Dynamics of EW & Strong Interactions

Part 4 - Dr. Michele Pinamonti (INFN Trieste) Lecture 3 - Trieste, 20/12/2022

Initial State Radiation (continued)

Collinear cutoff and PDFs



DGLAP evolution

• DGLAP (Dokshizer Gribov Lipatov Altarelli Parisi) equations regulate PDF evolution to different scales



Initial state radiation and factorization scale

• Important to keep in mind:



• changing factorization scale can affect ISR calculation / simulation!

Pictorial representation of DGLAP evolution

fraction

Incoming quark from proton, initially with low virtual mass-squared $-t_0$ and with $x=x_0$, moves to more virtual masses and lower x by successive small-angle emissions, and finally enters hard collision with virtual mass-squared $q^2 = -Q^2$



- At $t = t_0$ the x distribution is the PDF at $\mu^2 = t_0$
 - distribution of initial lines
- PDF at a different scale $\mu^2 = t$ is the distribution of paths
 - obtainable by evolving each path with Ο proper branching probabilities



Pictorial representation of ISR

- Parton cascades in p are continuously born and recombined
 - hard scattering inhibits full recombination of the cascade



Pictorial representation of ISR

- Parton cascades in p are continuously born and recombined
 - hard scattering inhibits full recombination of the cascade



Hadronization

Hadronization

- Evolution of parton shower to lower and lower energies brings to non-perturbative regime
 - transition between perturbative regime (quark and gluon showers)
 and non-perturbative regime (hadrons) regulated by "hadronization" process



Fragmentation function

 Similarly to PDFs, can cut the perturbative evolution of the shower and absorb divergent + non-perturbative part into a "fragmentation function" (FF)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx}(e^+e^- \to H + X) = \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dx} \otimes D_{q \to H}(x)$$
fragmentation function
perturbative
cross-section with cut-off

Fragmentation function

- Like for PDFs, distinction between parton shower and hadronization is arbitrary
 - $\circ \Rightarrow$ FF depend on cut-off value \rightarrow factorization scale





https://cds.cern.ch/record/1308131/files/CERN-PH-EP-2010-057.pdf



Hadronization models

- For detailed description of hadronization process particle-by-particle
 - via some empirical models: ideologically motivated models
 - + "cookbook" recipes with free parameters tuned with experimental data



MC simulation

The ideas behind MC generation

- Want to generate events in as much detail as Mother Nature
 - get average and fluctuations right
 - make random choices ~ as in (microscopic) nature
- Factorization is the key:
 - split complex process into pieces and assume independence / factorization:

 $\sigma_{\text{final state}} = \sigma_{\text{hp}} P(\text{hard process} \rightarrow \text{final state})$ with:

 $P(\text{hard process} \rightarrow \text{final state}) = P_{\text{ISR}} P_{\text{FSR}} P_{\text{had}} P_{\text{decay}} \dots$ $P_{\text{FSR}} = P_{i \rightarrow jk}(p_i, p_j, p_k) \cdot P_{k \rightarrow qm}(p_k, p_q, p_m) \cdot \dots$ \dots

Parton shower

- Idea: factorize complex process 2 \rightarrow n into a simple 2 \rightarrow 2 + convolution with "parton shower"
 - approximation: tot cross-section not changed by parton shower

i.e. $\sigma_{hp+0j} + \sigma_{hp+1j} + \sigma_{hp+2j} + \dots = \sigma_{hp}$

- valid if emissions are small-angle / soft !
- Parton-shower (PS) algorithms suitable for MC simulation
 - at present, most PS implementations are LO and use several approximations



	• •
<u>Note</u> :	
decision on what to include in "hp"	
arbitrary (hard gluon emission included or	
left to parton shower?)	

PS evolution

- Remind FSR emission (real): $d\sigma_{n+1} = d\sigma_n \frac{dt}{t} dz \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \hat{P}_{ba}(z)$
- Same equation for any "evolution variable" ρ ∝ θ²:
 t = q² = z(1 z)θ²E² : virtuality of off-shell propagator
 p²_⊥ = z² (1 z)²θ²E² : gluon transverse momentum w.r.t. parent quark





- In line with angular ordering, can consider system evolving to lower and lower ρ, by successive parton branching
 - "parton shower" process

• stopping when
$$\rho \to \rho_{min} \leftrightarrow \Lambda_{QCD} \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$$

Emission probability

- What is the probability of emission / splitting for a final-state parton from a given hard process (*hp*)?
 - emission rate / probability density (?):

$$d\mathcal{P}_{em}(\rho, z) = d\mathcal{S} = d(\sigma_{hp+1j}/d\sigma_{hp}) = \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \hat{P}_{ba}(z)dz$$

• probability of emission in certain ranges of ρ and z:

$$\mathcal{P}_{naive} = \int d\mathcal{P}_{em} = \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} \int_{\rho_{min}}^{\rho_0} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} \hat{P}_{ba}(z) dz \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{constant-coupling} \\ \text{approximation} \end{array}$$
e.g.
(with p_{\perp}^2 evolution) $= \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} \int_{p_{\perp,min}}^{p_{\perp,max}} \frac{dp_{\perp}^2}{p_{\perp}^2} \int_{z_{min}=p_{\perp}/E}^{z_{max}=1-p_{\perp}/E} \hat{P}_{ba}(z) dz$
(with p_{\perp}^2 evolution) (see backup)

.

19

- Probabilistic interpretation problematic:
 - $\circ \mathcal{P}_{naive} > 1$ close to divergence!
- Concept of Sudakov form factor:
 - probability of branching (<u>for the first time</u>) at certain evolution scale ρ
 = to probability of NOT having emitted before
 times probability of branching at ρ

$$d\mathcal{P}_{first}(\rho) = d\mathcal{P}(\rho) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{no-em}(\rho_0, \rho)$$

• Call $\Delta(\rho_1, \rho_2) := \mathcal{P}_{no-em}(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ Sudakov form factor

- Probability of no emission:
 - it's multiplicative:

$$\mathcal{P}_{no-em}(\rho_0, \rho_{max}) = \mathcal{P}_{no-em}(\rho_0, \rho_1) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{no-em}(\rho_1, \rho_{max})$$
$$= \prod_{i=0}^N \mathcal{P}_{no-em}(\rho_i, \rho_{i+1})$$
$$= \prod_{i=0}^N [1 - \mathcal{P}_{em}(\rho_i, \rho_{i+1})]$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \prod_{i=0}^N [1 - d\mathcal{P}_{em}(\rho_i)]$$

i=0

• We have:
$$\mathcal{P}_{no-em}(\rho_0, \rho_{max}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \prod_{i=0}^{N} [1 - d\mathcal{P}_{em}(\rho_i)]$$

take the log: Ο

 \Rightarrow

$$\log \mathcal{P}_{no-em}(\rho_0, \rho_{max}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \log[1 - d\mathcal{P}_{em}(\rho_i)]$$

$$= -\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N} d\mathcal{P}_{em}(\rho_i)$$

$$= -\int_{\rho_{min}}^{\rho_0} \frac{d\mathcal{P}_{em}(\rho)}{d\rho} d\rho$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{no-em}(\rho_0, \rho_{min}) = \exp\left[-\int_{\rho_{min}}^{\rho_0} \frac{d\mathcal{P}_{em}(\rho)}{d\rho} d\rho\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} \int_{\rho_{min}}^{\rho_0} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} \hat{P}_{ba}(z) dz\right]_{22}$$

• Finally we can write:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{first}(\rho) = d\mathcal{P}(\rho) \cdot \Delta(\rho_0, \rho)$$
$$= \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} \hat{P}_{ba}(z) dz \Delta(\rho_0, \rho)$$

• with:

$$\Delta(\rho_0, \rho) = \exp\left[-\int_{\rho}^{\rho_0} \frac{d\mathcal{P}_{em}(\rho')}{d\rho'}d\rho'\right]$$
$$= \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi}\int_{\rho}^{\rho_0} \frac{d\rho'}{\rho'}\int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} \hat{P}_{ba}(z)dz\right]$$

<u>Note</u>:

 a → bc type of splitting fixed in dP_{em}
 not fixed in Sudakov factor: should sum over all possible types of splitting for parton a (need to take probability of not undergoing any splitting)

Properties of Sudakov Form Factor

1. Unitarity - probability of first emission happening somewhere:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\rho_{\min}}^{\rho_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\rho} \int_{z_{\min}}^{z_{\max}} \mathrm{d}z \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}}{2\pi} P(z) \Delta(\rho_0, \rho) &= \int_{\rho_{\min}}^{\rho_0} \mathrm{d}\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\rho} \exp\left(-\int_{\rho}^{\rho_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho'}{\rho'} \int_{z_{\min}}^{z_{\max}} \mathrm{d}z \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}}{2\pi} P(z)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\int_{\rho_0}^{\rho_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\rho} \cdots\right) - \exp\left(-\int_{\rho_{\min}}^{\rho_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\rho} \cdots\right) \\ &\stackrel{\rho_{\min} \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \exp(-0) - \exp(-\infty) = 1 \end{split}$$

Properties of Sudakov Form Factor

2. Sudakov FF is an all-order expression:

$$\Delta(\rho_0, \rho_1) = e^{-\alpha_S F(\rho_0, \rho_1)}$$

$$= 1 - \alpha_S F(\rho_0, \rho_1) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_S^2 F(\rho_0, \rho_1)^2 - \frac{1}{6} \alpha_S^3 F(\rho_0, \rho_1)^3 + \dots$$

 Sudakov contains divergent terms of first order virtual correction, second order virtual correction, . . . all orders!

A (FSR) PS algorithm

- Given these properly defined probabilities, possible to build algorithms to "shower" final-state partons from hard scattering:
 - for each parton, with given initial evolution variable ρ_0 , throw random number to determine at which value ρ_1 it branches for the first time
 - determine z from its probability distribution
 - \circ continue evolving from ρ_1
 - evolve all other partons (also secondary)
 - \circ stop at cut-off value ho_{\min}

A toy shower

#!/usr/bin/env python
an oversimplified (QED-like) parton shower
for Zuoz lectures (2016) by Gavin P. Salam
from random import random
from math import pi, exp, log, sqrt

```
ptHigh = 100.0
ptCut = 1.0
alphas = 0.12
CA=3
```

```
def main():
    for iev in range(0,10):
        print "\nEvent", iev
        event()
```

To run it simply copy-paste the text into a new file "myPS.py" and run from the terminal "python myPS.py"

```
def event():
    # start with maximum possible value of Sudakov
    sudakov = 1
    while (True):
        # scale it by a random number
        sudakov *= random()
        # deduce the corresponding pt
        pt = ptFromSudakov(sudakov)
        # if pt falls below the cutoff, event is finished
        if (pt < ptCut): break
        print " primary emission with pt = ", pt</pre>
```

```
def ptFromSudakov(sudakovValue):
    """Returns the pt value that solves the relation
    Sudakov = sudakovValue (for 0 < sudakovValue < 1)
    """
    norm = (2*CA/pi)
    # r = Sudakov = exp(-alphas * norm * L^2)
    # --> log(r) = -alphas * norm * L^2
    # --> L^2 = log(r)/(-alphas*norm)
    L2 = log(sudakovValue)/(-alphas * norm)
    pt = ptHigh * exp(-sqrt(L2))
    return pt
```

main()



Derivation of z_{min} , z_{max} (slide 19)

• We had this expression:

$$\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} \int_{p_{\perp,min}}^{p_{\perp,max}} \frac{dp_{\perp}^2}{p_{\perp}^2} \int_{z_{min}=p_{\perp}/E}^{z_{max}=1-p_{\perp}/E} \hat{P}_{ba}(z) dz$$



 $p_{\perp} = (1-z)E\cos\theta$

 $z = 1 - p \perp / E \cos\theta$ which is *max* when $\cos\theta = 1$

$$\Rightarrow z_{\text{max}} = 1 - p \perp E$$



$$p'_{\perp} = z E \cos\theta'$$

 $z = p_{\perp}/E \cos\theta'$
which is *min* when $\cos\theta' = 1$

$$\Rightarrow z_{\min} = p_{\perp}/E$$

References

- Gavin Salam's lectures: <u>https://gsalam.web.cern.ch/gsalam/teaching/PhD-courses.html</u>
- LHC Physics lectures at SUSSP65 Summer School
 - https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/edit/10.1201/b11865/lhc-physics-buttar-clark-binoth-glover
 - Perturbative QCD and the parton model Keith Ellis
 - Monte Carlo tools Torbjörn Sjöstrand
- Leif Gellersen Madgraph School 2019:

https://indico.cern.ch/event/829653/contributions/3568527/attachments/1946887/3230236/ps.pdf