Optimisation Models: exercises

Dipartimento di Ingegneria e Architettura Università degli studi di Trieste

23/4/2021, Trieste

• 3 projects must be completed in 6 months

- 3 projects must be completed in 6 months
- project 1 takes 3
 consecutive months: in its first month it uses 3 people, in its second, 4
 people, and in its third, 2 people. Once
 completed it brings a
 profit of 10.2K€ per month.

Project	Duration	Profile	Gain/month	
1	3	3,4,2	10.2	
2	3	4,1,5	12.3	
3	4	3,2,1,2	11.2	

- 3 projects must be completed in 6 months
- project 1 takes 3
 consecutive months: in its first month it uses 3 people, in its second, 4
 people, and in its third, 2 people. Once
 completed it brings a
 profit of 10.2K€ per month.

Project	Duration	Profile	Gain/month	
1	3	3,4,2	10.2	
2	3	4,1,5	12.3	
3	4	3,2,1,2	11.2	

Month	1	2	3	4	5	6
Personnel	5	6	7	7	6	6

• for each month, it is not possible to use more manpower than is available

- 3 projects must be completed in 6 months
- project 1 takes 3
 consecutive months: in its first month it uses 3 people, in its second, 4
 people, and in its third, 2 people. Once
 completed it brings a
 profit of 10.2K€ per month.

Project	Duration	Profile	Gain/month	
1	3	3,4,2	10.2	
2	3	4,1,5	12.3	
3	4	3,2,1,2	11.2	

Month	1	2	3	4	5	6
Personnel	5	6	7	7	6	6

- for each month, it is not possible to use more manpower than is available
- maximise the total benefit obtained through the projects **once they are finished**.

Notation

Notation

- $\mathcal P$: set of projects
- $\mathcal{M}:$ set of months $=1,\ldots,nM$
- D_p : duration of project p
- G_p : monthly benefit once project p is finished
- CAP_m : available manpower for month m

Notation

- $\mathcal P$: set of projects
- $\mathcal{M}:$ set of months $=1,\ldots,nM$
- D_p : duration of project p
- G_p : monthly benefit once project p is finished
- CAP_m : available manpower for month m

 $RU_{p,k}$: resource usage of project p in **it's** k-th month

Decision variables

Decision variables

$$x_{p,m} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if project } p \text{ starts in month } m \\ & \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, m \in \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Decision variables

$$x_{p,m} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if project } p \text{ starts in month } m \\ & \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, m \in \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$start_p$: starting month of project p

$$\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}}x_{p,m}=1\qquad \forall p\in\mathcal{P}$$

$$\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}}x_{p,m}=1\qquad\qquad\forall p\in\mathcal{P}$$

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} m \cdot x_{p,m} = start_p \qquad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}$$

$$\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}}x_{p,m}=1\qquad\qquad\forall p\in\mathcal{P}$$

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} m \cdot x_{p,m} = start_p \qquad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}$$

$$start_p \le nM - D_p + 1 \qquad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}$$

```
1 ! Each project starts once and only once
2 forall(p in P) sum(m in M) x(p,m) = 1
3
4 ! Connect variables x(p,t) and start(p)
5 forall(p in P) sum(m in M) m*x(p,m) = start(p)
6
7 ! Finish everything by the end of the planning period
8 forall(p in P) start(p) <= nM-D(p)+1</pre>
```

What is the resource usage of project p in a given month $m(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{m}))$?

What is the resource usage of project p in a given month $m(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{m}))$? It depends on $start_{p}$:

if $start_p \leq m$, in month *m*, *p* is in its $(m - start_p + 1)$ -st month

What is the resource usage of project p in a given month $m(\mathbf{r}_{p}(\mathbf{m}))$? It depends on $start_{p}$:

if $start_p \leq m$, in month *m*, *p* is in its $(m - start_p + 1)$ -st month

$$r_p(m) = \sum_{s=1}^m RU_{p,m-s+1} \cdot x_{p,s}$$

What is the resource usage of project p in a given month $m(\mathbf{r}_{p}(\mathbf{m}))$? It depends on $start_{p}$:

if $start_p \leq m$, in month *m*, *p* is in its $(m - start_p + 1)$ -st month

$$r_{p}(m) = \sum_{s=1}^{m} RU_{p,m-s+1} \cdot x_{p,s}$$

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} r_p(m) \le CAP_m \qquad \forall m \in \mathcal{M}$$

What is the resource usage of project p in a given month $m(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{m}))$? It depends on $start_{p}$:

if $\mathsf{start}_{\mathsf{p}} \leq \mathsf{m}$, in month *m*, *p* is in its $(\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{start}_{\mathsf{p}} + 1)$ -st month

$$r_p(m) = \sum_{s=1}^m RU_{p,m-s+1} \cdot x_{p,s}$$

 $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} r_p(m) \le CAP_m \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{M}$

1 ! Resource availability
2 forall(m in M)
3 sum(p in P,s in 1..m) RU(p,m-s+1)*x(p,s) <= CAP(m)</pre>

For how many months project p contributes to the profit? (\mathbf{n}_p)

$$n_p = nM - (start_p + D_p - 1)$$

$$n_p = nM - (start_p + D_p - 1)$$

$$max\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}}G_p\cdot n_p$$

$$n_p = nM - (start_p + D_p - 1)$$

$$max\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}}G_p\cdot n_p$$

```
1 ! Objective: Maximize Benefit
MaxBen:=
3 sum(p in P) (G(p)*(nM-start(p)-D(p)+1))
4
5 ! Solve the problem
6 maximize(MaxBen)
```

Special Ordered Sets of type 1: an ordered set of non-negative variables at most **one** of which can take a non-zero value.

- the **order** is specified by assigning weights (*reference row values*) to each variable
- used for branching on **sets** of variables, rather than individual variables
- the search procedure will generally be noticeably faster

Special Ordered Sets of type 1: an ordered set of non-negative variables at most **one** of which can take a non-zero value.

- the **order** is specified by assigning weights (*reference row values*) to each variable
- used for branching on sets of variables, rather than individual variables
- the search procedure will generally be noticeably faster

```
if not USESOS then ! Turn variables x into binaries
forall(p in P,m in M) x(p,m) is_binary
else ! Define SOS-1 sets
forall(p in P) sum(m in M) m*x(p,m) is_sos1
end-if
```

SOS1

Standard branching

- "1-branch" (strong decision) eliminates a very large number of possible solutions
- "0-branch" (weak decision) eliminates only a few
- unbalanced tree

SOS1

Standard branching

- "1-branch" (strong decision) eliminates a very large number of possible solutions
- "0-branch" (weak decision) eliminates only a few
- unbalanced tree

SOS1 branching

- all the variables in one subset are set to 0
- any combination of the variables in the other subset sums to 1
- strong decision deferred
- unpromising variables placed in the new "set-to-0" subset
- better balanced and smaller tree

Exercise 2: Purchasing with price breaks

Exercise 2: Purchasing with price breaks

• 3 suppliers offer decreasing prices for increased lot size (incremental discounts)

Exercise 2: Purchasing with price breaks

- 3 suppliers offer decreasing prices for increased lot size (incremental discounts)
- we wish to buy 600 items in total
- we want to buy at least total cost, yet not buy too much from any one supplier
Exercise 2: Purchasing with price breaks

- 3 suppliers offer decreasing prices for increased lot size (incremental discounts)
- we wish to buy 600 items in total
- we want to buy at least total cost, yet not buy too much from any one supplier

Supplier	Max%	Unit Price	Breakpoint	UP	BP	UP	BP
1	40%	9.2	100	9	200	7	1000
2	35%	9	50	8.5	250	8.3	2000
3	40%	11	100	8.5	300	7.5	4000

if you buy 220 items from supplier 1, you pay
 9.2 · 100 + 9 · 100 + 7 · 20. We can buy at most 40% of the requirement from supplier (240 items)

Notation

Notation

- ${\mathcal S}:$ set of suppliers
- $\mathcal R$: set of price ranges
- $COST_{s,r}$: unit cost of price range r for supplier s
 - $B_{s,r}$: breakpoint where the unit cost change from $COST_{s,r}$ to $COST_{s,r+1}$ for supplier s
 - T : total amount we wish to buy
 - Q_s : maximum percentage that may be bought from supplier s

Decision variables

$$y_{s,r} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if we have bought any items from} \ & ext{supplier } s ext{ at the price range } r & ext{ } orall s \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R} \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Objective function

Objective function

 $\min\sum_{s\in\mathcal{S},r\in\mathcal{R}} x_{s,r} \cdot COST_{s,r}$

 $\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R}} x_{s,r} \geq T$

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R}} x_{s,r} \geq T$$

$$\sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}} x_{s,r} \le Q_s \cdot T \qquad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

$y(s,r) \ge y(s,r+1)$ $\forall s \in S, r: r, r+1 \in \mathcal{R}$

$$y(s,r) \ge y(s,r+1)$$
 $\forall s \in S, r : r, r+1 \in \mathcal{R}$

$$x_{s,r} \leq (B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r) \qquad \forall s \in S, r \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$y(s,r) \ge y(s,r+1)$$
 $\forall s \in S, r : r, r+1 \in \mathcal{R}$

$$x_{s,r} \leq (B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r) \qquad \forall s \in S, r \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$(B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r+1) \leq x_{s,r}$$
 $\forall s \in S, r : r, r+1 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$y(s,r) \ge y(s,r+1)$$
 $\forall s \in S, r : r, r+1 \in \mathcal{R}$

$$x_{s,r} \leq (B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r) \qquad \forall s \in S, r \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$(B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r+1) \leq x_{s,r} \qquad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r : r, r+1 \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$(B_{s,0}:=0 \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S})$$

Special Ordered Sets of type 2: an ordered set of non-negative variables, of which at most two can be non-zero, and if two are non-zero these must be consecutive in their ordering

- the **order** is specified by assigning weights (*reference row values*) to each variable
- used for branching on **sets** of variables, rather than individual variables
- the search procedure will generally be noticeably faster

Special Ordered Sets of type 2: an ordered set of non-negative variables, of which at most two can be non-zero, and if two are non-zero these must be consecutive in their ordering

- the **order** is specified by assigning weights (*reference row values*) to each variable
- used for branching on **sets** of variables, rather than individual variables
- the search procedure will generally be noticeably faster
- generally used for modeling **piecewise approximations of functions** of a single variable

SOS2: piecewise functions



SOS2: piecewise functions



SOS2: adjacency condition



SOS2: alternative formulation

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the value of } x \\ & \text{lies between } R_i \text{ and } R_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall i \in 1, \dots, 4$$

$$egin{array}{ll} y_1 \leq b_1 \ y_2 \leq b_1 + b_2 \ y_3 \leq b_2 + b_3 \ y_4 \leq b_3 + b_4 \ y_5 \leq b_4 \end{array}$$

 $b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 = 1$

SOS2: Purchasing with price breaks



Notation

- ${\mathcal S}:$ set of suppliers
- $\mathcal{R}:$ set of price ranges
- $COST_{s,r}$: unit cost of price range r for supplier s
 - $B_{s,r}$: breakpoint where the unit cost change from $COST_{s,r}$ to $COST_{s,r+1}$ for supplier s
 - T : total amount we wish to buy
 - Q_s : maximum percentage that may be bought from supplier s

Notation

- $\mathcal{S}:\mathsf{set}$ of suppliers
- $\mathcal{R}:$ set of price ranges
- $COST_{s,r}$: unit cost of price range r for supplier s
 - $B_{s,r}$: breakpoint where the unit cost change from $COST_{s,r}$ to $COST_{s,r+1}$ for supplier s
 - T : total amount we wish to buy
 - Q_s : maximum percentage that may be bought from supplier s

 \mathcal{P}_0 : set of breakpoints including 0 = 0, 1, 2, 3 $CBP_{s,p}$: total cost at breakpoint p for supplier s \mathcal{P}_0 : set of breakpoints including 0 = 0, 1, 2, 3 $CBP_{s,p}$: total cost at breakpoint p for supplier s

$$egin{aligned} & CBP_{s,0} := 0 \ & CBP_{s,p} := CBP_{s,p-1} + COST_{s,p} \cdot (B_{s,p} - B_{s,p-1}) & orall p \in \mathcal{P}_0, p > 0 \ & (B_{s,0} := 0 & orall s \in \mathcal{S}) \end{aligned}$$

 $y_{s,r} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if we have bought any items from} \\ & ext{supplier } s ext{ at the price range } r & ext{} orall s \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R} \\ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$y_{s,r} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if we have bought any items from} \\ & ext{supplier } s ext{ at the price range } r & ext{} orall s \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R} \\ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 n_s : number of items bought from suppliers s

$$y_{s,r} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if we have bought any items from} \\ & ext{supplier } s ext{ at the price range } r & ext{} \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R} \\ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 n_s : number of items bought from suppliers s

 $w_{s,p}$: weight variables associated with breakpoint p

$$\begin{split} y(s,r) &\geq y(s,r+1) \\ x_{s,r} &\leq (B_{s,r}-B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r) \\ (B_{s,r}-B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r+1) &\leq x_{s,r} \end{split}$$

$$\forall s \in S, r : r, r+1 \in \mathcal{R} \\ \forall s \in S, r \in \mathcal{R} \\ \forall s \in S, r : r, r+1 \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$\begin{split} y(s,r) &\geq y(s,r+1) & \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r:r,r+1 \in \mathcal{R} \\ x_{s,r} &\leq (B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r) & \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R} \\ (B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r+1) &\leq x_{s,r} & \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r:r,r+1 \in \mathcal{R} \end{split}$$

$$(\text{reference row}) \quad n_s = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_0} w_{s,p} \cdot B_{s,p} \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

$$\begin{split} y(s,r) &\geq y(s,r+1) & \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r:r,r+1 \in \mathcal{R} \\ x_{s,r} &\leq (B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r) & \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R} \\ (B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r+1) &\leq x_{s,r} & \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r:r,r+1 \in \mathcal{R} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{reference row}) & n_s = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_0} w_{s,p} \cdot B_{s,p} & \forall s \in \mathcal{S} \\ (\text{convexity row}) & \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_0} w_{s,p} = 1 & \forall s \in \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} y(s,r) &\geq y(s,r+1) & \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r:r,r+1 \in \mathcal{R} \\ x_{s,r} &\leq (B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r) & \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R} \\ (B_{s,r} - B_{s,r-1}) \cdot y(s,r+1) &\leq x_{s,r} & \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, r:r,r+1 \in \mathcal{R} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{reference row}) & n_s = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_0} w_{s,p} \cdot B_{s,p} & \forall s \in \mathcal{S} \\ (\text{convexity row}) & \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_0} w_{s,p} = 1 & \forall s \in \mathcal{S} \\ \forall s \in \mathcal{S} & \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}_0} \{w_{s,p}\} & \text{SOS-2 with coeff. } B_{s,p} \end{array}$$

```
1 ! Define z and also order the weight variables by breakpoint
      quantities
2 forall(s in S) sum(p in P0) B(s,p) * w(s,p) = z(s)
3
4 ! The convexity row (w sum to 1)
5 forall(s in S) sum(p in P0) w(s,p) = 1
6
7 ! Define the w as SOS-2 as we can linearly interpolate
     between the breakpoints
8 forall(s in S)
9 makesos2(union(p in P0){w(s,p)}, sum(p in P0) B(s,b)*w(s,p))
11 ! Alternative formulation:
12 !The weight coefficients BR are all augmented by EPS
13 !since Mosel does not accept 0-valued weights with 'is_sos2'
14 forall(s in S)
15 sum(p in P0) (B(s,p)+10E-20) * w(s,p) is_sos2
```

 $\sum_{s \in S, r \in \mathcal{R}} x_{s,r} \ge T$ $\sum x_{s,r} \le Q_s \cdot T \qquad \forall s \in S$ $r \in \mathcal{R}$

$$\sum_{\substack{s \in S, r \in \mathcal{R} \\ r \in \mathcal{R}}} x_{s,r} \ge T$$

$$\sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}} x_{s,r} \le Q_s \cdot T \qquad \forall s \in S$$

$$\sum_{s\in\mathcal{S}}n_s\geq T$$
Constraints

$$\sum_{\substack{s \in S, r \in \mathcal{R} \\ r \in \mathcal{R}}} x_{s,r} \ge T$$

$$\sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}} x_{s,r} \le Q_s \cdot T \qquad \forall s \in S$$

$$\sum_{s \in S} n_s \ge T$$
$$n_s \le Q_s \cdot T \qquad \forall s \in S$$

Constraints

$$\sum_{s \in S, r \in \mathcal{R}} x_{s,r} \ge T$$
$$\sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}} x_{s,r} \le Q_s \cdot T \qquad \forall s \in S$$

$$\sum_{s\in\mathcal{S}}n_s\geq T$$

$$n_s \leq Q_s \cdot T \qquad \forall s \in S$$

Objective function

 $min \sum x_{s,r} \cdot COST_{s,r}$ $s \in S, r \in \mathcal{R}$

Objective function

$$\min\sum_{s\in\mathcal{S},r\in\mathcal{R}}x_{s,r}\cdot COST_{s,r}$$

$$\min\sum_{s\in\mathcal{S},p\in\mathcal{P}_0}w_{s,p}\cdot CBR_{s,p}$$

Objective function

$$\min\sum_{s\in\mathcal{S},r\in\mathcal{R}}x_{s,r}\cdot COST_{s,r}$$

$$\min\sum_{s\in\mathcal{S},p\in\mathcal{P}_0} w_{s,p} \cdot CBR_{s,p}$$

```
1 ! Objective: sum of costs*weights
2 MinCost:= sum(s in S, p in PO) CB(s,p) * w(s,p)
3
4 minimize(MinCost)
```