

SUBJECT: Outcomes of the Special Exam of "Advanced Accounting"

The grades assigned are as follows:

u

Student ID	First Part	Second Part	Outcome
EC0101755	6	7	18/30
EC0101775	8	5	18/30
EC0101902	2	7	FAILED
EC0102114	2	7	FAILED
EC0102115	6	5	FAILED
EC0102245	6	6	18/30
EC0102313	5	2	FAILED
EC0102360	4	4	FAILED
EC0102376	8	5	18/30
EC0102404	5	5	FAILED
EC0102411	6	6	18/30
EC0102414	4	6	FAILED
EC0102439	5	4	FAILED
EC0102465	5	4	FAILED
EC0102480	8	4	FAILED
EC0102483	7	6	18/30
EC0102513	7	5	18/30
EC0102529	6	2	FAILED
EC0102530	6	5	FAILED
EC0102611	8	6	19/30
EC0102767	8	6	19/30
EC0102770	3	5	FAILED
EC1200413	5	5	FAILED
EC1200453	3	5	FAILED
EC1200651	8	7	19/30
EC1200716	8	5	18/30
EC1200872	6	5	FAILED
EC1200893	7	5	18/30

Please note: As you can see, I decided to 'relax' the criteria for awarding the grade initially established by granting 18/30 to those who answered 12 or 13 questions out of twenty, while I gave a grade of 19/30 to those who answered 14 or 15 questions out of twenty.

If I had maintained the initial criteria, only 3 people would have passed the exam. In the near future I will post the twenty proposed questions on the "Virtual Notice Board" so that it is possible for everyone to understand the level of difficulty that needed to be addressed. People

who passed the exam with 18/30 should know that in assigning such a mark I simply acknowledge the futility of asking them to demonstrate even a remotely serious level of preparation. I consider it a defeat of my idea of a university.

For those who failed the exam - especially if this was the umpteenth test they were 'attempting' - let me suggest one thing: perhaps it is time to stop complaining about an alleged complexity of the exam (which does not exist) and that they start studying this subject seriously (from scratch).

Bruno De Rosa