INFORMATION RETRIEVAL

Luca Manzoni lmanzoni@units.it

Lecture 5

LECTURE OUTLINE

GRASS

WHAT ARE THEM BAYESIAN NETWORKS

- Also called Bayesian belief networks, decision network, etc.
- A graphical model is a statistical model using a graph to represent the conditional dependency between random variables.
- BN are a kind graphical model using a directed acyclic graph.
- Intuitively they are useful because when we need to compute $P(y | x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$ we actually need to compute only $p(y | Pa(y))$ with Pa(y) the parent nodes of y.
- An example should clarify this.

A SIMPLE EXAMPLE BAYESIAN NETWORKS

A SIMPLE EXAMPLE

 $= P(W = 1 | R = 0, S = 1) \cdot P(S = 1 | C = 1)$ $+P(W = 1 | R = 0, S = 0) \cdot P(S = 0 | C = 1)$ $= 0.9 \cdot 0.1 + 0 \cdot 0.9$ $= 0.09$

INFERENCE BAYESIAN NETWORKS

- To find the probability of an event we can use the tables of conditional probabilities of the network.
- We can have more than binary variables by making larger tables.
- The size of the table depends on the number of edges entering the node. For binary variables it is 2^k with k the in-degree of the node.
- Inference in Bayesian networks is, in the general case, intractable from a computational point of view…
- …but for specific cases it can still be performed efficiently.

USE OF BN FOR INFORMATION RETRIEVAL

MAIN IDEAS BAYESIAN NETWORKS IN IR

- Bayesian Networks can model dependencies between terms or documents (contrarily to the assumption of the BIM).
- However, we must always keep an eye to complexity!
- Here we see only one possible model. Other model with different topologies exist.

A SIMPLE STRUCTURE BN STRUCTURE

M Nodes for the terms

Nodes for the documents

Each edge connect a term with a document containing the term.

Both the t_i and d_j are binary random variables with meanings:

• t_i means "the term t_i is relevant"

• d_j means "the document d_j is relevant"

FOR TERMS AND DOCUMENTS SETTING THE PROBABILITIES

The size of the table depends *exponentially* by the number of terms in the document: with 50 terms we need a table of 2^{50} entries.

A different approach is needed to store the conditional probabilities

FOR TERMS AND DOCUMENTS SETTING THE PROBABILITIES

 t_3 We assign weights to each edge

The value $P(d_j | \, \text{Pa}(d_j))$ is now computed as:

$$
P(d_j | \text{Pa}(d_j)) = \sum_{i:t_i \in \text{Pa}(d_j), t_i = 1} w_{i,j}
$$

i.e., sum all $w_{i,j}$ for all the parent nodes with state 1 (relevant)

ONE METHOD OF WEIGHTING SETTING THE WEIGHTS

Multiple weighting methods are possible. Two conditions to be respected are:

- $w_{i,j} \geq 0$ for all i and j .
- for all documents d_i . ∑ $w_{i,j}$ ≤ 1 for all documents d_j *t i* ∈*dj*

One possible weighting scheme is

$$
w_{i,j} = \alpha^{-1} \frac{\text{tf-idf}_{i,j}^2}{\sum t_k \in d_j \left(\text{tf-idf}_{k,j}\right)^2}
$$

MADE TO "RESEMBLE"

THE COSINE MEASURE

With *α* a normalising constant

HOW THE QUERY SETS THE STATE OF TERMS USING A QUERY

Given a query q we assume that all terms in q are relevant (i.e., $t_i = 1$ if $t_i \in q$). We use the notations $P(t_i \,|\: q)$ and $P(d_j \,|\: q)$

Suppose $q = t_1 t_3$, then $P(d_1 | q)$ is:

$$
P(d_1 | q) = w_{1,1} + w_{1,2} \cdot \frac{1}{M} + w_{1,3}
$$

In general:

$$
P(d_j | q) = \sum_{i:t_i \in Pa(d_j)} w_{i,j} P(t_i | q)
$$

AT LEAST AMONG TERMS ADDING DEPENDENCIES

Until now we have considered the term independent from one another. We can now add some form of dependency between terms while keeping the graph acyclic.

Now we need a way to set the probabilities for root nodes (without any parent) and for nodes with parents.

For root nodes we already have:

SETTING THE WEIGHTS ADDING DEPENDENCIES

We can use the idea for the Jaccard coefficient of "similarity" among terms

Given a "configuration" x of the parent terms (i.e., which terms are present and which are not) let $A_{\bar{t}_i,x}$ be the set of documents not containing t_i and containing the exact "configuration" x of the parent node. Similarly, define $A_{\bar t_i}$ and A_{x^*} . Then:

$$
P(t_i = 0 | \text{Pa}(t_i) = x) = \frac{|A_{\bar{t}_i, x}|}{|A_{\bar{t}_i}| + |A_x| - |A_{\bar{t}_i, x}|}
$$

 $P(t_i = 1 | \text{Pa}(t_i) = x) = 1 - P(t_i = 0 | \text{Pa}(t_i) = x)$

FINAL REMARKS BAYESIAN NETWORKS

- We have seen only one model of IR using Bayesian networks.
- We can actually also add some dependencies between documents.
- In any case we must find a way to design or learn the dependencies. E.g., by estimating $P(d_i | d_j)$ and linking the "top documents"
- Other models are possible, including ones with completely different topologies, like mapping document to terms and then to "general concepts".

INTEGRATING EVERYTHING

GENERALISATION OF CHAMPION LISTS TIERED INDEXES

We search for K documents in the rank 1 index, if we have less than K we continue in the rank 2 index, and so on

TOWARDS A "SOFT CONJUNCTIVE" SEMANTICS QUERY TERM PROXIMITY

- If we have a query $q = t_1 \, t_2 \, ..., t_k$ we might want to give a higher score to documents in which the three terms appears close to each other.
- This is not a phrase query, but if the terms appears in close proximity the documents might be an indication that the document is more relevant.
- Let ω the length of the window (in term of number of words) in which $t_1, t_2, ..., t_k$ all appear.

TOWARDS A "SOFT CONJUNCTIVE" SEMANTICS QUERY TERM PROXIMITY

Query: CAT XYLOPHONE

Document 1: THE CAT JUMPED ON THE XYLOPHONE $\omega = 5$

Document 2: CAT: NOUN, A FELINE […] XYLOPHONE: NOUN, AN […] *ω* = a lot more than 5

How can we use $ω$ in out scoring function?

- Hand-coding a scoring function using *ω*
- As an additional linear term whose weight we can learn from training samples

HOW TO PERFORM IT IN THE VECTOR SPACE MODEL BOOLEAN RETRIEVAL

- We can use the vector space representation to perform Boolean retrieval:
- A document d is inside the set of documents denoted by t iff $\vec{v}(d)_t > 0$ (i.e., if the entry t of the vector of d is positive).
- The reverse is not true: the Boolean model does not keep trace of frequencies.
- The two models are different in a more fundamental way: in the Boolean model the queries are written to *select documents*, in the vector space model queries are a form of *evidence accumulation*.

CAN WE IMPLEMENT IT IN THE VECTOR SPACE MODEL? WILDCARD QUERIES

- In most cases wildcard queries need an additional (and separate) index.
- We can return, from that index, the set of terms that satisfy the wildcards present in the query.
- Suppose that we have CAT* as a query. We obtain the terms "CAT", "CATASTROPHE", and "CATERPILLAR".
- How can we score a document?
- We simply consider the three terms as "normal" query terms: if a document contains all three of them then it will probably be more relevant.

PHRASES IN A "BAG OF WORDS" MODEL PHRASE QUERIES

- In the vector space model our documents are "bags of words", without any ordering, while in phrase queries the ordering is important.
- The two models are, in some sense, incompatible: a bag of words model cannot be directly used for phrase queries.
- They can still be combined in some meaningful way:
	- Perform the phrase query and rank only the documents returned by the query.
	- If less than K documents are present then "reduce" the share query and start again.

EVALUATION OF IR SYSTEMS

STANDARD BENCHMARKS STANDARD TEST COLLECTIONS

CRANFIELD COLLECTION

ONE OF THE OLDEST, NOW TOO SMALL. 1398 ABSTRACTS OF AERODYNAMICS JOURNAL ARTICLES AND 225 QUERIES.

TREC (TEXT RETRIEVAL CONFERENCE)

NOT A SINGLE COLLECTION. THERE IS A RANGE OF TEXT COLLECTIONS ON DIFFERENT TOPICS. SEE : [HTTPS://TREC.NIST.GOV](https://trec.nist.gov)

REUTERS

REUTERS-21578 (21578 DOCUMENTS) AND REUTERS-RCV1 (806791 DOCUMENTS) COLLECT A LARGE NUMBER OF NEWSWIRE ARTICLES

Also see: http://ir.dcs.gla.ac.uk/resources/test_collections/

HOW TO COMPUTE PRECISION AND RECALL? RANKED RETRIEVAL

- We usually evaluate the effectiveness of a IR system with precision and recall (other measures are also possible)…
- …and this works well with *unranked* results.
- How can we extend it to *ranked* results, where position is important?
	- Precision-recall curve and interpolated precision
	- Eleven-point interpolated average precision
	- Mean average precision (MAP)
	- Precision at *k* and *R*-precision

PRECISION AT ELEVEN RECALL LEVELS ELEVEN POINT INTERPOLATED PRECISION

The recall levels are fixed and for each recall level the corresponding precision is recorded.

A SINGLE FIGURE MEAN AVERAGE PRECISION

We have a set of queries $Q = \{q_1, ..., q_n\}$

For each q_j we know the set of documents $\{d_1,...,d_{m_j}\}$ that are relevant

Let R_{jk} the set of ranked documents retrieved for the $j^{\textsf{tr}}$ query that we get to obtain *k* relevant documents th

Then the mean average precision MAP(*Q*) is:

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{m_j} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \text{Precision}(R_{jk}) \right)
$$

Average precision of the *j*th query

OTHER SINGLE FIGURES PRECISION AT K AND R-PRECISION

- Precision at k simply means that we record the precision of the first k retrieved documents. Like "precision at 10".
- If there are less than k relevant documents then the value cannot be one. Its value is highly dependant on the number of relevant documents that exists.
- A solution to this is the R-precision. If there are R relevant documents for a query, the *R*-precision is the precision of the top ranked documents returned by the query. *R*
- R-precision can be averaged across queries.

MULTIMEDIA INFORMATION RETRIEVAL

FOR IMAGES/AUDIO/VIDEO MAIN PROBLEMS

- Queries in one medium must be matched with other kinds of media (*cross-media IR*):
	- e.g., given a text retrieve an image.
	- Different media usually resides in different features spaces!
- There is an additional problem: the "semantic gap".

OR A COMBINATION OF THEM POSSIBLE APPROACHES

- There are possible approaches that can be used to index and answer query about multimedia documents:
	- Metadata-driven retrieval
	- Piggy-back text retrieval
	- Content-based retrieval
	- Automatic image annotation
	- Fingerprinting (images and video)

IT'S STILL TEXT! METADATA-DRIVEN RETRIEVAL

- Many multimedia format have additional metadata attached:
	- Images can have EXIF data.
	- Audio files can have ID3 tags.
	- Other kind of files can be annotated, for example with XML metadata using the Dublin Core standard: <https://www.dublincore.org>
- Notice that metadata could be stored *outside* the document.

PIGGY-BACK TEXT RETRIEVAL

TEXT, AGAIN.

Sometimes we can use text that is not part of the document or its metadata to index a file:

TEXT, AGAIN. PIGGY-BACK TEXT RETRIEVAL

- Anchor text and captions are not the only possibilities.
- For movies with subtitles we can extract the subtitle (possibly using OCR)
- For Midi files we can extract the pitches and duration of the notes.
- Still, we are only using text to find the content of an image/audio/ video.

MORE THAN TEXT CONTENT-BASED RETRIEVAL

- Create a collection of features describing, for example an image (we will consider images for content-based retrieval).
- The choice of feature will be the way we represent an image, so this choice has profound effect on the quality of the retrieval.
- We will see two examples for image retrieval:
	- Color histograms as features.
	- Statistical moments as features.

COLOR HISTOGRAMS CONTENT-BASED RETRIEVAL

Given an image we retrieve the color histograms, then we can quantise (for reasons of space) and normalise (to make them comparable with other histograms) them

STATISTICAL MOMENTS CONTENT-BASED RETRIEVAL

- The idea is to use a more compact representation of the distribution of pixels in an image.
- Let $p(i, j)$ be the intensity of the pixel in row i and column j of an image of height h and width w .
- We can compute the average intensity of a pixel:

$$
\mu = \frac{1}{wh} \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^w p(i,j).
$$

STATISTICAL MOMENTS CONTENT-BASED RETRIEVAL

• We can actually compute every $k > 1$ the k -th central moment of the image:

$$
\bar{p}_k = \frac{1}{wh} \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^w (p(i,j) - \mu)^k.
$$

- To make the value comparable among them we define: $m_k = \text{sign}(\bar{p}_k) \sqrt{|\bar{p}_k|}$
- And we use $(\mu, m_1, m_2, ..., m_\ell)$ for some ℓ as the feature vector.

FINDING OBJECTS IN IMAGES AUTOMATIC IMAGE ANNOTATION

- We can have a "black box" automatically annotating a picture with the objects it contains.
- The black box could be, for example, a neural network.
- But we can also use a "human computer", by having users of a service help us in tagging images.

FOR IMAGES AND AUDIO FINGERPRINTING

- The aim of fingerprinting is to uniquely identify a document inside a database.
- Recall the use of fingerprinting to remove duplicates and nearduplicates: in this case, however, we want to find the "duplicate" of our query.
- For multimedia content, the document is considered "the same" as long as it is "the same" according to the human perception.
- In fact we only need to reasonably identify the document.
- For an example of audio fingerprinting consider *Shazam.*

SPECTROGRAM AUDIO FINGERPRINTING

The spectrogram represents the spectrum of frequencies of the sound when it varies with time.

We want to use the spectrogram to extract information that can be used to perform retrieval of audio.

We start by highlighting the peaks in the spectrogram.

Paper:

Wang, Avery.

"*An Industrial Strength Audio Search Algorithm.*"

In Ismir, vol. 2003, pp. 7-13. 2003.

Figures taken from (Wang 2003)

CONSTELLATION DIAGRAM AUDIO FINGERPRINTING

By taking only the peaks in the spectrogram we obtain the *constellation diagram*.

If we play again the same sound we will obtain the same constellation diagram.

However, we may also want to allow non-exact matches.

Wang (2003) defined an hash using pairs of points in the constellation diagram.

AUDIO FINGERPRINTING

FINGERPRINTS

For each point a target zone (a rectangle to the right) is considered

Each pair of anchor point and point in the target zone is encoded as:

- The time difference between the two points.
- The two frequencies.

The representation does not depend on the time we started listening.

MATCHING AUDIO FINGERPRINTING

- Given an audio query we search all the pairs of points (has values) generated from our corpus matching the ones obtained by the audio query.
- A match is found when a diagonal line is present in the following diagram:

