

THETA TERM

In YM theory, one has kinetic term

$$-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} \quad \leftarrow \text{Lorentz \& gauge inv. with at most two derivatives}$$

There is another term that satisfies these conditions:

$$\mathcal{L}_\theta = \frac{\theta}{32\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu} \quad \text{with} \quad F^{a\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} F_{\sigma\rho}^a$$

→ This term violates CP. It can be phenomenologically important!

↓

Under CP

$$A_0^a(t, \vec{x}) \mapsto -A_0^a(t, -\vec{x}) \quad \partial_0 \mapsto \partial_0$$

$$A_i^a(t, \vec{x}) \mapsto A_i^a(t, -\vec{x}) \quad \partial_i \mapsto -\partial_i$$

Hence CP and P are both violated, then one can have

the following terms in the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{CPV} = \theta_{QCD} \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\alpha\beta}^a \quad \leftarrow \text{SU(3)} + \theta_2 \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} W_{\mu\nu}^a W_{\alpha\beta}^a \quad \leftarrow \text{SU(2)}$$

θ -terms

$$+ \theta_1 \frac{g_1^2}{16\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} B_{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} \quad \leftarrow \text{U(1)}$$

In YM θ parametrizes physically inequivalent theories, despite the fact that \mathcal{L}_θ is a total derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\theta}{64\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\sigma\rho}^a &= \frac{\theta}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F_{\sigma\rho}) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{8} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} \text{tr} \left(\partial_\mu A_\nu \partial_\sigma A_\rho + 2i A_\mu A_\nu \partial_\sigma A_\rho \right) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{8} \partial_\sigma \left\{ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} \text{Tr} \left(A_\sigma \partial_\mu A_\nu + \frac{2i}{3} A_\sigma A_\mu A_\nu \right) \right\} \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

(*) \Rightarrow it does not modify the equations of motion.

However in quantum theory, when $\pi^1(\text{CONFIG. SPACE})$ is non-trivial, then total derivatives matter.

For non-ab. gauge theories $\pi^1(\text{CONFIG.SP.}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

(*) \Rightarrow it does not contribute to perturb. theory: total derivative is $\propto \sum P_i^{\text{external}}$

However, in presence of fermions charged under G , θ is unphysical because it depends on how the phase of those fermions is chosen.

To understand this effect let us do a REDEFINITION of all fermions:

$$\Psi_I \mapsto e^{i\beta_I \gamma_5} \Psi_I$$

I is a FLAVOUR INDEX (For QCD $I=1, \dots, 6$)
 β_I are real phases

$$\Rightarrow \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mapsto e^{-\frac{i}{32\pi^2} \int dx^\mu G_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_\alpha^{\mu\nu} F_\alpha^{\rho\sigma} \sum_I \beta_I} \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}$$

\uparrow
Axial anomaly

\rightsquigarrow This is equivalent to

$$\theta \mapsto \theta - 2 \sum_I \beta_I$$

\Rightarrow Only the combination $\theta - \arg \det(Y_U Y_d)$ is physical (i.e. invariant under field redefinition):

$$I = u, d \times 3$$

$$I = (\alpha, i) \quad i = u, d \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta} Y_U^{\alpha\beta} \bar{q}_L^\alpha \hat{\Phi} U_R^\beta \mapsto \sum_{\alpha, \beta} e^{-i(\beta_\alpha^u + \beta_\beta^d)} Y_U^{\alpha\beta} \bar{q}_L^\alpha \hat{\Phi} U_R^\beta$$

\Rightarrow phase of $Y_U^{\alpha\beta}$ shifted by $- (\beta_\alpha^u + \beta_\beta^d)$
 (i.e. row α multiplied by $e^{-i\beta_\alpha^u}$, column β multiplied by β_β^d)

$$\Rightarrow \det Y_U \rightarrow e^{-2i \sum_{\alpha} \beta_{\alpha}} \det Y_U$$

Analogously $\det Y_D \rightarrow e^{-2i \sum_{\alpha} \beta_{\alpha}^d} \det Y_D$

$$\Rightarrow \arg \det Y_U Y_D \mapsto \arg \det Y_U Y_D - 2i \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \{1,2,3\} \\ i=U,D}} \beta_{\alpha}^i$$

\rightarrow the same shift as Θ !

Moreover, thanks to axial anomaly, we can show that Θ and $\Theta + 2\pi$ are physically indistinguishable

In fact, we can always absorb the 2π shift by rotating one ψ_I by $\beta_Z = \pi$, that does not affect the Yukawa matrices



This can also be proved by noticing that $S_{\Theta} = \Theta \cdot n \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $\Rightarrow e^{iS_{\Theta}} \rightarrow e^{iS_{\Theta}} \text{ by taking } \Theta \mapsto \Theta + 2\pi.$

↑
instanton number
(topological quantity)

Even if Θ -term does not contribute to any order in perturbation theory, nevertheless several SM observables have a non-trivial Θ -dependence, such as

- vacuum energy;
- electric dipole moment of the neutron;
- pion mass & proton-neutron mass splitting.

This dependence can be understood qualitatively as the contribution to the SM P.L. of gauge config. with non-vanishing topological charge $Q = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu}$.

Example: VACUUM ENERGY of QCD $E(\Theta)$.

- $E(\Theta)$ is defined as

$$e^{-V_4 E(\Theta)} = \int D\phi e^{-S[\phi] - i \frac{\Theta}{32\pi^2} \int F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu}}$$

- $E(\Theta + 2\pi) = E(\Theta)$

- $E(-\Theta) = E(\Theta)$: do field redef. $\phi \rightarrow \phi^{CP}$ and use $D\phi^{CP} = D\phi$ and $S[\phi^{CP}] = S[\phi]$ for QCD

- $\Theta = 0$ is the absolute min. of $E(\Theta)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-V_4 E(\Theta)} &= |e^{-V_4 E(\Theta)}| \leq \int D\phi |e^{-S[\phi] - i \frac{\Theta}{32\pi^2} \int F\tilde{F}}| = \\ &= \int D\phi e^{-S[\phi]} = e^{-V_4 E(0)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\Theta) \geq E(0)$$

\Rightarrow parity sim. $\Theta \rightarrow -\Theta$ is not spont. broken.
(Vafa-Witten theorem)

- let us extract the form of $E(\theta)$ and show that $E(\theta) \neq 0$.

To do this we need some approximation method to compute the P.I.

\leadsto use saddle pt approximation :

- take P.I. in Euclidean formulation as we did above ;

- contribution of integrand mostly given by config. with FINITE ACTION and that MINIMIZE $S[\phi]$;

- expand $S[\phi] = S[\phi_{\min}] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta \phi^2} \Big|_{\phi_{\min}} \delta \phi^2 + \dots$
it goes out of P.I. Gaussian integral

\leadsto In YM (or QCD) config. A_μ^a that MINIMIZE the Eucl. action and have FINITE ACTION are called INSTANTONS :

• Finite action $\Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}^a \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow A_\mu^a \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \text{PURE GAUGE, i.e. } i \partial_\mu U(\vec{x}) U(\vec{x})^{-1}$ for some $U(\vec{x}) : S_\infty^3 \rightarrow G$

• Instantons are classified by maps $U : S^3 \rightarrow G$; these are classified by HOMOTOPY CLASSES (classes are above by maps that can be CONTINUOUSLY deformed into each other) in $\pi_3(G) = \mathbb{Z}$. The classes are labelled by an INTEGER, that is precisely

$$n = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu a} \quad (\text{INSTANTON NUMBER})$$

- Maps that have the same inst. numb. have the same Θ -term: $S_0 = \Theta n$.

$$e^{-V_4 E(\Theta)} = \sum_n e^{-in\Theta} \int d\phi_{(n)} e^{-S[\phi]}$$

- How to determine the instanton solution in each class?
Write Euclidean action as

$$\frac{1}{4g^2} \int F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} = \frac{1}{8g^2} \underbrace{\int (F_{\mu\nu}^a \mp \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^a)^2}_{\geq 0} \pm \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} n$$

$$\Rightarrow S \geq \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |n| \quad \text{MIN obtained when} \\ F_{\mu\nu}^a = \pm \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^a \quad (*)$$

(Fields satisfying (*) are automatically sol. of e.o.m.)

\Rightarrow In the topological sector labelled by the integer n

$$S[\phi_{\min}] = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |n|$$

$$e^{-V_4 E(\Theta)} = \sum_n e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |n| + in\Theta} \int d\phi_{(n)} e^{-\frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta\phi^2} \Big|_{\phi_{\min}} \delta\phi} + \dots$$

\uparrow computable

- As $|n|$ increases, the contribution is suppressed \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow the leading contribution to Θ -dependence is given by $|n|=1$.
- By doing the computation, one realizes that $E(\Theta)$ has a non trivial Θ -dependence
- Since $E(-\Theta) = E(\Theta)$, any effect of Θ appears in vac. en. at leading order at least through Θ^2 and rapidly

disappears for $\Theta \ll 1$. Effect of $\Theta \neq 0$ most visible in parity-odd observables, like the ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT of the NEUTRON d_n (strongest bound on Θ at present).

- El. dipole moment represents the effective coupling between the neutron n and the photon A_μ due to DIFFERENT DISTRIBUTION OF POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE EL. CHARGES inside the neutron. It is formally defined as the coupling

$$d_n \bar{n} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 n F_{\mu\nu} \quad \leftarrow \text{parity-odd}$$

d_n gets Θ -dependence at quantum level (quantum corrections)

$$d_n \sim e |\Theta| \frac{m_\pi^2}{M_n^3} \sim 10^{-2} |\Theta| e \cdot \text{GeV}^{-1}$$

\swarrow $M_n^3 \leftarrow$ from dim. analysis

Θ -dependence should disappear if one quark is massless $\rightarrow d_n \propto m_q \propto M_\pi^2$

The most recent experimental bound (2015, Pendlebury et al) is

$$|d_n| < 1.8 \cdot 10^{-12} e \cdot \text{GeV}^{-1} \Rightarrow \underline{|\Theta| \lesssim 10^{-10}} \rightarrow$$

\rightarrow very small \rightarrow **STRONG CP PROBLEM** :

- A very small dimensionless parameter is naturally related to an approx sym. that is restored when $\Theta \rightarrow 0$ (quantum correction $\propto \Theta$).
- Is CP such a sym? No! Because CP already broken by weak interactions.