### STRUNKJR. E.B. WILLIAM STRUNKJR.

"...still a little book, small enough and important enough to carry in your pocket, as I carry mine."

- Charles Osgood

## ELEMENTS STYLE

FOURTH EDITION

042

FOREWORD BY ROGER ANGELL

#### Foreword by Roger Angell

The first writer I watched at work was my stepfather, E. B. White. Each Tuesday morning, he would close his study door and sit down to write the "Notes and Comment" page for *The New Yorker*. The task was familiar to him—he was required to file a few hundred words of editorial or personal commentary on some topic in or out of the news that week—but the sounds of his typewriter from his room came in hesitant bursts, with long silences in between. Hours went by. Summoned at last for lunch, he was silent and preoccupied, and soon excused himself to get back to the job. When the copy went off at last, in the afternoon RFD pouch—we were in Maine, a day's mail away from New York—he rarely seemed satisfied. "It isn't good enough," he said sometimes. "I wish it were better."

Writing is hard, even for authors who do it all the time. Less frequent practitioners—the job applicant; the business executive with an annual report to get out; the high school senior with a Faulkner assignment; the graduate-school student with her thesis proposal; the writer of a letter of condolence—often get stuck in an awkward passage or find a muddle on their screens, and then blame themselves. What should be easy and flowing looks tangled or feeble or overblown—not what was meant at all. What's wrong with me, each one thinks. Why can't I get this right?

needed to be kept in plain sight, like a wall sampler. when quickly reconsulted, and that the larger principles others—could clear up a recalcitrant sentence or subclause verbs, parentheses, the "that"-"which" scuffle, and many a compendium of specific tips—about singular and plural than ten million writers a helping hand. White knew that read in college, and to get it published. The result, this quiet English professor of his, Will Strunk Jr., that he had first book, has been in print for forty years, and has offered more have inspired White to revive and add to a textbook by an It was this recurring question, put to himself, that must

unblock or revise some piece of my own writing! They rewrite," "Do not explain too much," and the rest; above all chapter: "Write in a way that comes naturally," "Revise and to them, in the book or in my mind, while trying to start or the cleansing, clarion "Be clear." How often I have turned help—they really do. They work. They are the way How simple they look, set down here in White's last

day it went off. Well, O.K., he seemed to be saying. At least slightly different expression than the one he'd worn on the reading his "Comment" piece over to himself, with only a dard required endless attention. When the new issue of The New Yorker turned up in Maine, I sometimes saw him got the elements right. just think of Charlotte's Web—but maintaining this stan-E. B. White's prose is celebrated for its ease and clarity—

tully keeps us in touch these days. E-mail is conversation ing "she" in the same paragraph. What is not here is anything sample text, to forestall a subsequent and possibly demeanand I notice that "America" has become "this country" in a served him. Sylvia Plath has knocked Keats out of the box, take their places among the males who once innocently genders to permit a feminine pronoun or female farmer to among White's references, and with a light redistribution of cessors and air conditioners making their first appearance about E-mail—the rules-free, lower-case flow that cheer-This edition has been modestly updated, with word pro-

> with the need at times to please and satisfy ourselves (as once sustained (and tucked away) within the informal letter. White put it) with the clear and almost perfect thought. But we are all writers and readers as well as communicators, and it may be replacing the sweet and endless talking we

### Introduction \*

AT THE close of the first World War, when I was a student at Cornell, I took a course called English 8. My professor was William Strunk Jr. A textbook required for the course was a slim volume called *The Elements of Style*, whose author was the professor himself. The year was 1919. The book was known on the campus in those days as "the little book," with the stress on the word "little." It had been privately printed by the author.

I passed the course, graduated from the university, and forgot the book but not the professor. Some thirty-eight years later, the book bobbed up again in my life when Macmillan commissioned me to revise it for the college market and the general trade. Meantime, Professor Strunk had died.

The Elements of Style, when I reexamined it in 1957, seemed to me to contain rich deposits of gold. It was Will Strunk's parvum opus, his attempt to cut the vast tangle of English rhetoric down to size and write its rules and principles on the head of a pin. Will himself had hung the tag "little" on the book; he referred to it sardonically and with secret pride as "the little book," always giving the word "little" a special twist, as though he were putting a spin on a ball. In its original form, it was a forty-three page summation of the case for cleanliness, accuracy, and brevity in the use of English. Today, fifty-two years later, its vigor is

<sup>\*</sup>E. B. White wrote this introduction for the 1979 edition

not object to that. a way to spend one's days. I think Professor Strunk would is not only a necessary skill but a sensible pursuit as wellticularly to those who feel that English prose composition articles of faith. This chapter (Chapter V) is addressed parsetting forth my own prejudices, my notions of error, my worth, I added a chapter called "An Approach to Style, ciously, and in an attempt to give my publisher his money's substance of Professor Strunk's work. Somewhat audaexpressions commonly misused—that was the sum and composition, a few matters of form, and a list of words and tarnished gem. Seven rules of usage, eleven principles of through tampering with it, it was still a tiny thing, a barely record that is not likely to be broken. Even after I got unimpaired, and for sheer pith I think it probably sets a

bewhiskered entries, and enliven the argument. examples have been added to some of the rules and princireceived a thorough overhaul—to correct errors, delete the bastions of its brevity, and in general the book has text where I felt an assault could successfully be made on ples, amplification has reared its head in a few places in the four rules of usage have been added to Chapter I. Fresh refurbished with words and expressions of a recent vintage have now completed a third revision. Chapter IV has been A second edition of the book was published in 1972.

while slightly enlarging the scope of the discussion. The Elemost commonly violated damentals: the rules of usage and principles of composition quirements of plain English style. It concentrates on fun-Rather it proposes to give in brief space the principal rements of Style does not pretend to survey the whole field have tried, instead, to preserve the flavor of his discontent nouncements, or remove the special objects of his scorn. have not tried to soften his commands, or modify his pro rules of grammar phrased as direct orders. In the main Professor Strunk was a positive man. His book contains

snapping orders to his platoon. "Do not join independent ciples are in the form of sharp commands, Sergeant Strunk The reader will soon discover that these rules and prin-

> in two." (Rule 6.) "Use the active voice." (Rule 14.) "Omit clauses with a comma." (Rule 5.) "Do not break sentences the false, the right vs. the wrong, the timid vs. the bold, the interlarded with, examples in parallel columns—the true vs. tatory essay, and usually the exhortation is followed by, or sentences." (Rule 18.) "In summaries, keep to one tense. needless words." (Rule 17.) "Avoid a succession of loose eyes blinking incessantly behind steel-rimmed spectacles as ly in the middle and combed down over his forehead, his the puckish face of my professor, his short hair parted neatragged vs. the trim. From every line there peers out at me (Rule 21.) Each rule or principle is followed by a short horand fro under a carefully edged mustache. bling each other like nervous horses, his smile shuttling to though he had just emerged into strong light, his lips nib-

seemed in the position of having shortchanged himself-a with such eagerness and obvious relish, that he often so many needless words, and omitted them so forcibly and soul. In the days when I was sitting in his class, he omitted voice, said, "Rule Seventeen. Omit needless words! Omit sentence three times. When he delivered his oration or out of this predicament by a simple trick: he uttered every prophet who had out-distanced the clock. Will Strunk got man left with nothing more to say yet with time to fill, a radio into that imperative Will Strunk really put his heart and needless words! Omit needless words!" his coat lapels in his hands, and, in a husky, conspiratoria brevity to the class, he leaned forward over his desk, grasped "Omit needless words!" cries the author on page 23, and

remembered sting of his kindly lash, I have been trying to omit needless words since 1919, and although there are still never be accomplished, it is exciting to me to reread the many words that cry for omission and the huge task will masterly Strunkian elaboration of this noble theme. It goes He was a memorable man, friendly and funny. Under the

tences, for the same reason that a drawing should have no no unnecessary words, a paragraph no unnecessary sen-Vigorous writing is concise. A sentence should contain

unnecessary lines and a machine no unnecessary parts. This requires not that the writer make all sentences short or avoid all detail and treat subjects only in outline, but that every word tell.

There you have a short, valuable essay on the nature and beauty of brevity—fifty-nine words that could change the world. Having recovered from his adventure in prolixity (fifty-nine words were a lot of words in the tight world of William Strunk Jr.), the professor proceeds to give a few quick lessons in pruning. Students learn to cut the deadwood from "this is a subject that," reducing it to "this subject," a saving of three words. They learn to trim "used for fuel purposes" down to "used for fuel." They learn that they are being chatterboxes when they say "the question as to whether" and that they should just say "whether"—a saving of four words out of a possible five.

The professor devotes a special paragraph to the vile expression the fact that, a phrase that causes him to quiver with revulsion. The expression, he says, should be "revised out of every sentence in which it occurs." But a shadow of gloom seems to hang over the page, and you feel that he knows how hopeless his cause is. I suppose I have written the fact that a thousand times in the heat of composition, revised it out maybe five hundred times in the cool aftermath. To be batting only .500 this late in the season, to fail half the time to connect with this fat pitch, saddens me, for it seems a betrayal of the man who showed me how to swing at it and made the swinging seem worthwhile.

I treasure *The Elements of Style* for its sharp advice, but I treasure it even more for the audacity and self-confidence of its author. Will knew where he stood. He was so sure of where he stood, and made his position so clear and so plausible, that his peculiar stance has continued to invigorate me—and, I am sure, thousands of other ex-students—during the years that have intervened since our first encounter. He had a number of likes and dislikes that were almost as whimsical as the choice of a necktie, yet he made them seem utterly convincing. He disliked the word *forceful* and

advised us to use forcible instead. He felt that the word clever was greatly overused: "It is best restricted to ingenuity displayed in small matters." He despised the expression student body, which he termed gruesome, and made a special trip downtown to the Alumni News office one day to protest the expression and suggest that studentry be substituted—a coinage of his own, which he felt was similar to citizenry. I am told that the News editor was so charmed by the visit, if not by the word, that he ordered the student body buried, never to rise again. Studentry has taken its place. It's not much of an improvement, but it does sound less cadaverous, and it made Will Strunk quite happy.

Some years ago, when the heir to the throne of England was a child, I noticed a headline in the *Times* about Bonnie Prince Charlie: "CHARLES' TONSILS OUT." Immediately Rule 1 leapt to mind.

Form the possessive singular of nouns by adding 's.
 Follow this rule whatever the final consonant. Thus write.

Charles's friend

Burns's poems

the witch's malice

Clearly, Will Strunk had foreseen, as far back as 1918, the dangerous tonsillectomy of a prince, in which the surgeon removes the tonsils and the *Times* copy desk removes the final s. He started his book with it. I commend Rule 1 to the *Times*, and I trust that Charles's throat, not Charles' throat, is in fine shape today.

Style rules of this sort are, of course, somewhat a matter of individual preference, and even the established rules of granfmar are open to challenge. Professor Strunk, although one of the most inflexible and choosy of men, was quick to acknowledge the fallacy of inflexibility and the danger of doctrine. "It is an old observation," he wrote, "that the best writers sometimes disregard the rules of rhetoric. When they do so, however, the reader will usually find in the sentence some compensating merit, attained at the cost of the

violation. Unless he is certain of doing as well, he will probably do best to follow the rules."

struck me as sound at the time, and I still respect it. Why compound ignorance with inaudibility? Why run and hide? nounce a word, say it loud!" This comical piece of advice original Rule 11 was "Make definite assertions." That was pronounce a word, say it loud! If you don't know how to proimpart a secret—and croaked, "If you don't know how to ward, in his characteristic pose—the pose of a man about to Will all over. He scorned the vague, the tame, the colorless, to choose one form of expression and hold to it." And his dusty rule book, perpetuates and extends the spirit of a man. Will Strunk loved the clear, the brief, the bold, and his be wrong. I remember a day in class when he leaned far forthe irresolute. He felt it was worse to be irresolute than to the writer is undecided or timid, apparently unable or afraid lels, he says, "The lefthand version gives the impression that tinguishing mark. On page 26, explaining one of his paralbook is clear, brief, bold. Boldness is perhaps its chief dis-It is encouraging to see how perfectly a book, even

All through *The Elements of Style* one finds evidences of the author's deep sympathy for the reader. Will felt that the reader was in serious trouble most of the time, floundering in a swamp, and that it was the duty of anyone attempting to write English to drain this swamp quickly and get the reader up on dry ground, or at least to throw a rope. In revising the text, I have tried to hold steadily in mind this belief of his, this concern for the bewildered reader.

In the English classes of today, "the little book" is surrounded by longer, lower textbooks—books with permissive steering and automatic transitions. Perhaps the book has become something of a curiosity. To me, it still seems to maintain its original poise, standing, in a drafty time, erect, resolute, and assured. I still find the Strunkian wisdom a comfort, the Strunkian humor a delight, and the Strunkian attitude toward right-and-wrong a blessing undisguised.

E. B. Whit

# The Elements of Style