Physical Property, Thermodynamics & Phase Equilibria

Maurizio Fermeglia

<u>Maurizio.fermeglia@units.it</u> Department of Engineering & Architecture University of Trieste



Agenda

Thermodynamic properties & phase equilibria

- Phase equilibrium: pure components
- Clausius-Clapeyron equation
- Phase equilibrium: mixtures
- Fugacity, Fugacity coefficient, Activity coefficient,
- Calculation of phase equilibria (gamma-phi and phi-phi)
- Henry's law
- Phase diagrams
 - Binary T-x and P-x diagrams
 - High pressure diagrams
 - Binary x-y diagrams
 - Azeotropes
 - Other diagrams (activity coefficients, excess enthalpy,...)
- Modeling phase equilibria
 - Activity coefficient models G^E models
 - Equations of state
 - QM methods: COSMO-RS
- Thermodynamic consistency
 - Barker's method

Thermodynamic properties & phase equilibria

- Phase equilibrium: pure components
- Clausius-Clapeyron equation
- Phase equilibrium: mixtures
- Fugacity, Fugacity coefficient, activity coefficient,
- Calculation of phase equilibria (gamma-phi and phi-phi)
- Henry's law



Vapor liquid contacting system



Component-A

Component-B

Vapor liquid contacting system







Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Single Phase systems



Motivation:

 most of the material balances in single phase involve liquids and gases and their volumetric properties

Data is necessary (density, ...)

- Look it up in the data bank \rightarrow find the right DB and values
- Estimate it \rightarrow pay attention to the estimation method
- Measure it \rightarrow problems of correlation and extrapolation

For liquid systems the main problem is mixture density

For gas system the main problem is the equation of state

- Ideal gas law
- Real gases and critical state
- Van der Waals equation of state and related EOS
- More complex equations of state
- Compressibility factor EOS and corresponding states

Multi Phase Systems

One component systems

- phase diagrams
- vapor pressure and saturated properties

Binary Systems

- Gibbs phase rule
- General conditions for equilibrium
- Vapor liquid equilibrium (all condensable components)
- Gas Liquid equilibrium (non condensable component)
- Other equilibrium: solid liquid and liquid liquid
- Multi component systems
- Two phases in equilibrium
- Three or more phases in equilibrium



PVT for an ideal gas: pure component



Phase behavior for a pure component



3D Phase diagram: pure component



critical /point

l⇔g

PV diagram for a pure component system



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 13

Thermodynamic Potentials

- Four important thermodynamic functions. These are:
 - The total (mean) Internal Energy U (E)
 - The Enthalpy H
 - The Helmholtz Free Energy F
 - The Gibbs Free Energy G
- Any one of these functions can be used to characterize the thermodynamic properties of a macroscopic system. These functions are sometimes called Thermodynamic Potentials (TP) or State functions.
 - Internal Energy U,
 - Enthalpy H = U + pV
 - Helmholtz Free Energy F = U TS
 - Gibbs Free Energy G = U TS + pV
- They depend ONLY on the Equilibrium state of the system
- If the integral of df doesn't depend on the path of integration, f is a state function and df is an exact differential.

Internal Energy U

- Macroscopic measure of subatomic and molecular energies
 - It is NOT directly measurable
 - It is a state function only differences in U are calculated
 - Consequently, it is an exact differential
 - It can be expressed (for a pure component) in terms of two intensive variables (phase rule)
 - Temperature
 - Specific volume
 - U = U(T,V)

$$d\widehat{U} = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_{\widehat{V}} dT + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \widehat{V}}\right)_{T} d\widehat{V}$$
$$\widehat{U}_{2} - \widehat{U}_{1} = \int_{1}^{2} C_{v} dT$$

At constant volume:

Enthalpy H

◆ Defined as a combination of variables: \rightarrow H=U +pV

- It is NOT directly measurable
- It is a state function only differences in H are calculated
- Consequently, it is an exact differential
- It can be expressed (for a pure component) in terms of two intensive variables (phase rule)
 - Temperature
 - Pressure
- H = H(T,p)

At constant pressure:

 $d\widehat{H} = \left(\frac{\partial\widehat{H}}{\partial T}\right)_{p} dT + \left(\frac{\partial\widehat{H}}{\partial p}\right)_{T} dp$ $\widehat{H}_{2} - \widehat{H}_{1} = \int_{1}^{2} C_{p} dT$

Thermodynamic Potentials

$\mu_i = \left(rac{\partial U}{\partial N_i} ight)_{S,V,N_{j eq i}}$

If we define:

- N = Number of particles in the system.
- $\mu =$ Chemical potential of the system.

$$\mu_i = \left(rac{\partial G}{\partial N_i}
ight)_{T,P,N_{j
eq i}}$$

- chemical potential of a specie is energy that can be absorbed or released due to a change of the particle number of the given specie (chemical reaction or phase transition)
- For each TP, a set of so-called "natural variables" exists.
 - Internal Energy U = U(S,V,N)
 - Enthalpy H = U + pV = H(S,p,N)
 - Helmholtz Free Energy F = U TS = F(T,V,N)
 - Gibbs Free Energy G = U TS + pV = G(T,p,N)

Potential	Variables
U(S,V,N)	<i>S,V,N</i>
H(S,p,N)	<i>S,p,N</i>
F(T,V,N)	<i>V,T,N</i>
<i>G</i> (<i>T</i> , <i>P</i> , <i>N</i>)	<i>P,T,N</i>

Thermodynamic potentials

- All thermodynamic properties of a system can be found by taking appropriate partial derivatives of the Thermodynamic Potential.
- The total differentials resulting from the combined 1st & 2nd Laws for each Thermodynamic Potential are:

$$dU(S,V,N) = TdS - PdV + \mu dN$$

- $dH(S, P, N) = T dS + V dP + \mu dN$
- $dF(T,V,N) = -SdT PdV + \mu dN$

 $dG(T,P,N) = -SdT + VdP + \mu dN$



Why Use Maxwell Relations? What Good are They?

- Some variables in thermodynamics are hard to measure experimentally.
 - For example, the entropy
- The Maxwell Relations provide a way to exchange variables.
- They relate theoretical quantities, such as equations of state & entropy to more easily measured quantities
- Internal energy (U), Enthalpy (H), Helmholtz free energy (F) and Gibbs free energy (G) are used to derive the 4 most common Maxwell equations.

Deriving Maxwell Relations: a recipe

Assume an infinitesimal quasi-static process & express an energy as a function of the other variables. For the internal energy U as a function of T, S, P, V, we have

dU = TdS - PdV

Next, take the total derivative of the energy with respect to the natural variables.

- For example, for the internal energy U, natural variables are entropy S & volume, V
- U is a state function and dU is an exact differential.

$$dU = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial S}\right)_V dS + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_S dV$$

Deriving Maxwell Relations: a recipe

Now that we have the total derivative with respect to its natural variables, we can refer back to the original equation for the energy U and define, in this example, T and P.



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Deriving Maxwell Relations: a recipe

Now if we take into account a rule about partial derivatives for analytic functions (Schwarz theorem):

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x},$$

Next, when taking the partial derivative of $\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial S}\right)_{U} = T$ $\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_{C} = -P$

• We obtain the same result, which is equal to $\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial S \partial V}$ for both derivatives: we have derived a **Maxwell Relation**

$$\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_S = - \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial S}\right)_V$$

The 4 Most Common Maxwell Relations

- Internal energy (U), Enthalpy (H), Helmholtz free energy (F) and Gibbs free energy (G) are used to derive the 4 most common Maxwell equations.
- These are derived assuming that
 - The external parameter is the volume V
 - The generalized force is the pressure P



Maxwell Relations

basic equations	Maxwell relations	working equations
dU = TdS - PdV	$\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_S = - \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial S}\right)_V$	$dU = C_V dT + \left[T\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_V - P\right] dV$
dH=TdS+VdP	$\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial P}\right)_S = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)_P$	$dH = C_P dT - \left[T\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_P - V\right] dP$
dA = -PdV - SdT	$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V}\right)_T = + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_V$	$dS = \frac{C_V}{T} dT + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_V dV$
dG = VdP - SdT	$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial P}\right)_T = -\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_P$	$dS = \frac{C_P}{T} dT - \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right)_P dP$



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 25

Clausius-Clapeyron Equation (Carnot cycle)

Work from a reversible Carnot cycle

 $dw = (V_{v} - V_{l})[P^{\circ}(T + dT) - P^{\circ}(T)] = (V_{v} - V_{l})dP^{\circ}$

Efficiency of a reversible Carnot cycle



Saturation and Vapor Pressure



Gibbs phase rule

The variables for describing a process are

- Extensive (depends on size of system)
- Intensive (do not)
- The number of intensive variables that can be specified independently is called degree of freedom (DF). If c= # components and P= # phases

$$DoF = 2 + c - P$$

Valid if no reaction occur

If r independent reaction occur, the right hand side of the equation should be reduced by r

T,P

$$DoF = 2 + c - P - R$$

Phase behavior of a mixture of components



Mixtures: phase Equilibrium Relationships



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 30

From Chemical Potential µ to Fugacity f

$$d\mu_T = dg_T = vdp = RT \frac{dp}{p} = RTdln(p)$$
 valid for perfect gas

$$\int_{gp,T,p}^{gr,T,p} d\mu_T = \int_{gp,T,p}^{gr,T,p} RT dln(f)$$
Fugacity represents the pressure of
an real gas (gp) whose temperature
and molar Gibbs free energy are
equal to the ones of a real gas (gr)

$$\mu_i^{(\alpha)} - \mu_i^{0\alpha} = RT \ln \frac{\hat{f}_i^{\alpha}}{f_i^{0\alpha}}$$

$$i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\alpha = 1, 2, ..., \pi$$

$$\mu_i^{01} + RT \ln \frac{\hat{f}_i^{(1)}}{f_i^{01}} = \mu_i^{02} + RT \ln \frac{\hat{f}_i^{(2)}}{f_i^{02}} = \cdots = \mu_i^{0\pi} + RT \ln \frac{\hat{f}_i^{(\pi)}}{f_i^{0\pi}}$$

Phase Equilibrium in terms of Fugacity





Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 32

Fugacity coefficient and Equilibrium



Fugacity is more convenient than chemical potentials...

- ... but equilibrium is best expressed in term of fugacity coefficients
- ... which is one if the fugacity is equal to the partial pressure

Fugacity coefficient may be considered as the correction factor to the partial pressure (effective partial pressure)

Phase Equilibrium in terms of Fugacity coefficients ($\varphi \phi$ approach)

$$T^{(1)} = T^{(2)} = T^{(3)} = \dots = T^{(\pi)}$$

$$P^{(1)} = P^{(2)} = P^{(3)} = \dots = P^{(\pi)}$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_1^{(1)} x_1^{(1)} P = \hat{\varphi}_1^{(2)} x_1^{(2)} P = \hat{\varphi}_1^{(3)} x_1^{(3)} P = \dots = \hat{\varphi}_1^{(\pi)} x_1^{(\pi)} P$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_m^{(1)} x_m^{(1)} P = \hat{\varphi}_m^{(2)} x_m^{(2)} P = \hat{\varphi}_m^{(3)} x_m^{(3)} P = \dots = \hat{\varphi}_m^{(\pi)} x_m^{(\pi)} P$$

At the Liquid - Vapor Equilibrium

$$\widehat{\varphi}_i^L x_i = \widehat{\varphi}_i^V y_i \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Fugacity from an Equations of State

Equation of State is a function...

$$F(P, V, T, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = 0$$

Fugacity is obtained by integration

da

$$d\mu_{T} = dg_{T} = vdp = RT \frac{\alpha p}{p} = RTdln(p)$$
$$RTdln(p) = RT \ln \frac{\hat{f}_{i}^{\alpha}}{p_{i}^{0\alpha}} = RTln\hat{\varphi}_{i} = d\mu_{T}$$

$$RT ln \hat{\varphi}_{i} = \int_{0}^{1} \left(v - \frac{RT}{p} \right) dp \rightarrow ln \hat{\varphi}_{i} = \frac{1}{RT} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{pv - RT}{p} \right) dp$$

$$ln\hat{\varphi}_i = \int (Z-1)\frac{dp}{p}$$
 $Z = \frac{pv}{RT}$ compressibility factor

0

... may be obtained by differentiation






Fugacity from Activity Coefficients

Vapor fugacity $\hat{f}_i^V = P y_i \hat{\phi}_i^V$ Liquid fugacity $\hat{f}_i^L = (f_i^L)_{id} \gamma_i$ Where $(f_i^L)_{id} = R_i(T, P)x_i$ $R_i = \lim_{x_i \to 1} \frac{f_i^L}{x_i} = f_{i,\text{pure}}^L = f_i^L$ at system T and p If pure liquid exists Now refer f to p_i^0 At constant \mathbf{v}_i and \mathbf{T} $ln \frac{f_i^L(T,p)}{f_i^{L0}(T,p_i^0)} = \frac{v_i}{RT} \int_{p_i^0}^P dp \left\{ \frac{v_i(p-p_i^0)}{RT} \right\}$ Poynting Factor Therefore $\rightarrow \qquad \hat{f}_i^L = f_i^{L0}(T, p_i^0) \exp \left| \frac{v_i^L(P - p_i^0)}{RT} \right| x_i \gamma_i$ $\hat{f}_{i}^{V} = \hat{f}_{i}^{L} \qquad \varphi_{i}^{o} = \frac{f_{i}^{Lo}}{px_{i}} = \frac{f_{i}^{Lo}}{p_{i}^{o}} \rightarrow f_{i}^{Lo} = p_{i}^{0}\varphi_{i}^{o}$ $Py_{i}\hat{\phi}_{i}^{V} = p_{i}^{o}\varphi_{i}^{o} \exp\left[\frac{\nu_{i}^{L}(P - p_{i}^{o})}{RT}\right] x_{i}\gamma_{i} \xrightarrow{\text{Low P}} Py_{i} = p_{i}^{o}x_{i}\gamma_{i}$ VLE

Order of magnitude of corrections



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 38

Types of VLE Phase behaviour

Ideal systems

- Systems that obey the Raoult's law
- Consist of molecules of the same size and shape and intermolecular forces
- Mixtures at low pressures that may be assumed as ideal mixtures (hydrocarbons, isomers,...)
- Ideal mixtures cannot form azeotropes or multiple liquid phases



Non ideal systems

- Due to interactions between functional groups creatinf non randomness in the mixture
- Due to energy effects created by size and shape differences
- Is accounted for activity coefficients

Types of VLE Phase behavior: effects of non ideality

- γ > 1 because molecules are dissimilar and tend to aggregate more with molecules of the same species, creating large local concentration. Ge is positive. Positive deviation from ideality
- $\gamma >>> 1$: liquid may split into two phases
- γ < 1 when attractive forces between dissimilar molecules are stronger than the forcess between the like molecules. Ge is negative. Negative deviation from ideality
- if γ < may have chemical complexes (ammonia water system)



Calculation of phase equilibria

- fugacity coefficients in the vapor phase by the Hayden-O'Connell (HOC) model
- activity coefficients by a suitable GE model
- NOTE 1: limited pressure range due to HOC and GE models validity
- NOTE 2: it is essential a correct calculation of the pure component vapor pressure

φ-φ approach:

- fugacity coefficients in the vapor phase by an Equation-of-State (EOS) model
- fugacity coefficients in the liquid phase by same Equation-of-State (EOS) model
- NOTE 1: simplest EOS's suitable for this application are cubic EOS's
- NOTE 2: no applicability limits as far as pressure is concerned
- NOTE 3: computational effort much bigger than for the γ - ϕ approach

VLE: comparison of two Approaches

γ-φ

Pros

- Reliability at low pressure
- Very good for describing polar mixtures
- Simplicity
- Easy programming and low CPU time

Cons

- Valid only at low pressure
- Parameters of the model are highly correlated
- Consistency at the critical point

φ - φ

Pros

- Continuity at the critical point (one model)
- Parameters are non so strongly correlated
- Applicable in an high T and P range
- Describes volumetric properties as well as equilibrium
- Cons
 - Complexity and high CPU time
 - Polar and low pressure mixtures

Calculation of phase equilibria: binary system



- NOTE 1: five possibilities for the calculation: (T, x); (T, y); (P, x); (P, y); (T, P)
- NOTE 2: the simplest model (at lower pressure)

$$P_b = \gamma_A P_A^{sat} x + \gamma_B P_B^{sat} (1 - x)$$

Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Fugacity from Henry's law • Vapor phase fugacity $\hat{f}_i^V = P y_i \hat{\phi}_i^V$ Liquid phase fugacity: $\hat{f}_i^L = (f_i^L)_{id} \gamma_i$ $\left(f_i^L\right)_{id} = R_i(T, P)x_i$ Where $id = \frac{m_{i}(1, I) x_{i}}{R_{i}} = \lim_{x_{i} \to 0} \frac{f_{i}^{L}}{x_{i}} = \frac{H_{i}^{sol}}{\gamma_{i}^{\infty}}$ Since pure liquid does not exist $\hat{f}_i^L = x_i \gamma_i^* H_i$ $\gamma_i^* = \gamma_i / \gamma_i^\infty \Rightarrow 1 \text{ as } x_i \Rightarrow 0$ GLE: $Py_i \hat{\phi}_i^V = x_i H_i \gamma_i^*$ Low P $Py_i = x_i H_i$ Henry's law is used to determine the amount of a supercritical component or light gas in the liquid phase

Only used with Ideal and Activity Coefficient models

 H_i is calculated from temperature-dependent Henry's constants for each solute-solvent pair



Phase Equilibria diagrams

- Binary T-x and P-x diagrams
- High pressure diagrams
- Binary x-y diagrams
- Azeotropes
- Other diagrams
 - (activity coefficients, excess enthalpy,...)



VLE diagram for a binary system



Process Simulation - Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 49

Binary diagrams at constant T / P



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 51

Constant composition diagram



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Retrograde condensation region



Process Simulation - Maurizio Fermeglia

High Pressure

- High pressure phase equilibria
- Type I to type VI mixtures



3D P-T-x diagrams for type I, II, III, V, VI



Scott and van Konynenburg classification



Type	Characteristics	Examples
1	* Single (continuous)	CH ₄ + propane
	GL critical locus	CO2+ propane
п	* Continuous GL critical locus	
	* LLcritical locus	CO ₂ + octane
Ш	* GL critical locus that changes	CO2 +
	to LL at high pressures	n-hexadecane
	ates at UCEP	C ₁ H ₄ +
	* Three phase line with UCEP	methanol
IV	* GL critical locus that ends at	C 11
	alcep	Cyclonexane
	* GL critical locus that termin-	+ nohstrene
	* Three phase line with	porystyrene
	UCEP/LCEP	Benzene +
	* Three phase line with UCEP	poly-
	* LL critical locus	isobutylene
v	* same as IV except:	CH ₄ +
	* no three phase line (at low 7)	hexane
	* no LL critical locus	and a second state
VI	* Single (continuous)	-
	GL critical locus	D ₂ O +
	* Low temperature three phase	2-methyl-
	line with UCEP/LCEP	pyridine
	* Closed-loop LL critical locus	Contraction of the second
	* Open loop LL critical locus	



Homogeneous azeotropic systems



Water - Ethanol @ 1 atm, azeotropic diagram



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 61

T-x, p-x, and x-y diagrams of various types



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 62

Binary liquid-liquid equilibrium



Liquid-liquid equilibrium in presence of an azeotrope



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

T-x, p-x, and x-y diagrams of various types



IV. Systems having immiscible liquid phases

Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 65

X-y diagrams of various type



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 66

Liquid Liquid and VLL equilibrium

• The basic VLLE equation is $Py_i\hat{\varphi}_i^V = f_i^{o1}x_i^1\gamma_i^1 = f_i^{o2}x_i^2\gamma_i^2$



Process Simulation - เกิดนายเป็น เลาการูกิเล

LL & VLLE Phase Diagram

Water - 1-Butanol @ 1 atm - UNIFAC



Liquid – Liquid Equilibrium

Water - 1-Butanol @ 1 atm, 365K - UNIFAC



LL & VLLE Phase Diagram

Water - 1-Butanol @ 1 atm - UNIFAC



Vapor Liquid Liquid Equilibrium

Water - 1-Butanol @ 1 atm, 370K - UNIFAC



Activity coefficient diagram



Process Simulation - Maurizio Fermeglia

Activity coefficients vs. concentration



Pure component

Mixing properties: free energy, enthalpy, entropy and excess volume



Enthalpy vs. Composition: Ponchon-Savarit Plot Bubble point Temperature



-4. Enthalpy-composition diagram for ethanol-water at a pressure of 1 kg/cm². (Bosnjakovic, Technische Thermodynamik, T. Steinkopff, Leipzig, 1935)

Vapor pressure is crucial in VLE calculation

The correction given by activity coefficient is insufficient if the vapor pressure is wrong!



Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 77

Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Modeling phase equilibria

- Activity coefficient models
- Solubility parameter
- Equations of state
- COSMO-RS


Modeling Phase Equilibrium



The goals of the modeling are both to correlate existing data and to predict phase equilibrium

Correlation

- regressed parameters
- semi-empirical equations
- fitting of portions of the phase diagram even with high accuracy

Prediction

- physical significance of the parameters
- theoretically based models need the introduction of additional adjustable parameters

An ideal model would

- use easily measured physical properties to predict phase equilibrium at any condition
- it would be theoretically based.

No such model exists, and any single model cannot treat all situations

- → modeling is still case specific
- Many problems still to be solved:
 - critical points multi-component mixtures polar systems association and solvation
- Two big families of models
 - Excess Gibbs energy models (or activity coefficients models)
 - Equations of state models

Excess Gibbs free energy models (G^E models)

Polynomial expansions,

- according to the Wohl method, which is a polynomial correlation of the system data for both binary and multicomponent systems.
- number of parameters to be fitted depends on the polynomial expansion used.
- Equations such as Van Laar and Margules belong to this category.
- Note that the Margules expansion can be used with different (i.e. increasing) number of adjustable parameters

Models based on the local composition concept

- introduced by Wilson in 1961.
- based on a correlation of binary parameters on binary data,
- multicomponent systems equilibria is done starting from the knowledge of all the binary systems based on component pairs in the mixture of interest.
- Among others, NRTL and UNIQUAC are the best ones.
- Wilson defined the local composition concept based on 2 things:
 - interactions among molecules are expressed in terms of binary parameters only;
 - temperature dependency of parameters is made explicit through a Boltzmann-like equation

Fully predictive models

group contribution, solubility parameters and quantum chemistry models

Excess Gibbs energy molecular models

Starting point: Excess Gibbs Energy $RT \ln \gamma_i = \left(\frac{\partial n_T G^E}{\partial n_i}\right)_{T,P,nj=cost.}$ • Margules two suffixes: $\frac{G^E}{RT} = x_1 x_2 (A_{21} x_1 + A_{12} x_2) + \cdots$ Redlich Kister: $\frac{G^E}{RT} = x_1 x_2 [A + B(x_1 - x_2) + C(x_1 - x_2)^2 + D(x_1 - x_2)^3 + \cdots]$ • Van Laar: $\frac{G^E}{RT} = x_1 x_2 \frac{A_{12} A_{21}}{A_{21} x_1 + A_{12} x_2}$ • Wilson: $\frac{G^E}{DT} = x_1 \ln(x_1 + x_2 \Lambda_{12}) - x_2 \ln(x_2 + x_1 \Lambda_{21})$ • UNIQUAC $\ln \gamma_i = \ln \left(\frac{\phi_i}{y_i}\right) + \frac{\tilde{z}}{2} q_i \ln \left(\frac{\theta_i}{\phi_i}\right) + l_i - \frac{\phi_i}{y_i} \sum_i y_j l_j - \frac{\phi_i}{y_i} \sum_i y_j p_j - \frac{\phi_i}{y_i} \sum_i$ Local composition • NRTL (electrolyte) $-q_i \ln \sum_j \theta_j \tau_{ji} + q_i - q_i \sum_j \frac{\theta_j \tau_{ij}}{\sum \theta_k \tau_{kj}}$ models

Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 81

Wilson models

Wilson model for activity coefficients (binary system) is:

$$\ln \gamma_1 = -\ln(x_1 + \Lambda_{12}x_2) + x_2 \left[\frac{\Lambda_{12}}{x_1 + \Lambda_{12}x_2} - \frac{\Lambda_{21}}{x_2 + \Lambda_{21}x_1} \right]$$
$$\ln \gamma_2 = -\ln(x_2 + \Lambda_{21}x_1) - x_1 \left[\frac{\Lambda_{12}}{x_1 + \Lambda_{12}x_2} - \frac{\Lambda_{21}}{x_2 + \Lambda_{21}x_1} \right]$$

Wilson parameter is provided by following equation -

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \frac{V_j}{V_i} exp\left[-\frac{\left(\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{ii}\right)}{RT}\right]$$

* where, $\lambda 12 - \lambda 11$ and $\lambda 21 - \lambda 22$ are binary interaction parameters available from literature for a binary pair.

Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

NRTL model

Activity coefficient for binary system are defined as -

$$ln \gamma_{1} = x_{2}^{2} \left[\frac{\tau_{21} G_{21}^{2}}{(x_{1} + x_{2} G_{21})^{2}} + \frac{\tau_{12} G_{12}}{(x_{2} + x_{1} G_{12})^{2}} \right]$$
$$ln \gamma_{2} = x_{1}^{2} \left[\frac{\tau_{12} G_{12}^{2}}{(x_{2} + x_{1} G_{12})^{2}} + \frac{\tau_{21} G_{21}}{(x_{1} + x_{2} G_{21})^{2}} \right]$$
$$\tau_{12} = \frac{g_{12} - g_{22}}{RT} \qquad \tau_{21} = \frac{g_{21} - g_{11}}{RT}$$
$$G_{12} = \exp(-\alpha_{12} \tau_{12}) \qquad G_{21} = \exp(-\alpha_{12} \tau_{21})$$



UNIQUAC model

Activity coefficient for binary system are defined as -

$$ln \gamma_{1} = ln \left(\frac{\emptyset_{1}}{x_{1}}\right) + \frac{Z}{2} q_{1} ln \left(\frac{\theta_{1}}{\emptyset_{1}}\right) + \emptyset_{2} \left(l_{1} - \frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}} l_{2}\right) - q'_{1} ln (\theta'_{1} + \theta'_{2} \tau_{21}) + \theta'_{2} q'_{1} \left(\frac{\tau_{21}}{\theta'_{1} + \theta'_{2} \tau_{21}} - \frac{\tau_{12}}{\theta'_{2} + \theta'_{1} \tau_{12}}\right)$$

$$ln \gamma_{2} = ln \left(\frac{\emptyset_{2}}{x_{2}}\right) + \frac{Z}{2} q_{2} ln \left(\frac{\theta_{2}}{\emptyset_{2}}\right) + \emptyset_{1} \left(l_{2} - \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}} l_{1}\right) - q'_{2} ln (\theta'_{2} + \theta'_{1} \tau_{12}) + \theta'_{1} q'_{2} \left(\frac{\tau_{12}}{\theta'_{2} + \theta'_{1} \tau_{12}} - \frac{\tau_{21}}{\theta'_{1} + \theta'_{2} \tau_{21}}\right)$$

$$\tau_{12} = exp\left(-\frac{u_{12} - u_{22}}{RT}\right) \qquad \tau_{21} = exp\left(-\frac{u_{21} - u_{11}}{RT}\right)$$

UNIQUAC model

- Parameter u₁₂ u₂₂ and u₂₁ u₁₁ are binary parameters available from literature.
- Remaining parameters are calculated as following:

$$\begin{split} & \emptyset_1 = \frac{x_1 r_1}{x_1 r_1 + x_2 r_2} \quad \emptyset_2 = \frac{x_2 r_2}{x_1 r_1 + x_2 r_2} \\ & \theta_1 = \frac{x_1 q_1}{x_1 q_1 + x_2 q_2} \quad \theta_2 = \frac{x_2 q_2}{x_1 q_1 + x_2 q_2} \\ & \theta'_1 = \frac{x_1 q'_1}{x_1 q'_1 + x_2 q'_2} \quad \theta'_2 = \frac{x_2 q'_2}{x_1 q'_1 + x_2 q'_2} \\ & l_1 = \frac{z}{2} (r_1 - q_1) - (r_1 - 1) \\ & l_2 = \frac{z}{2} (r_2 - q_2) - (r_2 - 1) \end{split}$$

where z is set equal to 10 and r, q & q' are pure component UNIQUAC parameters.

Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 85

UNIFAC: the UNIversal Functional group Activity Coefficient model

The activity coefficient is calculated from two components

$$\ln^{i} \gamma = \ln^{i} \gamma^{C} + \ln^{i} \gamma^{R}$$

Combinational / (V, SA) Residual (interactions) (Experiment Fit)

The group contribution components consist of

- volume contributor R_k
- surface area contribution Q_k
- interaction parameter between functional groups A_{mk}
- To calculate interactions, similar sub-groups are assigned to groups and interactions are between these groups
 Calculate activity coefficients by summing all contributions and interactions

UNIFAC-Simple example





Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 88

Hildebrand solubility parameter (polymers)

• Gibbs equation: $\Delta G_m = \Delta H_m - T\Delta S_m$ • Δ , is the value of a variable for a solution minus the values for the pure components considered separately.

The result obtained by Flory and Huggins is

 $\Delta G_m = RT[\,n_1\ln\phi_1 + n_2\ln\phi_2 + n_1\phi_2\chi_{12}\,]$

• with number of moles n and volume fraction ϕ of solvent (comp.1) and polymer (comp. 2) and the introduction of a parameter χ to take account of the energy of interdispersing polymer and solvent molecules.

The value of the interaction parameter can be estimated from the Hildebrand solubility parameters δ_{a} and δ_{b}

$$\chi_{12} = V_{seg} (\delta_a - \delta_b)^2 / RT$$

- where V_{seq} is the actual volume of a polymer segment.
- $\delta = \text{square root of the cohesive energy density: } \delta = \sqrt{(\Delta H_{vap} RT)/V_{molar}}$
- The cohesive energy density is the amount of energy needed to completely remove unit volume of molecules from their neighbours to infinite separation (an ideal gas).
- This is equal to the heat of vaporization of the compound divided by its molar volume in the condensed phase.

Hansen Solubility Parameter

- Developed by Charles Hansen as a way of predicting if one material will dissolve in another and form a solution
 - They are based on the idea that like dissolves like where one molecule is defined as being 'like' another if it bonds to itself in a similar way.
- Hansen parameters divide the total Hildebrand value into three parts: a dispersion force component, a hydrogen bonding component, end a polar component.

$$\delta_t = \left(\delta_d^2 + \delta_p^2 + \delta_h^2\right)^{0.5}$$

- These three parameters can be treated as co-ordinates for a point in three dimensions (Hansen space)
 (Apolar molecules
 - The nearer two molecules are in this three dimensional space, the more likely they are to dissolve into each other.



polar

 δ_p

 δ_{A}

Forces of hydrogen bonding

Forces of dispersion

(London)

 δ_{h}

Hansen Solubility Parameter

- To determine if the parameters of two molecules (usually a solvent and a polymer) are within range ...
 - an **interaction radius** (R₀) is given to the substance being dissolved.
 - This value determines the radius of the sphere in Hansen space and it's center is the three Hansen parameters.
- To calculate the distance (Ra) between Hansen parameters in Hansen space the formula is used:

$$(Ra)^{2} = 4(\delta_{d2} - \delta_{d1})^{2} + (\delta_{p2} - \delta_{p1})^{2} + (\delta_{h2} - \delta_{h1})^{2}$$

- Combining this with the interaction radius gives the relative energy difference (RED) of the system:
 - RED = R_a / R_0
 - RED < 1 the molecules are alike and will dissolve</p>
 - RED = 1 the system will partially dissolve
 - RED > 1 the system will not dissolve

Equations of state classification

- An equation of state is a relationship among P, V and T (and composition)
 - Attractive forces
 - Repulsive forces
 - Other forces (electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, ...
- Cubic Equations of State: the van der Waals family
 - Van der Waals
 - Soave Redlich Kwong
 - Peng Robinson
 - Volume translation
- Virial equation of state
 - BWR
- Corresponding state
- Perturbation theory
 - The Perturbed Hard Chain Theory
 - The Perturbed Hard Sphere Theory
 - The SAFT Equation

If equations are valid, EOS are independent from phases!!

Van der Waals partition function

Partition function is defined as:

- $Q = \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda}\right)^{-3N} \left(V_f\right)^N \left[\exp\left(-\frac{E_0}{2kT}\right)\right]^N q_{r,v}^N$
 - L is the De Broglie wave length, function of molecular mass, and T
 - N is the number of molecules
 - Vf is the Free Volume = V-b
 - E₀ is the intermolecular potential
 - q_{r,v} is the degrees of freedom of the molecules

$$V_{f} = V - \frac{N}{N_{A}}b = f(\rho) \qquad E_{0} = -\frac{2aN}{VN_{A}^{2}} = f(\rho)$$

$$P = kT\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial V}\right)_{T,n_{i}} \qquad P = \frac{RT}{v-b} - \frac{a}{v^{2}}$$

Cubic EOS: the van der Waals family

• Cubic EOS's are the simplest model to apply the φ - φ approach for VLE calculation. $a_C = 0.42175 \frac{R^2 T_C^2}{P_C}$

- Cubic in variable v
- The simplest cubic EOS is the VdW EOS
- $p = \frac{RT}{v-b} \frac{a}{v^2} \rightarrow \left(p + \frac{a}{v^2}\right)(v-b) = RT$
- Easily extended to binary and multi-component systems
 - Mixing rules are crucial

Only two properties are needed to calculate the VdW parameters

 $\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial V^2}\right)_{Crit} = 0$

Pure Component parameters are constrained to:

- But with this the EOS has no more degrees of freedom, namely all parameters are fixed by the critical point conditions
- Experimental vapor pressure curves is not correctly given

 $b_C = 0.125 \frac{RT_C}{P_C}$

Pure component parameters for the VdW EOS

Calculating P_{sat} through the VdW EOS: we start from the isofugacity condition: $\varphi_i^{*V} = \varphi_i^{*L}$

$$\varphi_i^{*V} = f(P_i^{sat}, T, v^V, a_C, b_C)$$

$$\varphi_i^{*L} = f(P_i^{sat}, T, v^L, a_C, b_C)$$

At a given T, P_{sat} is the only unknown of the iso-fugacity equation, so it can be obtained in a predictive way.

Of course, its calculated value will be different from the experimental one!

To overcome this problem, a third parameter is needed.

 A third parameter appropriate to solve the problem of inadequate P_{sat} calculation was first proposed by Soave in 1972. It is known as "parameter alpha".

Pure component parameters for the VdW EOS

According to Soave's, the VdW EOS is rewritten in the form:

$$P = \frac{RT}{v - b_C} - \frac{\alpha(T)a_C}{v^2}$$

• According to Soave's idea the attractive parameter a is evaluated from $a = \alpha(T) a_C$, thus substituting $a=a_C$ in both the VdW equation and the expressions of φi^{*V} and φi^{*L} .

• In this way, the isofugacity condition can be rewritten as:

$$\varphi_i^{*V}(P_i^{sat},T,\alpha) = \varphi_i^{*L}(P_i^{sat},T,\alpha)$$

For a given T, the accurate (experimental) corresponding value of P_{sat} can be used.

• The value of α is uniquely determined to reproduce this P_{sat} value.

Pure component parameters for the VdW EOS: alpha

 Soave proposed an equation to calculate the function α(T), which holds for non-polar components only:

$$\sqrt{\alpha(T)} = 1 - m\sqrt{1 - T/T_C}$$

$$m = C_1 + C_2\omega + C_3\omega^2$$



- VdW EOS with the function α(T) is able to accurately calculate P_{sat} in a predictive way for non-polar components.
- α is obtained without knowing P_{sat}, which is the only unknown of the isofugacity equation.
- For polar compounds α(T) can be obtained only by fitting P_{sat} experimental values.

Soave Redlich Kwong Equation



Peng-Robinson Equation

$$p = \frac{RT}{\nu - b} - \frac{a}{\nu^2 + 2b\nu - b^2}$$

$$a = [1 + k\sqrt{T_r}]^2 * a_c$$

$$k = 0.37464 + 1.54226\omega - 0.26992\omega^2$$

$$\omega = \text{acentric factor}$$

Good for critical conditions properties

Better than SRK for density of nonpolar liquids
 Wide applications

Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 99

Pure component parameters for the VdW EOS: volume shift

Peneloux, in 1982, proposed a volume shift (i.e. volume translation) in the EOS, applicable to any cubic EOS:



Pure component parameters for the VdW EOS: volume shift

The c parameter allows better calculation of densities.

- the value of c can be calculated based of both liquid and vapor density data,
- obtaining a value which is essentially independent of the temperature,
- except close to the critical temperature (as a rule of thumb, outside the range 0.9<T_r<1.1).

For instance, if the experimental liquid density at ambient condition (20°C and 1 atm) is known, it results:

$$c = (v+c)_{cal}^L - v_{exp}^L$$

 NOTE: the application of the volume shift does not affect calculation of P_{sat} and other properties.

Do not use temperature dependent volume transition parameter

It is thermodynamically inconsistent

The ultimate (generic) cubic EOS's

$$P = \frac{RT}{v+c-b_C} - \frac{\alpha(T)a_C}{(v+c+b_C)(v+c+d)}$$

- A cubic EOS is a third-degree polynomial in v, and as such it may have a maximum of four parameters.
 - Any cubic EOS, including shifted VdW, shifted SRK and shifted PR EOS, can be derived from this equation!
- In summary, the pure component parameters calculation requires the knowledge of:
- 1. TC/PC, which can also be predicted by a suitable model
- Psat, or a in the case of non-polar components.
- 3. an experimental density value
- If any one of the above properties is missing for any of the components involved in the process, it is NOT possible to use a cubic EOS for process simulation.

Applicability of cubic Equations of state

- This issue of missing TC/PC or density is not particularly relevant
 - They can be easily estimated or measured
- It is critical with respect to P_{sat}.
 - If P_{sat} is unpredictable or cannot be measured, cubic EOSs cannot be applied.
 - This happens in the presence of gases and solids (polymers, electrolytes,..).
- * In the case of gases, the problem has been solved by a suitable extrapolation of the α function above the critical temperature
 - But only for a limited extrapolation with respect to T .
- With solids there is nothing to do.
- So, it must be concluded that cubic EOS's cannot be applied to components without a measurable vapor pressure,
- EOSs other than cubic ones have to be used to model systems containing solids.
 - For instance, the PHSC EOS and the SAFT EOS have been developed for polymer solutions, whereas models to represent electrolyte solutions at high pressures are missing.

Mixture parameters for Cubic EOS: classical mixing rules



- In summary, to be able to apply a cubic EOS for an accurate calculation of mixture parameters, the following properties must be known:
- 1. T_C/P_C of all the components
- 2. P_{sat} (or α in the case of non-polar components) for all the condensable components at the system temperature.
- 3. a density value of all the components
- VLE data of all the binary systems formed by all component pairs, to fit k_{ij}'s values



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 105

Features of the Cubic Equations of state

- Need three parameters for pure components: Tc, Pc, ω
- The main advantage is the flexibility and the easy of use
- The main disadvantage is its accuracy in the PVT space for both pure components and mixtures
- The applicability is questionable when critical properties are not known (high molecular weight such as polymers)
- Group contribution versions for b and a are available
- Volumetric properties are not accurate in the close vicinity of the critical point
- The physical meaning of the parameters is questionable
 Mixture parameters are difficult to predict

They are a very powerful and useful correlation tool

Binary interaction parameters for SRK



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Trieste, 26 October, 2021 - slide 107

EOS Models: considerations on k_{ii}'s

- The values of k_{ij}'s have to be fitted to binary data of the mixture property.
 - they have to be known for all the binary pairs in the mixture:
 - with NC=3 it means 3 pairs, with NC=4 there are 6 pairs, and so on (the number of pairs largely increases with NC).
- Common features of the k_{ii}'s are:
 - for each pair, either 1 or 2 k_{ij}'s can be used (symmetrical or asymmetrical option). It is often assumed that k_{ij} ≠ k_{ji} to increase the model flexibility
 - k_{ij} is a correction factor. As such, its value must be constant for the given pair of components (and for a specific property). Only a slight temperature dependency of k_{ij}'s is tolerated, whereas k_{ij} values must not depend on composition (this would cause thermodynamic inconsistency)
 - k_{ij} is a correction factor. As a rule of thumb, its absolute value should be less than 0.1, as larger values would indicate that the model is unsuitable for the property calculation
 - since k_{ij} is a binary parameter, the model can predict the multicomponent property starting from the knowledge of (all) the binary system values of the same property. In summary, a model with the k_{ij}'s is correlative on the binary and predictive on the multicomponent systems.

Mixture parameters for cubic EOS's

Classical mixing rules:

- In general, the fitting of VLE binary data by a cubic EOS was found to be satisfactory for non-polar systems only.
- In the presence of one (or two) polar components the correlation is often insufficient, indicating that the values of k_{1,2} and k_{2,1} should depend on the composition to ensure better and acceptable performance.
- Unfortunately, this would result into thermodynamic inconsistency problems.

Huron and Vidal mixing rules

How to extend the EOS to highly non ideal systems?

- Compromise between EOS and G^E models
- Huron and Vidal used a simple thermodynamic relationship to derive mixing rules of EOS based on G^E models
- They equate the G^E energy to fugacity coefficient as computed by EOS

Basic hypothesis:

- G^E from a liquid state activity coefficient model is equal to G^E from an EOS at infinite pressure
- Volume of the mixture at infinite pressures is equal to the co-volume
- Excess volume at infinite pressure is zero

Linear mixing rule for b_m parameter



♦ a_m parameter becomes:

- Where L depends on the EOS used (if RK = ln 2)
- $G^{E,\infty}$ is evaluated at infinite pressure (by NRTL UNIQUAC).

 $a_m = b_m \sum \frac{a_i}{b_i} - \frac{G^{E,\infty}}{\Lambda}$

Huron and Vidal mixing rules

- Limitations of the original HV approach:
 - NRTL parameters must be regressed from experimental data
 - HV mixing rules are not good for non polar mixtures (hydrocarbons)
 - HV mixing rules are not good for low pressure VLE
- Modifications proposed
 - Michelsen (MHV1): zero pressure instead that infinite pressure (q₁=k=.593)

$$\frac{a}{bRT} = \sum_{i} x_i \frac{a_i}{b_i RT} + \frac{1}{q_1} \left(\frac{G^{E,0}}{RT} - \sum_{i} x_i ln \frac{b_i}{b} \right) \qquad b = \sum_{i} x_i b_i$$

Dahl and Michelsen (MHV2): second order HV

$$q_1\left(\frac{a}{b_{RT}} - \sum_i x_i \frac{a_i}{b_i RT}\right) + q_2\left(\left(\frac{a}{b_{RT}}\right)^2 - \sum_i x_i \left(\frac{a_i}{b_i RT}\right)^2\right) = \left(\frac{G^{E,0}}{RT} - \sum_i x_i ln \frac{b_i}{b}\right)$$

G^E-EOS models

- It took a while before the approach proposed by Huron and Vidal was appreciated by the scientific community
 - but it gave inspiration for a number of similar methods which are referred to as GE-EOS models, all based on the SRK EOS.
- Among others, the MHV2 EOS by Dahl and Fredenslund (1990), the Wong-Sandler (WS) EOS (1992) and the Predictive SRK (PSRK) EOS by Gmehling (1993).
 - MHV2 and PSRK are based on the UNIFAC activity coefficient model and suffer of similar limitations,
 - WS EOS is more theoretically based than HV as regards the second Virial coefficient evaluation.
- GE-EOS approach is not much more than a smart way to address the problem of correlating VLE data of strongly non ideal systems.
 - Therefore, it is suggested to use their simplest formulation, i.e. the one proposed by Huron and Vidal.

Motivation for non cubic EOS

- EOS is a reasonable choice for HP calculations
- Cubic Equations are not suitable for predictions
 - TC e PC are questionable for 'natural systems'
 - Binary kij are difficult to predict
 - The physical basis of Cubic EOS is poor
- Perturbation theory gives indications
- Perturbed Hard Chain Perturbed Hard Sphere Chain
 - Theory more complex and gives better model
 - Parameters become `predictable'
 - Higher complexity is balanced by good computer codes
- Some examples
 - Carnahan Starling van der Waals
 - PHCT
 - PHSCT
 - SAFT

Van der Waals partition function

Partition function is defined as:

- $Q = \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda}\right)^{-SN} \left(V_f\right)^N \left[\exp\left(-\frac{E_0}{2kT}\right)\right]^N q_{r,v} \qquad N$
- L is the De Broglie wave length, function of molecular mass, and T
- N is the number of molecules
- Vf is the Free Volume = V-b
- E₀ is the intermolecular potential
- q_{r,v} is the degrees of freedom of the molecules


Generalized Van der Waals partition function

Van der Waals partition function is modified (Beret Prausnitz) considering q_{r,v} = q_{r,v} (est) q_{r,v} (int)

- External (= influenced by density) contribution from rotation and vibration of the molecules
- External degrees of freedom = 3 (transl.) * c (transl. equivalent)

Internal contributions depend on Temperature only



Carnaham Starling equation

$\frac{V_f}{V} = \exp\left[\frac{\xi(3\xi - 4)}{(1 - \xi)^2}\right]$ $\xi = 0.74 \frac{v_0}{v} \qquad v_0 = \frac{\sigma^3}{\sqrt{2}} N_A$

Carnahan – Starling:

Perkus Jevick

$$P = P^{IG} + P^{HS} + P^{ATT} = \frac{RT}{v} + \frac{RT}{v} \left[\frac{\xi(4 - 2\xi)}{(1 - \xi)^3} \right] - \frac{a}{v^2}$$

Perturbed Hard Chain theory



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

The PHSCT EOS – pure components



The PHSCT EOS – binary mixtures



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia



Statistical Associating Fluid Theory - SAFT





VIRIAL EQUATION OF STATE

- Remarkably general provided the intermolecular potential obeys certain well-defined restrictions
- Takes the interaction into account
 - The second virial coefficient considers interaction between two molecules
 - The higher order coefficients follows in an analogous manner

The coefficients B, C, .. can be calculated `a priori' from statistical mechanics

$$\mathsf{Z} = 1 + B\rho + C\rho^2 + D\rho^3 + \dots$$

$$Z = 1 + B'P + C'P^2 + D'P^3 + \dots$$



Process Simulation - Maurizio Fermeglia

Examples of Virial EOS

Benedict Webb Rubin Lee Starling

$P = RT\rho + (B_0RT - A_0 - C_0/T)\rho^2 + (bRT - a)\rho^3 +$ $+ \alpha a\rho^6 + (c\rho^3/T^2)(1 + \gamma\rho^2)\exp(-\gamma\rho^2)$

Hayden O'Connell

- Complex term for B accounting for associations and chemical effects such as hydrogen bonding
- No interaction parameters for mixtures
- Excellent for gamma phi approach
- Very poor for liquids

CORRESPONDING STATES THEORY

- Derived by van der Waals most important result
- Based on the critical constraints
 - Variables v, T and P are related by a universal function such that F(Tr,Pr,Vr) = 0
- The EOS for any one fluid is written in reduced coordinates, that equation is also valid for any other fluid.
- The original formulation is a two parameter theory
 - Only for simple molecules
 - In which the force field has a high degree of symmetry
 - Typically small, non polar substances
- For more complex molecules it is necessary to introduce an extra parameter (at least)
 - PlocPlocker extension to mixtures of Lee Kesler equation

Corresponding States Theory: Mixtures

For mixture the definition is the same

 $F(T_r, V_r, P_r) = z = \Im(T_r, P_r, X)$

One has to define the pseudo critical properties



Quantum chemistry models: COSMO-RS

- Extension of the COSMO model beyond the dielectric Continuum solvation Models
 - CSMs, successful but hardly justifiable from a theoretical point of view;
- ideally screened molecules taken as a starting point for the description of molecules in solutions;
- deviations from ideal screening
 - described as pairwise misfit interactions of the ideal screening charges on contacting parts of the molecules in the fluid;
- atom parametrizations based upon DFT calculations



COSMO-RS : Basic Idea (deviation from ideal screening)



COSMO-RS:

1) Put molecules into ,virtual' conductor (DFT/COSMO)

2) Compress the ensemble to approximately right density

3) Remove the conductor on molecular contact areas (stepwise) and ask for the energetic costs of each step.



For an efficient statistical thermodynamics

In reduce the ensemble of molecules to an ensemble of pair-wise interacting surface segments









Results of parametrization based on DFT (DMol³: BP91, DNP-basis

650 data 17 parameters rms = 0.41 kcal/mol

A. Klamt, V. Jonas, J. Lohrenz, T. Bürger, J. Phys. Chem. A, 102, 5074 (1998)

meanwhile:

COSMO*therm5.0* with Turbomole BP91/TZVP rms = 0.36 kcal/mol

COSMO-RS Results — BAYER



Applications to Phase Diagrams and Azeotropes



Partition coefficient n-octanol/water



Binary system: Activity coefficient



Binary system: Vapor phase concentration (molar)



Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

COSMO-RS - Binary System



COSMO-RS

- COSMO-RS a general theory of molecules in a solvent.
- Predict the chemical potentials of solute molecules in a pure or multicomponent solvent.
- Uses a very small number of fitted parameters (8 inherent parameter and an additional 2 for each element).
 - Cavity radius related to Bondi radius
 - Dispersion coefficient related to polarizability
- Chemical potentials derived from COSMO-RS can be used to compute properties such as solubility, vapor pressures, partition coefficients, heat of hydration, etc.
- Parameterized by 642 (+230) data points for 217 (+ 100) small molecules containing H, C, N, O, and Cl (+ F, Br, I, S).
- Chemical potential differences reproduced with an RMS accuracy of 0.4 kcal/mol (which corresponds to a factor of 2 in the equilibrium constant).

Summary of COSMO-RS applicability

Can be calculated for any solvent and solvent mixture at variable temperatures

- solubility
- vapor pressure
- partition coefficients
- surface tension
- heat of vaporization
- heat of mixing
- liquid-liquid and liquid-gas phase diagrams (azeotropes, miscibility gaps, excess enthalpies and excess free energies.)

Is able to describe polymer properties like

- solubility of the polymer in a solvent
- solubility of a compound in a polymer matrix
- vapor pressures above polymers
- partition coefficients for multi phase polymers

(e.g. ABS)

Comparison of COSMO-RS with UNIFAC

PROS

- COSMO-RS needs very few parameters
- COSMO-RS is able to handle rare and exotic molecules
- COSMO-RS is able to handle transition states
- COSMO-RS is able to resolve isomers
- COSMO-RS does not make mean field assumptions
- COSMO-RS does not make additivity assumptions
- CONS
 - COSMO-RS is presently slightly less accurate (in the core region of organic solvents)
 - COSMO-RS needs a time-consuming QM-calculation (but only once per molecule)
 - COSMO-RS is young and full of improvement potential

Analogy of UNIFAC and COSMO-RS

 $-x^{(i)}$ = mole fraction of compound i in the mixture

 $p^{i}(\sigma)$ = amount of surface of polarity σ in compound i - $v_k^{(i)}$ = number of groups of type k in compound i Q_k = relative surface area of group k = surface fraction with polarity σ in the mixture a_{kl} = interaction energy parameter for segments of types k and l (in K) $e(\sigma, \sigma')$ = interaction energy of surfaces $\Psi_{kl} = \exp\{-a_{kl}/T\} = \text{Boltzmann weight of interaction k,l}$ of polarity σ and σ' $\ln \Gamma_k = Q_k \left| 1 - \ln \left(\sum_m \Theta_m \Psi_{mk} \right) - \sum_m \frac{\Theta_m \Psi_{km}}{\sum \Theta_n \Psi_{nm}} \right|$ (see 1) $\mu_s(\sigma) = -T \ln \left[\int d\sigma' p_s(\sigma') \exp \left\{ -\frac{e(\sigma, \sigma') + \mu_s(\sigma')}{T} \right\} \right]$ $\ln \gamma_{i} (\text{residual}) = \int d\sigma \ p^{(i)}(\sigma) \left[\mu_{s}(\sigma) - \mu_{s=(i)}(\sigma) \right]$ $\ln \gamma_{i} \text{(residual)} = \sum_{k} \nu_{k}^{(i)} \left[\ln \Gamma_{k} - \ln \Gamma_{k}^{(i)} \right] = \sum_{k} \nu_{k}^{(i)} \frac{Q_{k}}{T} \left[\mu_{k} - \mu_{k}^{(i)} \right]$ $\mu_k = \frac{T}{O_k} \ln \Gamma_k$

1-The statistical thermodynamics of UNIFAC is approximate (mean field arguments) while the statistical thermodynamics of COSMO-RS is exact!

Process Simulation – Maurizio Fermeglia

Phase equilibria modelling conclusions

- For low pressure systems use Excess Gibbs energy models
 - Preferably UNIQUAC and NRTL
 - Careful to the values of the parameters
- Use the Henry's law approach for the incondensable components
- Use EOS for high pressure systems
 - The big question today is still cubic or non cubic
 - Cubic Equations of state are used for 'classical' mixtures and for hydrocarbon and also with polar compounds
 - Cubic equations of state are nothing more than a correlation tool for 'nasty' systems such as polymers, dense gases,...
 - Non cubic equations of state are superior, provide volumetric properties but are complex

Use UNIFAC for undefined components, or use the correlations for the pure component parameters of non cubic EOS

- In the intermediate region use the MHV2 Huron and Vidal method for combining EOS and activity coefficients models
- COSMO-RS is a good fully predictive model
 - Useful when group contribution UNIFAC does not work