#### <span id="page-0-0"></span>4.5 Quantum Phase estimation

The framework of quantum phase estimation (QPE) is the following. Consider a unitary operation  $\hat{U}$  where the state  $|\psi\rangle$  is one of its eigenstates. In particular, one has

<span id="page-0-4"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
\hat{U}|\psi\rangle = e^{2\pi i\varphi}|\psi\rangle.
$$
\n(4.39)

Then, the task is to determine the phase  $\varphi$  with a certain given precision.

## *4.5.1 Single-qubit quantum phase estimation*

The Hadamard test described in Sec.  $\overline{4.1.1}$  can be used to implement a single qubit phase estimation. Indeed, from Eq.  $(4.39)$  one gets that

$$
\langle \psi | \hat{U} | \psi \rangle = e^{2\pi i \varphi}.
$$
\n(4.40)

Then, by merging with Eq.  $(4.21)$  one has

$$
P(|0\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos(2\pi\varphi)),
$$
\n(4.41)

which implies

<span id="page-0-5"></span>
$$
\varphi = \pm \frac{\arccos\left(1 - 2P(|0\rangle)\right)}{2\pi} + 2\pi k,\tag{4.42}
$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Notice that such a circuit cannot distinguish the sign of  $\varphi$ . Conversely, using both Eq. [\(4.21\)](#page-0-2) and Eq.  $(4.24)$ , one has

<span id="page-0-2"></span>
$$
\varphi = \arctan\left(\frac{1 - 2P(|0\rangle)}{1 - 2\tilde{P}(|0\rangle)}\right). \tag{4.43}
$$

Now, for the sake of simplicity, let us restrict to the case of  $\varphi \in [0,1]$ . Suppose we would like to estimate the value of  $\varphi$  with a single run of the circuit in Eq. [\(4.17\)](#page-0-4). Then, if the outcome is +1 (i.e., the state collapses on  $|0\rangle$ , we have  $P(|0\rangle) = 1$ . Conversely, with the outcome being  $-1$  we have  $P(|0\rangle) = 0$ . Then, by employing Eq.  $(4.42)$  we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}\n\text{outcome} & P(|0\rangle) & \bar{\varphi} & \varphi_v \\
+1 & 1 & 0 & [0, 1/2] \\
-1 & 0 & 1/2 & [1/2, 1]\n\end{array} \tag{4.44}
$$

where  $\bar{\varphi}$  gives the best estimation for the real value of the phase  $\varphi_v$ . Since there are no other possible outcomes with a single run, the phase is estimated with an error  $\epsilon = 1/2$ , namely  $\varphi_v \in [\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\varphi} + \epsilon]$ . This is a really low accuracy for a deterministic algorithm. To improve this accuracy, one should run the algorithm several times (namely, a number of times that scales as  $\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^2)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the target error bound), or consider alternative methods, as the N-qubit quantum phase estimation described below.

# *4.5.2 Kitaev's method for single-qubit quantum phase estimation*

In the fixed point representation, a natural number k can be represented with a real number  $\varphi \in [0,1]$  by employing *d* bits, i.e.

<span id="page-0-3"></span>
$$
\varphi = (\varphi_{d-1} \dots \varphi_0), \tag{4.45}
$$

where  $\varphi_k \in \{0, 1\}$ , as far as  $k \leq 2^d - 1$ .

#### *Example 4.3*

*To make an explicit example of the fixed point representation, the value of*  $k = 41$  *corresponds to the*  $d = 6$ *bit's string* [101001] *and can be represented with*  $\varphi = 0.640625$  *being equivalent to* (*.*101001)*. Indeed, by employing the following expression with the string*  $\varphi = (\varphi_{d-1} \dots \varphi_0) = (0.101001)$  *one has* 

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \varphi_i 2^{i-d} = \varphi_5 2^{-1} + \varphi_4 2^{-2} + \varphi_3 2^{-3} + \varphi_2 2^{-4} + \varphi_1 2^{-5} + \varphi_0 2^{-6} = 2^{-1} + 2^{-3} + 2^{-6} = 0.640625. \tag{4.46}
$$

*Such a value, when multiplied by* 2<sup>6</sup> *gives exactly 41.*

In the simplest scenario of  $d = 1$ , one has  $\varphi = (\varphi_0)$  with  $\varphi_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ . Thus, when performing once the real Hadamard test, one has  $P(|0\rangle) = 1$  if  $\varphi_0 = 0$  (i.e.,  $\bar{\varphi} = 0$ ), and  $P(|0\rangle) = 0$  if  $\varphi_0 = 1$  (i.e.,  $\bar{\varphi} = 1/2$ ).

Next, we consider the case of *d* bits, where  $\varphi = (0 \dots 0 \varphi_0)$ . Here, the first *d* bits are 0 and the last one is  $\varphi_0$ . To determined the value of  $\varphi_0$  one needs to reach a precision of  $\epsilon < 2^{-d}$ . This would require  $\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^2) = \mathcal{O}(2^{2d})$ repeated applications of the single-qubit quantum phase estimation, or number of queries to  $\hat{U}$ . The observation from Kitaev's method is that if we can have access to  $\hat{U}^j$  for a suitable power *j*, then the number of queries to  $\hat{U}$  can be reduced. If one substitutes  $\hat{U}^j$  to  $\hat{U}$ , with the corresponding circuit being

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
|0\rangle \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H
$$
\n
$$
| \psi \rangle \longrightarrow U^j
$$
\n(4.47)

then the probability changes in

$$
P(|0\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos(2\pi j\varphi)).
$$
\n(4.48)

Importantly, every time one multiplies a number by a factor 2, the bits in the fixed point representation are shifted to the left. To make an example,

$$
2 \times (.00\varphi_0) = (.0\varphi_0). \tag{4.49}
$$

Then, one has that  $2^{d-1}\varphi = 2^{d-1}(0 \dots 0 \varphi_0) = (\varphi_0)$ . Thus, applying the circuit in Eq. [\(4.47\)](#page-1-0) with  $j = d - 1$  to estimate  $(0 \ldots 0\varphi_0)$  is equivalent to apply the circuit in Eq.  $(4.17)$  to estimate  $(\varphi_0)$ .

This idea can be extended to general phases with *d* bits, i.e.  $\varphi = (\varphi_{d-1} \dots \varphi_0)$ . Indeed, one has

$$
\hat{U}e^{2\pi i\varphi}|\psi\rangle = \hat{U}e^{2\pi i(\cdot\varphi_{d-1}\dots\varphi_0)}|\psi\rangle = e^{2\pi i(\varphi_{d-1}\cdot\varphi_{d-2}\dots\varphi_0)}|\psi\rangle = e^{2\pi i\varphi_{d-1}}e^{2\pi i(\cdot\varphi_{d-2}\dots\varphi_0)}|\psi\rangle, \tag{4.50}
$$

but  $e^{2\pi i \varphi_{d-1}} = 1$  independently from the value of  $\varphi_{d-1}$ . Thus

$$
\hat{U}e^{2\pi i\varphi}|\psi\rangle = e^{2\pi i(\cdot\varphi_{d-2}\dots\varphi_0)}|\psi\rangle, \qquad (4.51)
$$

i.e. the application of  $\hat{U}$  shifts the bits and allows the evaluation of the first bit after the decimal point.

# *4.5.3 n-qubit quantum phase estimation*

Notably, both the previous algorithms necessitate an important classical post-processing. Employing *n* ancillary qubits allow the reduction of such post-processing. This is based on the application of the Inverse Quantum Fourier Transform *F*ˆ*†*.

## *Recall 4.1 (Quantum Fourier transform)*

*The discrete Fourier transform of a N-component vector with complex components*  $\{f(0),...,f(N-1)\}$ *is a new complex vector*  $\{\tilde{f}(0), \ldots, \tilde{f}(N-1)\}$ *, defined as* 

$$
F(f(j),k) = \tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i j k/N} f(j).
$$
 (4.52)

*The Quantum Fourier transform (QFT) acts similarly: it acts as the unitary operator F*ˆ *on a quantum register of n qubits, where*  $N = 2^n$ *, in the computational basis as* 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\hat{F}|j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n - 1} e^{2\pi i j k/2^n} |k\rangle, \qquad (4.53)
$$

*where*  $|j\rangle = |j_{n-1} \dots j_0\rangle$  *and*  $|k\rangle = |k_{n-1} \dots k_0\rangle$ *. Namely, the application of the quantum Fourier transform*  $\hat{F}$  *to the state*  $|j\rangle = |j_{n-1} \dots j_0\rangle$  *gives* 

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\hat{F}\left|j\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\left(\left|0\right\rangle + e^{2\pi i\left(0.j_0\right)}\left|1\right\rangle\right)\left(\left|0\right\rangle + e^{2\pi i\left(0.j_1j_0\right)}\left|1\right\rangle\right)\dots\left(\left|0\right\rangle + e^{2\pi i\left(0.j_{n-1}\dots j_0\right)}\left|1\right\rangle\right).
$$
\n(4.54)

*In the case of a superposition*  $|\psi\rangle = \sum_j f(j) |j\rangle$ , one has

$$
|\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \hat{F} |\psi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} \tilde{f}(k) |k\rangle, \qquad (4.55)
$$

where the coefficients  $\tilde{f}(k)$  are the discrete Fourier transform of the coeficients  $f(j)$ .

*The inverse quantum Fourier transform F*ˆ*† acts as*

$$
\hat{F}^{\dagger} |j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n - 1} e^{-2\pi i j k/2^n} |k\rangle ,
$$
\n(4.56)

*in a completely similar way as Eq.* [\(4.53\)](#page-2-0) *but with negative phases.*

#### *Example 4.4*

*The application of the quantum Fourier transform*  $\hat{F}$  *to the state*  $|j\rangle = |10\rangle = |j_1 = 1, j_0 = 0\rangle$  gives

$$
\hat{F}|j\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( |0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.j_0)} |1\rangle \right) \left( |0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.j_1 j_0)} |1\rangle \right),
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle).
$$
\n(4.57)

The algorithm implementing the (standard) quantum phase estimation uses a first register of *n* ancillary qubits and a second register of which we want to compute the phase. The first register is initially prepared in the  $|0\rangle$  state for all the qubits. The circuit implementing the algorithm is the following

50 4 Circuit model for quantum computation



In particular, the state of the first register after the end of the first part of the algorithm (see red dashed line) reads

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (2^{n-1}\varphi)}|1\rangle\right)\dots\left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (2^0\varphi)}|1\rangle\right).
$$
\n(4.59)

Now, by considering the binary representation of  $\varphi = (\varphi_{n-1} \dots \varphi_0)$ , the latter expression becomes

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.\varphi_0)}|1\rangle\right)\left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.\varphi_1\varphi_0)}|1\rangle\right)\dots\left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.\varphi_{n-1}\dots\varphi_0)}|1\rangle\right),\tag{4.60}
$$

which is exactly equal to  $\hat{F}|j\rangle$  in Eq. [\(4.54\)](#page-2-1) for  $|j\rangle = |\varphi\rangle$ . Thus, applying the inverse Fourier transform  $\hat{F}^{\dagger}$  one gets  $|\varphi\rangle$ , which is then measured.