**Lemma 1.** Let  $\rho \in [0,1[$ . Let  $A \subseteq [a, b[$  such that for all  $]\alpha, \beta[\subseteq ]a, b[$ ,

$$\lambda^*(A \cap ]\alpha, \beta[) \le \rho(\beta - \alpha).$$

Then  $\lambda^*(A) = 0$ . Consequently A is measurable and  $\lambda(A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We recall that

$$\lambda^*(A) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\beta_n - \alpha_n) : A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} ]\alpha_n, \beta_n[\}.$$

Since  $A \subseteq [a, b[$ , in the previous definition it is not restrictive to suppose that, for all n,  $]\alpha_n, \beta_n[\subseteq ]a, b[$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From the definition of outer measure we have that there exists a sequence  $(]\alpha_n, \beta_n[)_n$  of subintervals of ]a, b[, such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} ]\alpha_n, \, \beta_n[$$
 and  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\beta_n - \alpha_n) < \lambda^*(A) + \varepsilon.$ 

Recalling now that the outer measure is countably subadditive, we have

$$\lambda^*(A) \le \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^*(A \cap ]\alpha_n, \, \beta_n[\,) \le \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \rho(\beta_n - \alpha_n) \le \rho(\lambda^*(A) + \varepsilon).$$

Since this is true for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we deduce that

$$\lambda^*(A) \le \rho \lambda^*(A)$$

and the conclusion follows.

-		