#### Announcements

- HW
- Project

# 272SM: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Multi-agent decision making



Instructor: Tatjana Petrov

University of Trieste, Italy

[These slides were created by Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel for CS188 Intro to AI at UC Berkeley. All CS188 materials are available at http://ai.berkeley.edu.]

#### Non-Deterministic Search



# Example: Grid World

- A maze-like problem
  - The agent lives in a grid
  - Walls block the agent's path
- Noisy movement: actions do not always go as planned
  - 80% of the time, the action North takes the agent North (if there is no wall there)
  - 10% of the time, North takes the agent West; 10% East
  - If there is a wall in the direction the agent would have been taken, the agent stays put
- The agent receives rewards each time step
  - Small "living" reward each step (can be negative)
  - Big rewards come at the end (good or bad)
- Goal: maximize sum of rewards



## **Grid World Actions**

#### Deterministic Grid World



#### Stochastic Grid World



## Markov Decision Processes

- An MDP is defined by:
  - A set of states s ∈ S
  - A set of actions  $a \in A$
  - A transition function T(s, a, s')
    - Probability that a from s leads to s', i.e., P(s' | s, a)
    - Also called the model or the dynamics
  - A reward function R(s, a, s')
    - Sometimes just R(s) or R(s')
  - A start state
  - Maybe a terminal state

#### MDPs are non-deterministic search problems

- One way to solve them is with expectimax search
- We'll have a new tool soon



# What is Markov about MDPs?

- "Markov" generally means that given the present state, the future and the past are independent
- For Markov decision processes, "Markov" means action outcomes depend only on the current state

$$P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t, S_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, \dots, S_0 = s_0)$$

$$P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t)$$

\_

 This is just like search, where the successor function could only depend on the current state (not the history)



Andrey Markov (1856-1922)

# Policies

- In deterministic single-agent search problems, we wanted an optimal plan, or sequence of actions, from start to a goal
- For MDPs, we want an optimal policy  $\pi^*: S \rightarrow A$ 
  - A policy π gives an action for each state
  - An optimal policy is one that maximizes expected utility if followed
  - An explicit policy defines a reflex agent
- Expectimax didn't compute entire policies
  - It computed the action for a single state only



Optimal policy when R(s, a, s') = -0.03 for all non-terminals s

## **Optimal Policies**



R(s) = -0.01







R(s) = -0.03



## Example: Racing



# Example: Racing

- A robot car wants to travel far, quickly
- Three states: Cool, Warm, Overheated
- Two actions: Slow, Fast



# Example: Racing

S	а	s'	T(s,a,s')	R(s,a,s')	
	Slow		1.0	+1	
	Fast		0.5	+2	
	Fast		0.5	+2	
	Slow		0.5	+1	0.5 +1
	Slow		0.5	+1	+1 Slow
	Fast		1.0	-10	Slow Warm
	(end)		1.0	0	Fast 0.5 +2 1.0 1.0 1.0



## **MDP Search Trees**



## **Utilities of Sequences**



## **Utilities of Sequences**

- What preferences should an agent have over reward sequences?
- More or less? [1, 2, 2] or [2, 3, 4]
- Now or later? [0, 0, 1] or [1, 0, 0]



# Discounting

- It's reasonable to maximize the sum of rewards
- It's also reasonable to prefer rewards now to rewards later
- One solution: values of rewards decay exponentially



# Discounting

- How to discount?
  - Each time we descend a level, we multiply in the discount once
- Why discount?
  - Sooner rewards probably do have higher utility than later rewards
  - Also helps our algorithms converge
- Example: discount of 0.5
  - U([1,2,3]) = 1\*1 + 0.5\*2 + 0.25\*3
  - U([1,2,3]) < U([3,2,1])</p>



## Stationary Preferences\*

Theorem: if we assume stationary preferences:

$$[a_1, a_2, \ldots] \succ [b_1, b_2, \ldots]$$

$$(r, a_1, a_2, \ldots] \succ [r, b_1, b_2, \ldots]$$



- Then: there are only two ways to define utilities
  - Additive utility:  $U([r_0, r_1, r_2, ...]) = r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + \cdots$
  - Discounted utility:  $U([r_0, r_1, r_2, \ldots]) = r_0 + \gamma r_1 + \gamma^2 r_2 \cdots$

# Quiz: Discounting





- Actions: East, West, and Exit (only available in exit states a, e)
- Transitions: deterministic
- Quiz 1: For  $\gamma = 1$ , what is the optimal policy?



• Quiz 2: For  $\gamma$  = 0.1, what is the optimal policy?



Quiz 3: For which γ are West and East equally good when in state d?

# Infinite Utilities?!

- Problem: What if the game lasts forever? Do we get infinite rewards?
- Solutions:
  - Finite horizon: (similar to depth-limited search)
    - Terminate episodes after a fixed T steps (e.g. life)
    - Gives nonstationary policies (π depends on time left)
  - Discounting: use  $0 < \gamma < 1$

$$U([r_0, \dots r_\infty]) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \le R_{\max}/(1-\gamma)$$

- Smaller γ means smaller "horizon" shorter term focus
- Absorbing state: guarantee that for every policy, a terminal state will eventually be reached (like "overheated" for racing)



# **Recap: Defining MDPs**

- Markov decision processes:
  - Set of states S
  - Start state s<sub>0</sub>
  - Set of actions A
  - Transitions P(s'|s,a) (or T(s,a,s'))
  - Rewards R(s,a,s') (and discount γ)
- MDP quantities so far:
  - Policy = Choice of action for each state
  - Utility = sum of (discounted) rewards



# Solving MDPs



## **Optimal Quantities**

- The value (utility) of a state s:
   V\*(s) = expected utility starting in s and acting optimally
- The value (utility) of a q-state (s,a):
  - Q<sup>\*</sup>(s,a) = expected utility starting out having taken action a from state s and (thereafter) acting optimally
- The optimal policy:
   π<sup>\*</sup>(s) = optimal action from state s



## Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld V Values

0.0	0	Gridworl	d Display		
	•	•	•		
	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	<b>^</b>		<b>^</b>		
	1.00		1.00	-1.00	
	<b>^</b>	•	<b>^</b>		
	1.00	1.00	1.00	∢ 1.00	
	VALUES AFTER 100 ITERATIONS				

## Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld Q Values



## Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld V Values

Gridworld Display					
	1.00 )	1.00 >	1.00 >	1.00	
	• 1.00		∢ 1.00	-1.00	
	• 1.00	∢ 1.00	∢ 1.00	1.00	
	VALUES AFTER 100 ITERATIONS				

## Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld Q Values



## Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld V Values

0 0	Gridworld Display				
	0.64 →	0.74 →	0.85 )	1.00	
	• 0.57		• 0.57	-1.00	
	▲ 0.49	∢ 0.43	▲ 0.48	∢ 0.28	
	VALUES AFTER 100 ITERATIONS				

## Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld Q Values



## Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld V Values

0 0	OOO Gridworld Display				
	0.31 →	0.51 →	0.72 →	1.00	
	<b>^</b>		<b>^</b>		
	0.15		0.36	-1.00	
	<b>^</b>		<b>^</b>		
	0.01	0.01 →	0.15	<b>∢</b> -0.09	
	VALUES AFTER 100 ITERATIONS				

## Snapshot of Demo – Gridworld Q Values



## Values of States

- Fundamental operation: compute the (expectimax) value of a state
  - Expected utility under optimal action
  - Average sum of (discounted) rewards
  - This is just what expectimax computed!
- Recursive definition of value:

 $V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s,a)$ 



## Values of States

- Fundamental operation: compute the (expectimax) value of a state
  - Expected utility under optimal action
  - Average sum of (discounted) rewards
  - This is just what expectimax computed!
- Recursive definition of value:

$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{a} Q^{*}(s, a)$$
$$Q^{*}(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[ R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{*}(s') \right]$$
$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[ R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{*}(s') \right]$$







- We're doing way too much work with expectimax!
- Problem: States are repeated
  - Idea: Only compute needed quantities once
- Problem: Tree goes on forever
  - Idea: Do a depth-limited computation, but with increasing depths until change is small
  - Note: deep parts of the tree eventually don't matter if γ < 1</li>



#### **Computing Time-Limited Values**



## **Time-Limited Values**

- Key idea: time-limited values
- Define V<sub>k</sub>(s) to be the optimal value of s if the game ends in k more time steps
  - Equivalently, it's what a depth-k expectimax would give from s





0	O O Gridworld Display				
		<b>^</b>			
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	0.00		0.00	0.00	
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	VALUE	S AFTER	U ITERA	LIONS	

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00	Gridworl	d Display	-
•       •       •       •       -1.00         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •	•	•	0.00 >	1.00
•         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •	•		∢ 0.00	-1.00
	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	0.00

0	0	Gridworl	d Display		
	•	0.00 →	0.72 →	1.00	
	<b>^</b>		<b>^</b>		
	0.00		0.00	-1.00	
	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>		
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
				-	
	VALUES AFTER 2 ITERATIONS				

k=3

0	O O Gridworld Display				
	0.00 >	0.52 ▸	0.78 )	1.00	
	• 0.00		• 0.43	-1.00	
	• 0.00	• 0.00	• 0.00	0.00	
	VALUES AFTER 3 ITERATIONS				

k=4

0.0	Gridworl	d Display		
0.37 ▶	0.66 )	0.83 )	1.00	
•		• 0.51	-1.00	
•	0.00 →	• 0.31	∢ 0.00	
VALUES AFTER 4 ITERATIONS				

O O O Gridworld Display					
	0.51 )	0.72 )	0.84 )	1.00	
	• 0.27		• 0.55	-1.00	
	•	0.22 )	• 0.37	∢ 0.13	
	VALUES AFTER 5 ITERATIONS				

0.0	Gridworld Display				
	0.59 →	0.73 →	0.85 →	1.00	
	• 0.41		• 0.57	-1.00	
	• 0.21	0.31 →	• 0.43	∢ 0.19	
	VALUES AFTER 6 ITERATIONS				

O O Gridworld Display				
0.62 )	0.74 ▸	0.85 )	1.00	
• 0.50		• 0.57	-1.00	
• 0.34	0.36 )	▲ 0.45	∢ 0.24	
VALUES AFTER 7 ITERATIONS				

O O Gridworld Display				
0.63 )	0.74 →	0.85 )	1.00	
• 0.53		• 0.57	-1.00	
• 0.42	0.39 )	• 0.46	∢ 0.26	
VALUES AFTER 8 ITERATIONS				

0 0	O O Gridworld Display				
	0.64 )	0.74 →	0.85 →	1.00	
	• 0.55		• 0.57	-1.00	
	• 0.46	0.40 →	▲ 0.47	∢ 0.27	
VALUES AFTER 9 ITERATIONS					

Gridworld Display					
0.64 )	0.74 →	0.85 →	1.00		
▲ 0.56		• 0.57	-1.00		
▲ 0.48	∢ 0.41	• 0.47	∢ 0.27		
VALUES AFTER 10 ITERATIONS					

0 0	O O Gridworld Display					
	0.64 )	0.74 →	0.85 )	1.00		
	<b>^</b>		<b>^</b>			
	0.56		0.57	-1.00		
	<b>^</b>		<b>^</b>			
	0.48	∢ 0.42	0.47	◀ 0.27		
VALUES AFTER 11 ITERATIONS						

0 0	O O Gridworld Display				
	0.64 ♪	0.74 ▸	0.85 →	1.00	
	•		<b>^</b>		
	0.57		0.57	-1.00	
	▲ 0.49	∢ 0.42	• 0.47	∢ 0.28	
	VALUES AFTER 12 ITERATIONS				

O Gridworld Display				
0.64 )	0.74 )	0.85 )	1.00	
• 0.57		• 0.57	-1.00	
• 0.49	∢ 0.43	▲ 0.48	∢ 0.28	
VALUES AFTER 100 ITERATIONS				

#### Value Iteration



## Value Iteration

- Start with V<sub>0</sub>(s) = 0: no time steps left means an expected reward sum of zero
- Given vector of V<sub>k</sub>(s) values, do one ply of expectimax from each state:



## Value Iteration

- Start with V<sub>0</sub>(s) = 0: no time steps left means an expected reward sum of zero
- Given vector of V<sub>k</sub>(s) values, do one ply of expectimax from each state:

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[ R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right]$$

- Repeat until convergence
- Complexity of each iteration: O(S<sup>2</sup>A)
- Theorem: will converge to unique optimal values
  - Basic idea: approximations get refined towards optimal values
  - Policy may converge long before values do



#### Example: Value Iteration

S	а	s'	T(s,a,s')	R(s,a,s')
	Slow		1.0	+1
	Fast		0.5	+2
	Fast		0.5	+2
	Slow		0.5	+1
	Slow		0.5	+1
	Fast		1.0	-10
	(end)		1.0	0

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[ R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right]$$
  
Assume no discount!

#### **Example: Value Iteration**



# Convergence\*

- How do we know the V<sub>k</sub> vectors are going to converge?
- Case 1: If the tree has maximum depth M, then V<sub>M</sub> holds the actual untruncated values
- Case 2: If the discount is less than 1
  - Sketch: For any state V<sub>k</sub> and V<sub>k+1</sub> can be viewed as depth k+1 expectimax results in nearly identical search trees
  - The difference is that on the bottom layer, V<sub>k+1</sub> has actual rewards while V<sub>k</sub> has zeros
  - That last layer is at best all R<sub>MAX</sub>
  - It is at worst R<sub>MIN</sub>
  - But everything is discounted by γ<sup>k</sup> that far out
  - So  $V_k$  and  $V_{k+1}$  are at most  $\gamma^k \max |R|$  different
  - So as k increases, the values converge



#### Next Time: Policy-Based Methods