## Quantum Computing 5 – Simple quantum algorithms

Angelo Bassi

## Algorithms with oracle

Suppose we are supplied with a quantum **oracle** – a black box whose internal workings are not important at this stage – with the ability to recognize solutions to a given problem by computing a suitable function f. More precisely, the oracle is a unitary operator,  $U_{\rm f}$ , defined by its action on the computational basis:

$$U_f$$
 :  $|x,y\rangle \mapsto |x,y \oplus f(x)\rangle$ 

where  $\bigoplus$  is addition mod 2.

Suppose  $U_f$  acts on the input which is a **superposition of many states**. Since  $U_f$  is a linear operator, it acts simultaneously on all the vectors that constitute the superposition. Thus the output is also a superposition of all the results

$$U_f: \sum_x |x\rangle |0\rangle \mapsto \sum_x |x\rangle |f(x)\rangle.$$

All values of the function computed at once. Very easy!! But... measurements will make the wave function collapse giving only one output. No advantage.

The goal of a quantum algorithm is to operate in such a way that the particular outcome we want to observe has a larger probability to be measured than the other outcomes.

### Deutsch Algorithm

Let  $f:\{0,1\}\to\{0,1\}$  be a binary function. Note that there are only four possible f, namely

$$\begin{array}{c} f_1: 0 \mapsto 0, \ 1 \mapsto 0, \\ f_3: 0 \mapsto 0, \ 1 \mapsto 1, \end{array} \begin{array}{c} f_2: 0 \mapsto 1, \ 1 \mapsto 1, \\ f_4: 0 \mapsto 1, \ 1 \mapsto 0. \end{array}$$

The first two cases,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , are called <u>constant</u>, while the rest,  $f_3$  and  $f_4$ , are <u>balanced</u>. If we only have classical resources, we need to evaluate f twice to tell if f is constant or balanced. There is a quantum algorithm, however, with which it is possible to tell if f is constant or balanced with a single evaluation of f, as was shown by Deutsch [2].

First we need to turn the classical function f(x) into a quantum one. To this purpose we define the quantum oracle

$$U_f$$
 :  $|x,y\rangle \mapsto |x,y \oplus f(x)\rangle$ 

The algorithm is structured as follows.

- 1. Start with the state  $|01\rangle$ .
- 2. Apply an Hadamard on both qubits:  $\frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle |01\rangle + |10\rangle |11\rangle)$
- 3. Apply the operator U<sub>f</sub> implementing the function

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}(|0, f(0)\rangle - |0, 1 \oplus f(0)\rangle + |1, f(1)\rangle - |1, 1 \oplus f(1)\rangle)}{\frac{1}{2}(|0, f(0)\rangle - |0, \neg f(0)\rangle + |1, f(1)\rangle - |1, \neg f(1)\rangle)},$$

#### Quantum parallelism: all values computed at once

## Deutsch Algorithm

4. Apply an Hadamard to the first qubit

 $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left[(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)(|f(0)\rangle - |\neg f(0)\rangle) + (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)(|f(1)\rangle - |\neg f(1)\rangle)\right]$ 

If the function is constant, the two blue terms are equal, the state reduces to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle(|f(0)\rangle-|\neg f(0)\rangle)$$

If the function is balanced, the two blue terms are opposite, the state reduces to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle(|f(0)\rangle - |\neg f(0)\rangle)$$

Therefore the measurement of the first qubit tells us whether f is constant or balanced.

5. Measure the first qubit to determine f. The full algorithm is:



#### Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Let us first define the **Deutsch-Jozsa problem**. Suppose there is a binary function

$$f: S_n \equiv \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\} \to \{0, 1\}.$$

We require that f be either constant or balanced as before. When f is constant, it takes a constant value 0 or 1 irrespetive of the input value x. When it is balanaced the value f(x) for the half of  $x \in S_n$  is 0, while it is 1 for the rest of x. In other words,  $|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)| = 2^{n-1}$ , where |A| denotes the number

It is clear that we need at least  $2^{n-1} + 1$  steps, in the worst case with classical manipulations, to make sure if f(x) is constant or balanced with 100% confidence. It will be shown below that the number of steps reduces to a single step if we are allowed to use a quantum algorithm.

The oracle for the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm is always the same

$$U_f : |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, y \oplus f(x)\rangle$$

- 1. Prepare an (n + 1)-qubit register in the state  $|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle^{\otimes n} \otimes |1\rangle$ . First n qubits work as input qubits, while the (n + 1)st qubit serves as a "scratch pad." Such qubits, which are neither input qubits nor output qubits, but work as a scratch pad to store temporary information are called **ancillas** or **ancillary qubits**.
- 2. Apply the Walsh-Hadamard transforamtion to the register. Then we have the state

$$U_{\mathrm{H}}^{\otimes n+1} |\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)^{\otimes n} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle).$$

3. Apply the oracle. The state changes into

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|f(x)\rangle - |\neg f(x)\rangle)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle).$$

Although the gate  $U_f$  is applied once for all, it is applied to all the *n*-qubit states  $|x\rangle$  simultaneously.

#### Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

4. The Walsh-Hadamard transformation qubits next. We obtain

is applied on the first  $\boldsymbol{n}$ 

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n - 1} (-1)^{f(x)} U_{\mathrm{H}}^{\otimes n} |x\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle$$

#### On the Hadamard gate

It is instructive to write the action of the one-qubit Hadamard gate in the following form,

$$U_{\rm H}|x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + (-1)^x|1\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{y\in\{0,1\}}(-1)^{xy}|y\rangle,$$

where  $x \in \{0, 1\}$ , to find the resulting state. The action of the Walsh-Hadamard transformation on  $|x\rangle = |x_{n-1} \dots x_1 x_0\rangle$  yields

$$\begin{split} W_n |x\rangle &= (U_H |x_{n-1}\rangle) (U_H |x_{n-2}\rangle) \dots (U_H |x_0\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, \dots, y_0 \in \{0, 1\}} (-1)^{x_{n-1}y_{n-1} + x_{n-2}y_{n-2} + \dots + x_0y_0} \\ &\times |y_{n-1}y_{n-2} \dots y_0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y=0}^{2^n - 1} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle, \end{split}$$
  
here  $x \cdot y = x_{n-1}y_{n-1} \oplus x_{n-2}y_{n-2} \oplus \dots \oplus x_0y_0.$ 

We then have

W

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \sum_{x,y=0}^{2^n - 1} (-1)^{f(x)} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle).$$

#### Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

5. The first n qubits are measured. Suppose f(x) is constant. Then

$$\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{x,y}(-1)^{x\cdot y}|y\rangle\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle-|1\rangle)$$

up to an overall phase. Now let us consider the summation

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n - 1} (-1)^{x \cdot y}$$

with a fixed  $y \in S_n$ . Clearly it vanishes since  $x \cdot y$  is 0 for half of x and 1 for the other half of x unless y = 0. Therefore the summation yields  $\delta_{y0}$ . Now the state reduces to

$$|0\rangle^{\otimes n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

and the measurement outcome of the first n qubits is always 00...0.

Suppose f(x) is balanced next. The probability amplitude of  $|y = 0\rangle$  in  $|\psi_3\rangle$  is proportional to

$$\sum_{x=0}^{2^{n}-1} (-1)^{f(x)} (-1)^{x \cdot 0} = \sum_{x=0}^{2^{n}-1} (-1)^{f(x)} = 0.$$

Therefore the probability of obtaining measurement outcome 00...0 for the first *n* qubits vanishes. In conclusion, the function *f* is constant if we obtain 00...0 upon the measurement of the first *n* qubits in the state  $|\psi_3\rangle$ , and it is balanced otherwise.



| Example with 3 qubits.       |                  |  |  |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Take y = 110. Then           |                  |  |  |
| $x \cdot y = x_2 \oplus x_1$ |                  |  |  |
| x                            | $x_2 \oplus x_1$ |  |  |
| 000                          | 0                |  |  |
| 001                          | 0                |  |  |
| 010                          | 1                |  |  |
| 011                          | 1                |  |  |
| 100                          | 1                |  |  |
| 101                          | 1                |  |  |
| 110                          | 0                |  |  |
| 111                          | 0                |  |  |
|                              |                  |  |  |
|                              |                  |  |  |

#### Bernstein-Vazirani Algorithm

The **Bernstein-Vazirani algorithm** is a special case of the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm, in which f(x) is given by  $f(x) = c \cdot x$ , where  $c = c_{n-1}c_{n-2} \dots c_0$  is an *n*-bit binary number [4]. Our aim is to find *c* with the smallest number of evaluations of *f*. If we apply the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm with this *f*, we obtain

$$|\psi_{3}\rangle = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \left[ \sum_{x,y=0}^{2^{n}-1} (-1)^{c \cdot x} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle).$$

Let us fix y first. If we take y = c, we obtain

$$\sum_{x} (-1)^{c \cdot x} (-1)^{x \cdot c} = \sum_{x} (-1)^{2c \cdot x} = 2^{n}.$$

If  $y \neq c$ , on the other hand, there will be the same number of x such that  $c \cdot x = 0$  and x such that  $c \cdot x = 1$  in the summation over x and, as a result, the probability amplitude of  $|y \neq c\rangle$  vanishes. By using these results, we end up with

$$|\psi_3\rangle = |c\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle).$$

We are able to tell what c is by measuring the first n qubits.

#### Exercise

**EXERCISE 5.1** Let us take n = 2 for definiteness. Consider the following cases and find the final wave function  $|\psi_3\rangle$  and evaluate the measurement outcomes and their probabilities for each case.

(1)  $f(x) = 1 \ \forall x \in S_2.$ 

(2) f(00) = f(01) = 1, f(10) = f(11) = 0.

(3) f(00) = 0, f(01) = f(10) = f(11) = 1. (This function is neither constant nor balanced.)

**EXERCISE 5.2** Consider the Bernstein-Vazirani algorithm with n = 3 and c = 101. Work out the quantum circuit depicted in Fig. 5.2 to show that the measurement outcome of the first three qubits is c = 101.

Suppose there is a stack of  $N = 2^n$  files, randomly placed, that are numbered by  $x \in S_n \equiv \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$ . Our task is to find an algorithm which picks out a particular file which satisfies a certain condition.

In mathematical language, this is expressed as follows. Let  $f:S_n\to\{0,1\}$  be a function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & (x=z) \\ 0 & (x \neq z), \end{cases}$$

where z is the address of the file we are looking for. It is assumed that f(x) is *instantaneously* calculable, such that this process does not require any computational steps. A function of this sort is often called an oracle as noted in Chapter 5. Thus, the problem is to find z such that f(z) = 1, given a function  $f: S_n \to \{0, 1\}$  which assumes the value 1 only at a single point.



Clearly we have to check one file after another in a classical algorithm, and it will take O(N) steps on average. It is shown below that it takes only  $O(\sqrt{N})$  steps with Grover's algorithm. This is accomplished by *amplifying* the amplitude of the vector  $|z\rangle$  while cancelling that of the vectors  $|x\rangle$  ( $x \neq z$ ).

We first needs to implement the function f(x) quantum mechanically. The **oracle**  $U_f$  is defined in the usual way

$$U_f|x,y\rangle = |x,y \oplus f(x)\rangle$$
 with  $f(x) =$  either 0 or 1

In particular we have

$$U_f|x\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|0\rangle - |1\rangle] = (-1)^{f(x)}|x\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|0\rangle - |1\rangle]$$

Therefore, without loss of generality, we can neglect the last qubit and assume

$$U_f|x\rangle = (-1)^{f(x)}|x\rangle$$

on the computational basis. We see that if x is an unmarked item, the oracle does nothing to the state. It flips the phase for the marked item. It is easy to see that

$$U_f = I - 2|z\rangle\langle z|$$

**Step 1:** Create an initially **equal weighted superposition** of all states (this is done with N Hadamard gates):



Step 2: Apply the oracle  $U_f$ . Geometrically this corresponds to a reflection of the state  $|z\rangle$  about  $|s\rangle$ . This transformation means that the amplitude in front of the  $|z\rangle$  state becomes negative, which in turn means that the average amplitude has been lowered.



Step 3: Apply the gate

$$D = -I + 2|\varphi_0\rangle\langle\varphi_0|.$$

The action of the gate is the following

$$\begin{array}{c} \omega_x - \bar{\omega} \\ \omega_x - \bar{\omega} \\ \bar{\omega$$

$$\begin{split} |\varphi\rangle &= \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \omega_x |x\rangle \ \to \ D|\varphi\rangle = \left[\frac{2}{N} \sum_{x,y=0}^{N-1} |x\rangle \langle y|\right] \sum_{z=0}^{N-1} \omega_z |z\rangle - \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \omega_x |x\rangle \\ &= \frac{2}{N} \left[\sum_{x=0}^{N-1} |x\rangle\right] \left[\sum_{y=0}^{N-1} \omega_y\right] - \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \omega_x |x\rangle = \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} (2\bar{\omega} - \omega_x) |x\rangle \end{split}$$

with 
$$\bar{\omega} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \omega_x$$
 average

In our case we get



Since the average amplitude has been lowered by the first reflection, this transformation boosts the negative amplitude of  $|z\rangle$  to roughly three times its original value, while it decreases the other amplitudes.

) Herns

**Step 3:** go to step 2 an repeat the application of  $U_f$  and D a sufficient number of times. Let us call  $G_f = D U_f$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.2** Let us write

$$G_{f}^{k}|\varphi_{0}\rangle=a_{k}|z\rangle+b_{k}\sum_{x\neq z}|x\rangle$$

with the initial condition

$$a_0 = b_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Then the coefficients  $\{a_k, b_k\}$  for  $k \ge 1$  satisfy the recursion relations

$$a_k = \frac{N-2}{N}a_{k-1} + \frac{2(N-1)}{N}b_{k-1},$$
(7.18)

$$b_k = -\frac{2}{N}a_{k-1} + \frac{N-2}{N}b_{k-1} \tag{7.19}$$

for k = 1, 2, ...

Proof. It is easy to see the recursion relations are satified for 
$$k = 1$$
  
Let  $G_f^{k-1} |\varphi_0\rangle = a_{k-1} |z\rangle + b_{k-1} \sum_{x \neq z} |x\rangle$ . Then  
 $G_f^k |\varphi_0\rangle = G_f \left( a_{k-1} |z\rangle + b_{k-1} \sum_{x \neq z} |x\rangle \right)$   
 $= (-I+2|\varphi_0\rangle\langle\varphi_0|) \left( -a_{k-1} |z\rangle + b_{k-1} \sum_{x \neq z} |x\rangle \right)$   
 $= -b_{k-1} \sum_{x \neq z} |x\rangle + a_{k-1} |z\rangle + \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} (N-1)b_{k-1} |\varphi_0\rangle - \frac{2a_{k-1}}{\sqrt{N}} |\varphi_0\rangle$   
 $= -b_{k-1} \sum_{x \neq z} |x\rangle + a_{k-1} |z\rangle + \frac{2}{N} (N-1)b_{k-1} \sum_x |x\rangle - \frac{2a_{k-1}}{N} \sum_x |x\rangle$   
 $= \left[ \frac{N-2}{N} a_{k-1} + \frac{2(N-1)}{N} b_{k-1} \right] |z\rangle + \left[ -\frac{2}{N} a_{k-1} + \frac{N-2}{N} b_{k-1} \right] \sum_{x \neq z} |x\rangle,$ 

and proposition is proved.

**PROPOSITION 7.3** The solutions of the recursion relations in Proposition 7.2 are explicitly given by

$$a_k = \sin[(2k+1)\theta], \quad b_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}\cos[(2k+1)\theta],$$
 (7.20)

for k = 0, 1, 2, ..., where

$$\sin \theta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}}, \quad \cos \theta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{N}}.$$
(7.21)

*Proof.* Let  $c_k = \sqrt{N-1}b_k$ . The recursion relations (7.18) and (7.19) are written in a matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_k \\ c_k \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} a_{k-1} \\ c_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}, \ M = \begin{pmatrix} (N-2)/N & 2\sqrt{N-1}/N \\ -2\sqrt{N-1}/N & (N-2)/N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta & \sin 2\theta \\ -\sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that M is a rotation matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and its kth power is another rotation matrix corresponding to a rotation angle  $2k\theta$ . Thus the above recursion relation is easily solved to yield

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_k \\ c_k \end{pmatrix} = M^k \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ c_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2k\theta & \sin 2k\theta \\ -\sin 2k\theta & \cos 2k\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin[(2k+1)\theta] \\ \cos[(2k+1)\theta] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Replacing  $c_k$  by  $b_k$  proves the proposition.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

We have proved that the application of  $G_f \; k$  times on  $|\varphi_0\rangle$  results in the state

$$G_f^{k}|\varphi_0\rangle = \sin[(2k+1)\theta]|z\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}\cos[(2k+1)\theta]\sum_{x\neq z}|x\rangle.$$
(7.22)

Measurement of the state  $U_f^k |\varphi_0\rangle$  yields  $|z\rangle$  with the probability  $P_{\pm} = \sin^2[(2k \pm 1)\theta]$ 

$$P_{z,k} = \sin^2[(2k+1)\theta].$$
(7.23)

**STEP 4** Our final task is to find the k that maximizes  $P_{z,k}$ . A rough estimate for the maximizing k is obtained by putting

$$(2k+1)\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \to k = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} - 1\right).$$
 (7.24)

#### **PROPOSITION 7.4** Let $N \gg 1$ and let

$$m = \left\lfloor \frac{\pi}{4\theta} \right\rfloor,\tag{7.25}$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  stands for the floor of x. The file we are searching for will be obtained in  $U_{f_{f}}^{m} |\varphi_{0}\rangle$  with the probability

 $m = O(\sqrt{N}).$ 

This is the number of times we repeat the algorithm, which grows with the square root of N

$$P_{z,m} \ge 1 - \frac{1}{N} \tag{7.26}$$

and



(7.27)

*Proof.* Equation (7.25) leads to the inequality  $\pi/4\theta - 1 < m \le \pi/4\theta$ . Let us define  $\tilde{m}$  by

$$(2\tilde{m}+1)\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \to \tilde{m} = \frac{\pi}{4\theta} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Observe that m and  $\tilde{m}$  satisfy

$$|m - \tilde{m}| \le \frac{1}{2},\tag{7.28}$$

from which it follows that

$$|(2m+1)\theta - (2\tilde{m}+1)\theta| = \left|(2m+1)\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right| \le \theta.$$
(7.29)

Considering that  $\theta \sim 1/\sqrt{N}$  is a small number when  $N \gg 1$  and  $\sin x$  is monotonically increasing in the neighborhood of x = 0, we obtain

$$0 < \sin|(2m+1)\theta - \pi/2| < \sin\theta$$

 $\bullet = \cos[(2m+1)\theta]$ 

or

$$\cos^2[(2m+1)\theta] \le \sin^2\theta = \frac{1}{N}.$$
(7.30)

Thus it has been shown that

$$P_{m,z} = \sin^2[(2m+1)\theta] = 1 - \cos^2[(2m+1)\theta] \ge 1 - \frac{1}{N}.$$
 (7.31)

It also follows from  $\theta > \sin \theta = 1/\sqrt{N}$  that

$$m = \left\lfloor \frac{\pi}{4\theta} \right\rfloor \le \frac{\pi}{4\theta} \le \frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{N}.$$
(7.32)

It is important to note that this quantum algorithm takes only  $O(\sqrt{N})$  steps and this is much faster than the classical counterpart which requires O(N) steps.

We now show how to implement the gates

### Selective Phase Rotation Transform

**DEFINITION 6.2 (Selective Phase Rotation Transform)** Let us define a kernel

$$K_n(x,y) = e^{i\theta_x} \delta_{xy}, \quad \forall x, y \in S_n,$$
(6.43)

Selective phase rotation is then defined by the following unitary operator

$$U|x\rangle = \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} K(y,x)|y\rangle.$$

The matrix representations for  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are

$$K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_0} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\theta_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_0} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\theta_1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### Selective Phase Rotation Transform

The implementation of  $K_n$  is achieved with the universal set of gates as follows. Take n = 2, for example. The kernel  $K_2$  has been given above. This is decomposed as a product of two two-level unitary matrices as

$$K_2 = A_0 A_1, (6.45)$$

where

$$A_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_{0}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\theta_{1}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_{3}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6.46)

Note that

$$A_{0} = |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes U_{0} + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes I, \quad U_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_{0}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\theta_{1}} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A_{1} = |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes U_{1}, \quad U_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_{2}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\theta_{3}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $A_1$  is realized as an ordinary controlled- $U_1$  gate while the control bit is negated in  $A_0$ . Then what we have to do for  $A_0$  is to negate the control bit first and then to apply ordinary controlled- $U_0$  gate and finally to negate the control bit back to its input state. In summary,  $A_0$  is implemented as in

Fig. 6.5. In fact, it can be readily verified that the gate in Fig. 6.5 is written as

$$\begin{aligned} (X \otimes I)(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes U_0)(X \otimes I) \\ &= X|0\rangle\langle 0|X \otimes I + X|1\rangle\langle 1|X \otimes U_0 = |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes I + |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes U_0 = A_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus these gates are implemented with the set of universal gates. In fact, the order of  $A_i$  does not matter since  $[A_0, A_1] = 0$ .



#### Back on Grover's search algorithm

We need to prove that the D gate used to perform the quantum search can be implemented efficiently. We now show that

$$D = W_n R_0 W_n, (7.6)$$

where  $W_n$  is the Walsh-Hadamard transform,

$$W_n(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (-1)^{x \cdot y}, \quad (x,y \in S_n)$$
 (7.7)

and  $R_0$  is the selective phase rotation transform defined by

$$R_0(x,y) = e^{i\pi(1-\delta_{x0})}\delta_{xy} = (-1)^{1-\delta_{x0}}\delta_{xy}.$$
(7.8)

#### Proof

$$\langle x|D|y\rangle = \langle x|\left[-I+2|\varphi_o\rangle\langle\varphi_0|\right]|y\rangle = -\delta_{xy} + \frac{2}{N} \qquad \qquad |\varphi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{x=0}^{N-1}|x\rangle$$

$$\langle x|W_n R_0 W_n|y\rangle = \sum_{u,v} \langle x|W_n|u\rangle \langle u|R_0|v\rangle \langle v|W_n|y\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{u,v} (-1)^{x \cdot u} (-1)^{1-\delta_{u0}} \delta_{uv} (-1)^{v \cdot y}.$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{u} (-1)^{x \cdot u} (-1)^{y \cdot u} (-1)^{1-\delta_{u0}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \left[ 1 - \sum_{u \neq 0} (-1)^{x \cdot u} (-1)^{y \cdot u} \right] = A$$

**x = y:** 
$$A = \frac{1}{N} \left[ 1 - \sum_{u \neq 0} \right] = \frac{1}{N} \left[ 1 - (N-1) \right] = -1 + \frac{2}{N}$$

 $x \neq y$ . As discussed in relation to the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm

$$\sum_{u=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{x \cdot u} = 0 \rightarrow \sum_{u \neq 0}^{N-1} (-1)^{x \cdot u} = -1$$

Therefore:  $A = \frac{1}{N} [1 - (-1)] = \frac{2}{N}$ 

# Back on Grover's search algorithm

Therefore the D gate can be implemented efficiently. The overall circuit is



We are not interested on how to implement the oracle  $U_f$  since this is supposed to be given

**Optimality of Grover's algorithm**. We have shown that a quantum computer can search N items, consulting the search oracle only O(VN) times. One can prove that no quantum algorithm can perform this task using fewer than

 $O({\sf VN})$  accesses to the search oracle, and thus the algorithm we have demonstrated is optimal.

For a proof, see e.g. Nielsen – Chuang p. 269.