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## Random vectors

## Random vectors

In statistics multiple variables are usually observed, and vectors of random variables (random vectors) are required. The two-dimensional case is useful to illustrate the main concepts, and will be used here.

For continuous r.v., the joint (probability) density function extends the one-dimensional case: it is the $f(x, y)$ function such that, for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\{(X, Y) \in A\}=\iint_{A} f(x, y) d x d y
$$

Note that $f(x, y) \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d x d y=1$.
The probability density function defines the joint distribution of the random vector $(X, Y)$.

## Marginal distribution

The joint distribution embodies information about each components, so that the distribution of $X$, ignoring $Y$, can be obtained from $f(x, y)$.

The marginal density function of $X$ is given by

$$
f(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y
$$

and similarly for the other variable.
(Note: here and elsewhere we always use the symbol $f$ for any p.d.f., identifying the specific case by the argument of the function).

## Conditional distribution

The conditional density function of $Y$ given $X=x_{0}$ updates the distribution of $Y$ by incorporating the information that $X=x_{0}$.

It is given by the important formula

$$
f\left(y \mid X=x_{0}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{0}, y\right)}{f\left(x_{0}\right)}, \quad \text { provide } f\left(x_{0}\right)>0
$$

The simplified notation $f\left(y \mid x_{0}\right)$ is often employed.
The conditional p.d.f. is properly defined, since $f\left(y \mid X=x_{0}\right) \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(y \mid x_{0}\right) d y=1$.

A symmetric definition applies to $X$ given $Y=y_{0}$.

## Conditional distribution: useful properties

In the two dimensional case, it is readily possible to write

$$
f(x, y)=f(x) f(y \mid x) .
$$

Extensions to higher dimensions require some care:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y, z) & =f(x, y \mid z) f(z) \\
f(x, y \mid z) & =f(x \mid z) f(y \mid x, z) \\
f(x, y, z) & =f(x \mid y, z) f(y, z) \\
f(x, y, z) & =f(x \mid y, z) f(y \mid z) f(z) \\
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =f\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{3} \mid x_{2}, x_{1}\right) \ldots f\left(x_{n} \mid x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{2}, x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## R lab: simulation from joint distributions (a mixture)

```
x <- rbinom(10^5, size = 1, prob = 0.7)
y <- rnorm(10^5, m = x * 5, s = 1) ### Y| X = x ~ N(x* 5, 1)
hist.scott(y, main = "", xlim = c(-4, 10))
```



## R lab: simulation from joint distributions (cont'd.)

```
xx <- seq(-4, 10, l = 1000)
ff <- 0.3 * dnorm(xx, 0) + 0.7 * dnorm(xx, 5)
### This is a mixture of normal distributions
hist.scott(y, main = "", xlim = c(-4, 10))
lines(xx, ff, col = "red", lwd = 2)
```



## Bayes theorem

From the factorization of the joint distribution it readily follows that

$$
f(x, y)=f(x) f(y \mid x)=f(y) f(x \mid y)
$$

from which we obtain the Bayes theorem

$$
f(x \mid y)=\frac{f(x) f(y \mid x)}{f(y)} .
$$

This is a cornerstone of statistics, leading to an entire school of statistical modelling.

## Independence and conditional independence

When $f(y \mid x)$ does not depend on the value of $x$, the r.v. $X$ and $Y$ are independent, and

$$
f(x, y)=f(y) f(x)
$$

More in general, $n$ r.v. are independent if and only if

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots f\left(x_{n}\right) .
$$

Conditional independence arises when two r.v. are independent given a third one:

$$
f(y, x \mid z)=f(x \mid z) f(y \mid z)
$$

An important part of statistical modelling exploits some sort of conditional independence.

## Example of conditional independence: the Markov property

The general factorization defined above

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{3} \mid x_{2}, x_{1}\right) \ldots f\left(x_{n} \mid x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{2}, x_{1}\right)
$$

will simplify considerably when the first order Markov property holds:

$$
f\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)=f\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-1}\right)
$$

which means that $X_{i}$ is independent of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-2}$ given $X_{i-1}$. We get

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{n} f\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-1}\right)
$$

When the variables are observed over time, this means that the process has short memory, a property quite useful in the statistical modelling of time series.

## Mean and variance of linear transformations

For two r.v. $X$ and $Y$ and two constants $a, b$ we get

$$
E(a X+b Y)=a E(X)+b E(Y)
$$

The result follows from the more general one

$$
E\{g(X, Y)\}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x, y) f(x, y) d x d y
$$

For variances we need first to introduce the covariance between $X$ and $Y$

$$
\operatorname{cov}(X, Y)=E\left\{\left(X-\mu_{x}\right)\left(Y-\mu_{y}\right)\right\}=E(X Y)-\mu_{x} \mu_{y},
$$

where $\mu_{x}=E(X)$ and $\mu_{y}=E(Y)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{var}(a X+b Y)=a^{2} \operatorname{var}(X)+b^{2} \operatorname{var}(Y)+2 a b \operatorname{cov}(X, Y)
$$

Note: for $X, Y$ independent it follows that $\operatorname{cov}(X, Y)=0$. The reverse is not true, unless the joint distribution of $X$ and $Y$ is multivariate normal.

## Mean vector

For a random vector $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{\top}$, the mean vector is just

$$
E(\mathbf{X})=\left(\begin{array}{c}
E\left(X_{1}\right) \\
E\left(X_{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
E\left(X_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

The mean vector has the same properties of the scalar case, so that for example $E(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{Y})=E(\mathbf{X})+E(\mathbf{Y})$, and for $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ a $n \times n$ matrix and a $n \times 1$ vector, respectively, it follows that

$$
E(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{b})=\mathbf{A} E(\mathbf{X})+\mathbf{b}
$$

## Variance-covariance matrix

The variance-covariance matrix of the random vector $\mathbf{X}$ collects all the variances (on the main) diagonal and all the pairwise covariances (off the main diagonal), being the $n \times n$ symmetric semi-definite matrix

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=E\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{x}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{x}\right)^{\top}\right\}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\operatorname{var}\left(X_{1}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{n}\right) \\
\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) & \operatorname{var}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{2}, X_{n}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{n}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{2}, X_{n}\right) & \cdots & \operatorname{var}\left(X_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Useful properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{b}} & =\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}} & =\boldsymbol{\mu}_{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{x}+\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Transformation of random variables and random vectors

Given a continuous r.v. $X$ and a transformation $Y=g(X)$, with $g$ an invertible function, it readily follows that

$$
f_{y}(y)=f_{x}\left\{g^{-1}(y)\right\}\left|\frac{d x}{d y}\right| .
$$

The result is extended to two continuous random vectors with the same dimension

$$
f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{Y})=f_{\mathbf{X}}\left\{g^{-1}(\mathbf{Y})\right\}|\mathbf{J}|,
$$

with $J_{i j}=\partial x_{i} / \partial y_{j}$.
For discrete r.v., the results are simpler, with no need of including the Jacobian of the transformation.

The multivariate normal distribution

## The multivariate normal distribution

Start from a set of $n$ i.i.d. $Z_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$, so that $E(\mathbf{z})=\mathbf{0}$ and covariance matrix $\mathbf{I}_{n}$. If $\mathbf{B}$ is $m \times n$ matrix of coefficients and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ a $m$-vector of coefficients, then the $m$-dimensional random vector $\mathbf{X}$

$$
\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{B z}+\boldsymbol{\mu}
$$

has a multivariate normal distribution with covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbf{B B}^{\top}$.

The notation is

$$
\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})
$$

## Joint p.d.f.

Using basic results on transformation of random vectors, starting from the joint p.d.f of $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{n}$ we obtain
$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 \pi)^{m}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}, \quad$ for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$,
provide that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ has full rank $m$. The result can be extended to singular $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ by recourse to the pseudo-inverse of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ : this is used, for example, in the analysis of compositional data.

A useful property which holds only for this distribution: two r.v. with multivariate normal distribution and zero covariance are independent.

## Example: bivariate case

We take $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0, \sigma_{1}^{2}=10, \sigma_{2}^{2}=10, \sigma_{12}=15$


## Linear transformations

It is simple to verify that if $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and $\mathbf{A}$ is a $k \times m$ matrix of constants then

$$
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{A}^{\top}\right)
$$

A special case is obtained when $k=1$, in that for a $m$-dimensional vector a

$$
\mathbf{a}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{a}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{a}\right)
$$

Note that for suitable choices of a (when all the elements 0 s or 1 s ) it follows that the marginal distribution of any subvector of $X$ is multivariate normal.

Normality of the marginal distributions, instead, does not imply multivariate normality.

## Conditional distributions

Consider two random vectors $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ with multivariate normal joint distribution, and partition their joint covariance matrix as

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x x} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x y} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{y x} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{y y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and similarly for the mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{y}\right)^{\top}$.
Using results on partitioned matrices, it follows that the conditional distributions are multivariate normal.

For instance

$$
\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{y}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{y x} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x x}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{x}\right), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{y y}-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{y x} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x x}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x y}\right) .
$$

## Statistics

## Random sample

The collection of r.v. $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is said to be a random sample of size $n$ if they are independent and identically distributed, that is

- $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent r.v.
- They have the same distribution, namely the same c.d.f.

The concept is central in statistics, and it is the suitable mathematical model for the outcome of sampling units from a very large population. The definition is, however, more general.
(For more details: https:
//www.probabilitycourse.com/chapter8/8_1_1_random_sampling.php)

## Statistics

A statistic is a r.v. defined as a function of a set of r.v.
Obvious examples are the sample mean and variance of data $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$

$$
\bar{y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}, \quad s^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2} .
$$

Consider a random vector $\mathbf{Y}$ with p.d.f. $f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{Y})$ depending on a vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (which is the parameter, as we will see).

If a statistic $t(\mathbf{Y})$ is such that $f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{Y})$ can be written as

$$
f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{Y})=h(\mathbf{Y}) g_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\{t(\mathbf{Y})\},
$$

where $h$ does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, and $g$ depends on $\mathbf{Y}$ only through $t(\mathbf{Y})$, then $t$ is a sufficient statistic for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ : all the information available on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ contained in $\mathbf{Y}$ is supplied by $t(\mathbf{Y})$.

The concepts of information and sufficiency are central in statistical inference.

## Example: sufficient statistic for the normal distribution

Given a vector of independent normal r.v. $Y_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, it follows that $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\theta}(\mathbf{Y}) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(y_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2 \pi})^{n} \sigma^{n}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By some simple algebra, it is possible to show that the two-dimensional statistic $t(\mathbf{Y})=\left(\bar{y}, s^{2}\right)$ is sufficient for $\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$.

## Complements \& large-sample results

## Moment generating function

The moment generating function (m.g.f.) characterises the distribution of a r.v. $X$, and it is defined as

$$
M_{X}(t)=E\left(e^{t X}\right), \quad \text { for } t \text { real }
$$

The name derives from the fact the $k^{t h}$ derivative of the m.g.f. at $t=0$ gives the $k^{\text {th }}$ uncentered moment:

$$
\left.\frac{d^{k} M_{X}(t)}{d t^{k}}\right|_{t=0}=E\left(X^{k}\right)
$$

Two useful properties:

- If $M_{X}(t)=M_{Y}(t)$ for some small interval around $t=0$, then $X$ and $Y$ have the same distribution.
- If $X$ and $Y$ are independent, $M_{X+Y}(t)=M_{X}(t) M_{Y}(t)$.


## The central limit theorem

For i.i.d. r.v. $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ with mean $\mu$ and finite variance $\sigma^{2}$, the central limit theorem states that for large $n$ the distribution of the r.v. $\bar{X}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} / n$ is approximately

$$
\bar{X}_{n} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)
$$

More formally, the theorem says that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the c.d.f. of $Z_{n}=\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) / \sqrt{\sigma^{2} / n}$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{Z_{n}}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-z^{2} / 2} d z
$$

The proof is simple, and it uses the m.g.f.
The theorem generalizes to multivariate and non-identical settings.
It has a central importance in statistics, since it supports the normal approximation to the distribution of a r.v. that can be viewed as the sum of other r.v.

## The law of large numbers

Consider i.i.d. (independent and identically distributed) r.v. $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, with mean $\mu$ and $\left(E\left|X_{i}\right|\right)<\infty$.

The strong law of large numbers states that, for any positive $\epsilon$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right|<\epsilon\right)=1,
$$

namely $\bar{X}_{n}$ converges almost surely to $\mu$.
With the further assumption $\operatorname{var}\left(X_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$, the weak law of large numbers follows

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right| \geq \epsilon\right)=0 .
$$

## Proof of the weak law of large numbers

First we recall the Chebyshev's inequality: given a r.v. $X$ such that $E\left(X^{2}\right)<\infty$ and a constant $a>0$, then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(|X| \geq a) \leq \frac{E\left(X^{2}\right)}{a^{2}}
$$

We apply the inequality to the case of interest, so that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{E\left\{\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}\right\}}{\epsilon^{2}}=\frac{\operatorname{var}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)}{\epsilon^{2}}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}},
$$

which tends to zero when $n \rightarrow \infty$.
The result may hold also for non-i.i.d. cases, provided $\operatorname{var}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for large $n$.

## Jensen's inequality

This is another useful result, that states that for a r.v. $X$ and a concave function $g$

$$
g\{E(X)\} \geq E\{g(X)\}
$$

(Note: a concave function is such that

$$
g\left\{\alpha x_{1}+(1-\alpha) x_{2}\right\} \geq \alpha g\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-\alpha) g\left(x_{2}\right),
$$

for any $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $\left.0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\right)$.
An example is $g(x)=-x^{2}$, so that

$$
-E(X)^{2} \geq-E\left(X^{2}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad E(X)^{2} \leq E\left(X^{2}\right)
$$

which is obviously true since $E\left(X^{2}\right)=\operatorname{var}(X)+E(X)^{2}$.

