Metropolis method in the canonical ensemble and simulated annealing

a general purpose global optimization algorithm (Kirkpatrick S, Gelatt CD Jr, Vecchi MP Science 220(4598), 671-80, 1983)



Artificial intelligence...in 1983!

13 May 1983, Volume 220, Number 4598

Optimization by Simulated Annealing

S. Kirkpatrick, C. D. Gelatt, Jr., M. P. Vecchi



SCIENCE

[...]

Simulation of the process of arriving at an optimal design by annealing under control of a schedule is an example of an evolutionary process modeled accurately by purely stochastic means. In fact, it may be a better model of selection processes in nature than is iterative improvement. Also, it provides an intriguing instance of "artificial intelligence," in which the computer has arrived almost uninstructed at a solution that might have been thought to require the intervention of human intelligence.

Metropolis and simulated annealing - I

- The concept is based on the manner in which liquids freeze or "cost function" is treated as the energy.

Stochastic search for global minimum. Monte Carlo optimization.

metals recrystallize. Sufficiently high starting temperature and slow cooling are important to avoid freezing out in metastable states. A





Metropolis and simulated annealing - II

usual Metropolis procedure in the canonical ensemble

• Thermodynamic system at temperature T, energy E. Perturb configuration (generate a new one). •Compute change in energy dE. If dE is negative the new configuration is accepted. If dE is positive it is accepted with a probability given by the Boltzmann factor: exp(-dE/kT).

•The process is repeated many times for good sampling of configuration space.

state is achieved.

•then the temperature is slightly lowered and the entire procedure repeated, and so on, until a frozen



Metropolis and simulated annealing - II

usual Metropolis procedure in the canonical ensemble

• Thermodynamic system at temperature T, energy E. a move Perturb configuration (generate a new one).
 Compute change in energy dE. If dE is negative the generation strategy new configuration is accepted. If dE is positive it is accepted with a probability given by the Boltzmann factor: exp(-dE/kT).

 The process is repeated many times for good sampling a freezing of configuration space. schedule •then the temperature is slightly lowered and the entire procedure repeated, and so on, until a frozen state is achieved. a stopping

necessary:



criterion



in **simulated_annealing.f90:** minimization of f(x)=(x+0.2)*x+cos(14.5*x-0.3)considered as an energy function and using a fictitious temperature



Example

Rastrigin function:

- typical example of non-linear multimodal *function*;



$$f(\mathbf{x}) = nA + \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_i^2 - A\cos(2\pi)]$$

• non-convex function used as a performance test problem for optimization algorithm • first proposed by *Rastrigin* as a 2-dimensional *function*; later generalized by Rudolph.

 $\pi x_i)]$

Function to be minimized: f(x); Starting point: x, fx=f(x)initial (high) temperature: temp Annealing schedule: annealing temperature reduction factor: tfactor (<1) number of steps per block: nsteps 'ad hoc' parameter for trial move: scale **DO WHILE** (temp > 1E-5) ! anneal cycle **DO** istep = 1, nsteps CALL RANDOM NUMBER(rand) ! generate 2 random numbers; dimension(2) :: rand x new = x + scale*SQRT(temp)*(rand(1) - 0.5) ! stochastic move fx new = func(x new) ! new object function value IF (EXP(-(fx new - fx)/temp) > rand(2)) THEN ! success, save fx = fx new $x = x_{new}$ END IF IF (fx < fx min) THEN fx min = fxx min = xPRINT '(3ES13.5)', temp, x min, fx min END IF END DO

temp = temp * tfactor ! decrease temperature END DO



final T: 2.50315E-01
final x: -1.95067E-01
final f(x):-1.00088E+00



initial T: 10 (K_B units)
initial x: 1.000000
initial f(x): 1.137208