

# FOGLIO 3:

i) Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$  be a q.p. variety. Let  $U$  be open and  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$  be an open cover of  $U$ . Prove that  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism iff  $\forall \alpha \in J, \varphi|_{U_\alpha}$  is a morphism.

Proof:

Let  $\varphi: U \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism. Thus  $\forall x \in U, \exists V_x \in \mathcal{N}(x) \ni 1$ .

$\varphi|_{V_x}$  is given by homogeneous poly. of the same degree. Thus  $\forall \alpha \in J, \forall x \in U_\alpha, V_x \cap U_\alpha$  is an open neigh of  $x$  in  $U_\alpha \ni 1$ .  $\varphi|_{V_x \cap U_\alpha}$  is given by polynomials of the same degree  $\Rightarrow \varphi|_{U_\alpha}$  is a morphism  $\forall \alpha \in J$ .

Now assume  $\forall \alpha \in J, \varphi|_{U_\alpha}$  is a morphism. Let  $x \in U$ . By def of cover,  $\exists \alpha \in J \ni 1. x \in U_\alpha \Rightarrow \exists V_x \in \mathcal{N}(x) \cap U_\alpha \ni 1. (\varphi|_{U_\alpha})|_{V_x} = \varphi|_{V_x}$  is given by homogeneous poly. of the same degree. Being  $U_\alpha$  open,  $V_x$  is open in  $U \Rightarrow \varphi$  is locally given by homogeneous poly  $\Rightarrow \varphi$  is a morphism.

ii) Show that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_k^1) = \text{PGL}(k, 2)$  when  $k = \bar{k}$ .

Proof:

Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  be an automorphism.

Obs:  $\varphi$  is locally given by homogeneous polynomials  $\Rightarrow$  fixed  $(x_0: x_1), \exists U \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^1$  open neigh of  $(x_0: x_1) \ni 1. \varphi|_U = (F_2: F_1)$ . I may assume  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are coprime.

Thus  $\forall (x_0: x_1) \in \mathbb{P}_k^1, [F_1(x_0: x_1): F_2(x_0: x_1)] \neq (0:0) \Rightarrow \varphi$  is globally given by  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  homogeneous of the same degree  $d$ .

Now  $\varphi$  isomorphism  $\Rightarrow \exists (\tilde{x}_0: \tilde{x}_1), (\tilde{\tilde{x}}_0: \tilde{\tilde{x}}_1) \in \mathbb{P}_k^1 \mid \varphi(\tilde{x}_0: \tilde{x}_1) = (1:0)$  and  $\varphi(\tilde{\tilde{x}}_0: \tilde{\tilde{x}}_1) = (0:1)$ . Thus  $\tilde{x}_1 x_0 - \tilde{x}_0 x_1 \mid F_2$  and  $\tilde{\tilde{x}}_1 x_0 - \tilde{\tilde{x}}_0 x_1 \mid F_1$ .

Being  $\varphi$  injective if  $\varphi(a_0: a_1) = (0:1), \varphi(b_0: b_1) = (1:0), (a_0: a_1) = (\tilde{\tilde{x}}_0: \tilde{\tilde{x}}_1)$  and  $(b_0: b_1) = (\tilde{x}_0: \tilde{x}_1) \Rightarrow a_1 x_0 - a_0 x_1 \mid F_1 \Rightarrow a_1 = \lambda \tilde{\tilde{x}}_1, a_0 = \lambda \tilde{\tilde{x}}_0$  and  $b_1 x_0 - b_0 x_1 \mid F_2 \Rightarrow b_1 = \lambda' \tilde{x}_1, b_0 = \lambda' \tilde{x}_0$ .

Now being  $k = \bar{k}, F_1, F_2$  homogeneous of deg  $d$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  variables,

$$F_1(x_0, x_1) = \prod_{j=1}^d (\alpha_{j1} x_0 - \alpha_{j2} x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(x_0, x_1) = \prod_{j=1}^d (\beta_{j1} x_0 - \beta_{j2} x_1).$$

By what's seen above,  $F_1(x_0, x_1) = \lambda (\tilde{\tilde{x}}_1 x_0 - \tilde{\tilde{x}}_0 x_1)^d$  and

$$F_2(x_0, x_1) = \mu (\tilde{x}_1 x_0 - \tilde{x}_0 x_1)^d. \quad \text{Up to prefactors, I may assume } \tilde{\tilde{x}}_0 = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{x}}_1 = 1 \Rightarrow F_1(x_0, x_1) = \mu x_0^d, \quad F_2(x_0, x_1) = \nu x_1^d.$$



Thus  $\varphi(x_0 : x_1) = [u x_0^d : v x_1^d]$ . Being  $\varphi$  injective  $u, v \neq 0$ .

So, up to projectives I may assume  $u = v = 1$ . Suppose  $d > 1$ .

Now let  $(a_0 : b_1) \in \varphi^{-1}(1 : 1)$ . Choose  $\omega$  a  $d$ -th root of unity different from 1,  $\varphi(\omega a_0 : b_1) = [\omega a_0^d : b_1^d] = (1 : 1)$ , but  $(\omega a_0 : b_1) \neq (a_0 : b_1)$ , contradicting  $\varphi$  injective. ■

(ii) Let  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism between affine varieties  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^n$ ,  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^m$

Consider  $\varphi^*: \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$  the pull-back of  $\varphi$ . Prove that  $\ker \varphi^* = \mathbb{I}(\varphi(X)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$

Proof:

$$\ker \varphi^* := \{f \in \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) \mid f \circ \varphi = 0\} = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) \mid \forall x \in X, f(\varphi(x)) = 0\} \\ = \mathbb{I}(\varphi(X)) \quad \square$$

(iv) Prove that  $\pi: \mathbb{A}_k^{m+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^m \mid (a_0, \dots, a_m) \mapsto (a_0 : \dots : a_m) \circlearrowright a$



irreducible and with non-empty intersection. Then  $UVV$  is irreducible.

Proof:

Suppose  $UVV$  were to reduce then  $\exists C_1, C_2$  closed in  $UVV + \tau$ .

$UVV = C_1 \cup C_2$  and  $C_1, C_2$  proper subsets.

On. Suppose  $U \not\subseteq C_1$  then  $U \subseteq C_2$ . Otherwise, either  $U \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$  and the  $(U \cap C_1) \cup (U \cap C_2)$  would make  $U$  reducible, or  $U \cap C_1 = \emptyset \Rightarrow (UVV) \cap U = U \cap (C_1 \cup C_2) = U \cap C_2 \neq U$ . Contradiction  $\Rightarrow U \subseteq C_2$ .

Now then for the same reason,  $V \subseteq C_1$ , otherwise,  $V \subseteq C_2 \Rightarrow UVV \subseteq C_2$  proper subset of  $UVV$ . Contradiction  $\Rightarrow U \subseteq C_2, V \subseteq C_1$ .

Now  $\exists x \in U, y \in V \mid x \in U \cap V, y \in V \cap U$ , otherwise either  $UVV = V$  or  $UVV = U \Rightarrow UVV$  irreducible. Contradiction.

I can also assume that either  $U$  or  $V$  is contained in only one of  $C_1$  or  $C_2$ , otherwise  $UVV \subseteq (C_1 \cap C_2) \cup (C_1 \cap C_2) = C_1 \cap C_2 \subseteq C_1$ . Contradiction.

Suppose  $V \not\subseteq C_2$ . Then we have:

$$V \supseteq (V \cap C_2) \cup (V \cap C_1) \supseteq (V \cap C_2) \cup (V \cap C_2) = V$$

$\Rightarrow V \cap C_2$  and  $V \cap C_1$  are two closed proper ( $y \in V \cap C_1$ ) subset of

$V \supseteq 1$ . Their union is  $V \Rightarrow V$  reducible. Contradiction.  $\square$

Now suppose  $X$  were to be reducible  $\Rightarrow \exists C_1, C_2 \subseteq X$  proper closed subsets  $\supseteq 1$ .  $X = C_1 \cup C_2$ .

I know that can suppose that each  $U_\alpha \not\subseteq X$ . Otherwise,  $X$  would be irreducible.

$\Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in J, \exists x_\alpha \in X \setminus U_\alpha$ .

Now  $\forall \alpha \in J, U_\alpha \subseteq C_1 \vee U_\alpha \subseteq C_2$ . In fact if  $U_\alpha \not\subseteq C_1 \wedge U_\alpha \not\subseteq C_2$ ,

$U_\alpha \cap C_1, U_\alpha \cap C_2$  would be the two closed subset of  $U_\alpha$  that would make it reducible. Now for the same reasons seen in the lemma,  $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in J \supseteq 1$ .

$U_{\alpha_1} \subseteq C_1, U_{\alpha_2} \subseteq C_2$  and  $U_{\alpha_1} \cap U_{\alpha_2} \neq U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}$ .

Otherwise each of them would be contained in either  $C_1$  or  $C_2$ .

Now  $C_1 \cap (U_{\alpha_1} \cup U_{\alpha_2}), C_2 \cap (U_{\alpha_1} \cup U_{\alpha_2})$  are two closed subsets proper subsets of  $U_{\alpha_1} \cup U_{\alpha_2}$  whose union is  $U_{\alpha_1} \cup U_{\alpha_2}$ . Contradicting the lemma.  $\square$



$\Rightarrow C = V(I), D = V(J)$  are irreducible.

$$C \cap D = V(x^2 + y^2 - \frac{1}{4}, x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = \frac{1}{4} \wedge z = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = \frac{1}{4}, z = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = \frac{1}{4}, z = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\}.$$

which is obviously reducible being the union of two disjoint closed

subsets:  $V(x^2 + y^2 - \frac{1}{4}, z - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$  and  $V(x^2 + y^2 - \frac{1}{4}, z + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ . //

(x) Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in J\}$  be an open covering  
s.t.  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset \forall \alpha, \beta \in J$  and s.t.  $U_\alpha$  is irreducible  $\forall \alpha \in J$ .

• Prove that  $X$  is irreducible.

Proof:

Lemma: Let  $U, V$  be open subsets of a topological space  $X$ . If  $U$  and  $V$  are



0<sub>2</sub>:  $I(x) = (x-1, y) \neq \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$  is not reduced.  $\square$

v) Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ . Let  $\varphi: A^m \rightarrow A^m \mid x \mapsto (f_i(x))_{i=1}^m$ .

Show that

$$I_\varphi := \{(x, y) \mid y = \varphi(x)\} \text{ is closed.}$$

Let  $X_i := V(x_{m+i} - f_i(x_1, \dots, x_m))$  and  $X := \bigcap_{i=1}^m X_i$ .

0<sub>2</sub>:  $x \in (x, y) \in X \Leftrightarrow \forall i=1, \dots, m, y_i = f_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) \Leftrightarrow y = \varphi(x)$ .

$\Rightarrow X = I_\varphi$ . //

v<sub>1</sub>) Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map between topological spaces.

Prove that if  $X$  is irreducible, then  $f(X)$  is irreducible.



$f(p) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$  Choose  $f = \frac{g}{g(p)}$ ,  $f(p) = 1$  and  $f|_X \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

iii)  $K[x_1, \dots, x_m] \subseteq C^0(A_K^m, A_K^1)$ .

Proof:

Let  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  and let  $p \in A_K^1$ .  $f^{-1}(p) = \{x \in A_K^m \mid f(x) = p\}$   
 $= V(f - p)$  which is closed.  $\square$

iv) Let  $\alpha := (x^2 + y^2 - 1, x - 1)$ . Determine  $X = V(\alpha)$  and  $I(X)$ .

$\hookrightarrow \alpha$  radical?

$$X = \{(x, y, z) \in A_K^{2+m} \mid x=1 \wedge x^2 + y^2 = 1\} = \{(x, y, z) \in A_K^{2+m} \mid x=1, y=0\}$$