Volcanoes classification

- >1300 volcanoes known to have erupted in Holocene (last 10 000 years)
- ~500 classified as 'active' (i.e. known to have erupted in recorded history)
- Remainder classified as 'dormant' (may become active again) or 'extinct' (not expected to erupt again), but Vesuvius was thought to be extinct before AD 79!



Paricutin (Michoacan, Mexico) shown erupting in 1943 (graphic by Diego Rivera)

Volcanoes and eruptive style

Eruptive style and hazard depends on:









How and why do volcanoes erupt?

- Hot, molten rock (magma) is buoyant (has a lower density than the surrounding rocks) and will rise up through the crust to erupt on the surface.
- When magma reaches the surface it depends on how easily it flows (viscosity) and the amount of gas (H₂O, CO₂, S) it has in it as to how it erupts.
- Large amounts of gas and a high viscosity (sticky) magma will form an explosive eruption.

Think about shaking a carbonated drink and then releasing the cap

Small amounts of gas and (or) low viscosity (runny) magma will form an effusive eruption.

Where the magma just trickles out of the volcano (lava flow)

Volcanoes - tectonic settings



Oceanic ridges, hotspots	Subduction zones
Basic/Mafic volcanics	Acidic/Felsic volcanics
Low SiO ₂	High SiO ₂
Fluid lava (10 m/s)	Viscous lava (3 m/s)
Low gas pressure (little explosive activity)	High gas pressure (explosive activity)

Classification of volcanic eruptions



Oceanic ridge Hotspots

Subduction zone

VEI (Volcanic Explosivity Index)

VEI	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
General Description	Non- Explosive	Small	Moderate	Moderate- Large	Large	Very Large			
Volumn of Tephra (m ³)	1x1	10 ⁴ 1x1	0 ⁶ 1x1	10 ⁷ 1x1	0 ⁸ 1x	10 ⁹ 1x1	0 ¹⁰ 1x10 ¹	1 1x10 ¹²	
Cloud Column Height (km) Above crater Above sea level	<0.1	0.1-1 	1-5	3-15	10-25	 	 >25	1	
Qualitative Description	"Gentle,"	"Effusive"	← "Expl	losive"><	"Ca	ataclysmic," evere," "viole	'paroxysmal," ent," "terrific"	"colossal"	\rightarrow
Eruption Type (see fig. 7)	← Haw	← Strom aiian →	bolian>	✓ Vulcanian		— Plinian — <	- Ultra-Plin	ian	
	-	<1	hr			1	>12 hre		
Ouration (continuous blast)				— 1-6 hrs -	- 6-12 hrs		-12113	John på fed	
Duration (continuous blast) Maximum explosivity	Lava flow Dome or m	< ← udflow	<	- 1-6 hrs -	6-12 hrs	→ luée ardente		homo paí des) compèrire bue na nacional	→ →
Duration (continuous blast) Maximum explosivity Tropospheric Injection	Lava flow Dome or m Negligible	wudflow Minor	Phreatic — Moderate	← 1-6 hrs · ← Ex →	6-12 hrs	→ luée ardente	-12 113		^ _^ _^
Duration (continuous blast) Maximum explosivity Tropospheric Injection Stratospheric Injection	Lava flow Dome or m Negligible None	wudflow Minor None	Phreatic — Moderate None	Substantial	6-12 hrs plosion or N Definite	luée ardente			





Volcanic Hazards

- Pyroclastic flow
- Lahars/Mud flows
- Pyroclastic fall
- Lava flow
- Noxious Gas
- Earthquakes



http://volcanoes.usgs.gov/Hazards/What/hazards.html

Volcano Monitoring



These three things are the most important precursors to an eruption.

Volcanic hazards in the Naples region



http://www.ov.ingv.it/ov/doc/vulcani_napoletani_HQ.pdf

Mount Vesuvius: recent major eruptions

- A.D. 79: destruction of Pompeii and Herculaneum;
 - 80 eruptions since then most violently in 1631 and 1906; quiet since 1944





Mt.Vesuvius

modern Herculaneum

excavated area of Roman Herculaneum (20 m below modern city)





Ruins of Roman market, Pozzuoli; inundated by sea, uplifted by 2m in <10 years as a result of volcano-tectonic forces beneath Campi Flegrei caldera





Earthquake damage, Church of Purgatory, Pozzuoli 1982



CIANNI TOPTOLI





La Solfatara, one of several small active craters in the Campi Flegrei

Volcanic Risk in Italy

https://rischi.protezionecivile.gov.it/it/vulcanico

Livelli di allerta

https://www.protezionecivile.gov.it/it/approfondimento/ aggiornamento-del-piano-nazionale-di-protezione-civile-il-vesuvio

The effect of VOLCANIC ACTIONS

11/16

Cumulative effects given by a complex eruptive scenario (SpeeD and EXPLORIS projects for Vesuvian area)

WP4 Team

The effect of VOLCANIC ACTIONS

MITIGATION ACTIONS

EARTHQUAKE:

SEISMIC REINFORCEMENT: iron chains in masonry building, insertion of infill panels or resistant elements in soft floors of reinforced concrete buildings

ASH FALL DEPOSITS

Vuln.	Roofing type	Load [kPa]	Collapse prob. [%]
Α	Weak pitched wooden roof	2,0	50
В	Standard wooden flat roof; Flat floor with steel beams and brick vaults; Sap floors	3,0	50
C1	Flat floor with steel beams and hollow bricks ; R.C flat slab (more than 20 year old)	5,0	60
C2	R.C flat slab (less than 20 year old); Last generation R.C. flat slab	7,0	51
D	Last generation R.R. pitched slab ; Last generation steel pitched roof	12,0	50

1. pitched roofs by overlapping light structures (CFS, Cold Formed Steel; UHPC, Ultra High Performance Concrete)

- 2. the reinforcement of the roof slab:
 - FRP, Fiber Reinfor (physical and mechanic

degrade in range above 60-80°C)

- FRCM (Fiber Reinforced Cementitious Matrix)

- 1. WATER
- 2. SNOW
- 3. WIND
- 4. LANDSLIDES
- 5. VOLCANIC ACTIONS
- 6. VOLCANIC RISK

12/16

The effect of VOLCANIC ACTIONS

MITIGATION ACTIONS

PYROCLASTIC FLOWS

RESISTANCE TO LATERAL PRESSURE OF STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS FOR BUILDING TYPE.					
TECHNICAL ELEMENT	CRITICAL PRESSURE [kPa]				
Wooden seasonal structures	3,5				
3-4 floors weak masonry buildings with deformable floors	35-5				
4+ floors weak or strong masonry building	5,5 5				
6+ floors r.c. buildings	4 - 5				
Weak tuff walls (thickness ≤40cm, span>4m)	4 - 7,5				
4-6 floors r.c. buildings	4,5 - 6				
Non aseismic weak r.c. buildings	4,5 - 8				
1-3 floors r.c. buildings	6,5 - 9				
Medium strength tufo walls (thickness ≥40cm, span>4m)	7 - 9				
Non aseismic strong r.c. buildings	, , ,				
1-2 floors weak masonry buildings with deformable floors	11 - 18				
3-4 floors masonry buildings with rigid floors	11 10				
1-2 floors masonry buildings with rigid floors	14 - 19				

PROTECTION OF OPENINGS :

Overlay steel anchored along the external perimeter
Fire safety shutters of steel or alluminium
Special protective films on glass surfaces

LAHARS PYROCLASTIC FLOWS and LANDSLIDES

- 1. WATER
- 2. SNOW
- 3. WIND
- 4. LANDSLIDES
- 5. VOLCANIC ACTIONS
- 6. VOLCANIC RISK

13/16

WP4 Team

The effect of VOLCANIC ACTIONS

MITIGATION ACTIONS

CHOICE OF THE TECHNICAL OPTIONS

To assess the effectiveness of mitigation actions, it is necessary a comprehensive analysis of technological options.

A qualitative judgment can be expressed by six key indicators:

1.Quick installation
2.Storability
3.Lightness
4.Cost;
5.Preservation of constructive and architectural features;
6.Multifunctionality (ability of the technical solution to respond to different phenomena).

Through four categories:

- SE Interventions on elevation structures SV – Interventions on vertical surfaces
- SO Interventions on horizontal structures
- **AP** Interventions on openings

- 1. WATER
- 2. SNOW
- 3. WIND
- 4. LANDSLIDES
- 5. VOLCANIC ACTIONS
- 6. VOLCANIC RISK

14/16

VOLCANIC RISK

SECURE COHABITATION WITH THE VOLCANO

MAIN OBJECTIVES (Vesuvian area):

- Development of accurate volcanic models (physical and mathematical), assessing future eruption scenarios and their consequences on the surrounding territory.
- Assessment of the global vulnerability and potential damage induced by the volcano on the entire system (population, built environment, infrastructure, etc.).
- Production of volcanic risk-reduction guidelines for communities and local/ national governments.
- Promotion of a socio-cultural methodology enhancing consciousness and auto-regulation of the territory.
- Identification of alternative people settlements and the reorganization of the entire infrastructural network in the whole region, relieving the current situation to more manageable scenarios.

3. WIND

1. WATER

- 4. LANDSLIDES
- 5. VOLCANIC ACTIONS
- 6. VOLCANIC RISK

15/16