

# Modal Logic 1

# Preliminaries and basic terminology

- **Modal Logic:**

- Axiomatic at the beginning of the last century  
(C.I. Lewis)
- '50 – '60, possible worlds semantics  
(Kripke, Marcus, Hintikka)

- Propositional logic  $\rightarrow$  Predicate logic  $\rightarrow$  Modal logic

*All men are mortal*

*Socrates is man.*

*Therefore, Socrates is mortal.*

$\rightarrow$  Not valid in propositional logic. Predicate logic is needed.

$\rightarrow$  But for other good arguments, also predicate logic is not enough, and modal logic is needed...

## Example:

- If a new course is to be offered next year, then submissions **must** be made to the Faculty Board before April.
- If submissions are to be made to the Faculty Board before April, then a Departmental meeting **must** be called.
- A week's notice **must** be given, if a Departmental meeting is to be called.
- Since it is **not possible** to give such notice,

*It follows that*

- It is **not possible** to offer a new course next year.



# Possibility and necessity

- It is **possible** that  $p$  =  
*there is at least one possible world in which  $p$  is true*
- It is **necessary** that  $p$  =  
*in all possible worlds  $p$  is true*



- We consider statements of the form:

*It is possible that p*     $\dashv\vdash$      $\Diamond p$     (also  $Mp$ )

*It is necessary that p*     $\dashv\vdash$      $\Box p$     (also  $Lp$ )

# Modal Equivalences (MN)

$$1. \neg \Diamond p \iff \Box \neg p$$

$$2. \neg \Box p \iff \Diamond \neg p$$

$$3. \neg \Diamond \neg p \iff \Box p$$

$$4. \neg \Box \neg p \iff \Diamond p$$

- Check that these are correct using your intuitions and possible worlds.
- They are similar to quantifiers.

# Other modal expressions

*p is **Contingent*** =  $(\Diamond p \ \& \ \Diamond \neg p)$  (also  $\nabla p$ )

*p is **Analytic*** =  $(\Box p \vee \Box \neg p)$

→ Tautologies (analytic truths) should be modally valid.

*p is **Contradictory*** =  $\Box \neg p$

*p and q are **Compatible*** =  $\Diamond (p \ \& \ q)$  (also  $p \circ q$ )

*p and q are **Incompatible*** =  $\neg \Diamond (p \ \& \ q)$



# Translations

- *It is necessary that we study.*

we study =  $P$

It is necessary that =  $\Box$

→ Translation:  $\Box P$

- *It is not possible to eat and it is necessary to drink.*

Translation:

$\neg \Diamond Q \ \& \ \Box R$

More difficult:

***“If the course is important, then you must attend it.”***

- “The course is important” = N
- “you attend it” = S
- “must” =  $\square$



There are two possible translations:

- 1.  $N \rightarrow \Box S$
  - 2.  $\Box (N \rightarrow S)$
- Different logical forms. Different results.

- Often the second reading is the intended one.
- In natural language it is not always clear which one is needed.
  - Some practice is required to learn how to translate.

# Strict implication

- C.I. Lewis proposed an implication that captures the notion of “**necessarily** follows”

Strict implication:

$$\Box (A \rightarrow B)$$

# Kind of modalities/logics

- **Alethic (truth) / Objective modality → Modal logic**

- **Other modalities:**

Implication → Conditional logic

Knowledge → Epistemic logic

Belief → Doxastic logic

Time → Temporal logic

Obligation → Deontic logic

Change → Dynamic logic

END