) binds **Z** from being increased further, they have positive shadow prices. We can easily show that $y_3^* = 1$.

Economists refer to such resources as scarce goods, whereas resources available in surplus (such as resource **1**) are free goods (resources with a zero shadow price).

Primal and dual problems 14 | 21

Dual problem

Primal problem

 max **z** = $3x_1$ + $5x_2$ x_1 < 4 $2x_2 < 12$ **3x**₁ + **2x**₂ \lt **18** $x_1, x_2 > 0$

The optimal solution is $x_1^* = 2$, $x_2^* = 6$, $z^* = 36$. min $w = 4\pi_1$ + $12\pi_2$ + $18\pi_3$ π_1 + $3\pi_3$ > 3 2π ₂ + 2π ₃ \geq 5 π_1, π_2, π_3 > 0

By strong duality we know that $w^* = 36$. How to find the optimal dual variables?

Complementary slackness 15 | 21

Complementary slackness conditions are

$$
\pi_i^*(b_i - a_i^T x^*) = 0 \quad \forall i
$$

$$
(\pi^{T*} A_j - c_j) x_j^* = 0 \quad \forall j,
$$

which in our case become $\pi_1^*(4 - x_1^*) = 0$ $\pi_2^*(12-2x_2^*)=0$ $\pi_3^*(18-3x_1^* - 2x_2^*) = 0$ $(\pi_1^* + 3\pi_3^* - 3)x_1^* = 0$ $(2\pi_2^* + 2\pi_3^* - 5)x_2^* = 0$ Since $x_1^* = 2, x_2^* = 6$ then **2** $\times \pi_1^* = 0$ **0** $\times \pi_2^* = 0$ **0** $\times \pi_3^* = 0$ **2** \times $(\pi_1^* + 3\pi_3^* - 3) = 0$ **6** \times (2 π^*_2 + 2 π^*_3 - 5) = 0

Complementary slackness 16 | 21

It therefore follows that that is

$$
\begin{array}{c} \pi_1^* = \textbf{0} \\ (\pi_1^* + 3\pi_3^* - 3) = \textbf{0} \\ (2\pi_2^* + 2\pi_3^* - 5) = \textbf{0} \end{array} \hspace{2cm} \begin{array}{c} \pi_1^* = \textbf{0} \\ \pi_3^* = \textbf{1} \\ \pi_2^* = \textbf{3/2} \end{array}
$$

 \ln fact, $w^* = 4 \times 0 + 12 \times \frac{3}{2} + 18 \times 1 = 36$. In addition, we notice that

$$
y_i^* = \pi_i^*
$$
 for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The optimal dual variables are (equal to) the shadow prices

Dual variables and shadow prices 17 | 21

We have shown that each optimal dual variable represents the rate at which **Z** varies by varying the corresponding right-hand side value.

If we vary a right-hand side, the value of the optimal dual variables remains constant as long as the optimal solution lies on the intersection of the same constraint boundaries.

In our example,

- $-$ if b ₂ $>$ 18 the optimal solution is always (0, 9). The optimal dual variables are (**0***,* **0***,* **5***/***2**)
- if b_2 varies in the interval $6 < b_2 < 18$ the optimal solution lies on the intersection between $2x_2 = b_2$ and $3x_1 + 2x_2 = 18$ and the optimal dual variables are (**0***,* **3***/***2***,* **1**).
- $-$ if $0 < b₂ < 6$ the optimal solution lies on the intersection between $2x_2 = b_2$ and $x_1 = 4$. The optimal dual variables are $(3, 5/2, 0)$.
- if $b_2 = 6$ or $b_2 = 18$ the solution is called *degenerate*, which we don't address in this course.

Optimal solution's dependence on b² 18 | 21

Optimal value's dependence on b_2 **19 | 21**

The optimal value $z(b_i)$ is a convex function of b_i .

Variation of obj. function's coefficients 20 | 21

Variation of obj. function's coefficients 21 | 21

The graph demonstrates the sensitivity analysis of **c¹** and **c²** for our problem. Starting with the original objective function line [where $c_1 = 3$, $c_2 = 5$, and the optimal solution is $(2, 6)$], the other two black lines show the extremes of how much the slope of the objective function line can change and still retain (**2***,* **6**) as an optimal solution. Thus,

with $c_2 = 5$, the allowable range for c_1 is $0 \leq c_1 \leq 7.5$, with $c_1 = 3$, the allowable range for c_2 is $c_2 > 2$.