

do Rudin, "Real and complex analysis"

PROOF For  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , put

$$V_n = D(\infty; n) \cup \bigcup_{a \notin \Omega} D\left(a; \frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (1)$$

and put  $K_n = S^2 - V_n$ . [Of course,  $a \neq \infty$  in (1).] Then  $K_n$  is a closed and bounded (hence compact) subset of  $\Omega$ , and  $\Omega = \bigcup K_n$ . If  $z \in K_n$  and  $r = n^{-1} - (n+1)^{-1}$ , one verifies easily that  $D(z; r) \subset K_{n+1}$ . This gives (a). Hence  $\Omega$  is the union of the interiors  $W_n$  of  $K_n$ . If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\Omega$ , then  $K \subset W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_N$  for some  $N$ , hence  $K \subset K_N$ .

Finally, each of the discs in (1) intersects  $S^2 - \Omega$ ; each disc is connected; hence each component of  $V_n$  intersects  $S^2 - \Omega$ ; since  $V_n \supset S^2 - \Omega$ , no component of  $S^2 - \Omega$  can intersect two components of  $V_n$ . This gives (c). ///

**13.4 Sets of Oriented Intervals** Let  $\Phi$  be a finite collection of oriented intervals in the plane. For each point  $p$ , let  $m_I(p)[m_E(p)]$  be the number of members of  $\Phi$  that have initial point [end point]  $p$ . If  $m_I(p) = m_E(p)$  for every  $p$ , we shall say that  $\Phi$  is *balanced*.

If  $\Phi$  is balanced (and nonempty), the following construction can be carried out.

Pick  $\gamma_1 = [a_0, a_1] \in \Phi$ . Assume  $k \geq 1$ , and assume that distinct members  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$  of  $\Phi$  have been chosen in such a way that  $\gamma_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . If  $a_k = a_0$ , stop. If  $a_k \neq a_0$ , and if precisely  $r$  of the intervals  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$  have  $a_k$  as end point, then only  $r - 1$  of them have  $a_k$  as initial point; since  $\Phi$  is balanced,  $\Phi$  contains at least one other interval, say  $\gamma_{k+1}$ , whose initial point is  $a_k$ . Since  $\Phi$  is finite, we must return to  $a_0$  eventually, say at the  $n$ th step.

Then  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  join (in this order) to form a closed path.

The remaining members of  $\Phi$  still form a balanced collection to which the above construction can be applied. It follows that the members of  $\Phi$  can be so numbered that they form finitely many closed paths. The sum of these paths is a cycle. The following conclusion is thus reached.

If  $\Phi = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\}$  is a balanced collection of oriented intervals, and if

$$\Gamma = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_N$$

then  $\Gamma$  is a cycle.

**13.5 Theorem** If  $K$  is a compact subset of a plane open set  $\Omega (\neq \emptyset)$ , then there is a cycle  $\Gamma$  in  $\Omega - K$  such that the Cauchy formula

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \quad (1)$$

holds for every  $f \in H(\Omega)$  and for every  $z \in K$ .

PROOF Since  $K$  is compact and  $\Omega$  is open, there exists an  $\eta > 0$  such that the distance from any point of  $K$  to any point outside  $\Omega$  is at least  $2\eta$ . Construct

a grid of horizontal and vertical lines in the plane, such that the distance between any two adjacent horizontal lines is  $\eta$ , and likewise for the vertical lines. Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_m$  be those squares (closed 2-cells) of edge  $\eta$  which are formed by this grid and which intersect  $K$ . Then  $Q_r \subset \Omega$  for  $r = 1, \dots, m$ .

If  $a_r$  is the center of  $Q_r$  and  $a_r + b$  is one of its vertices, let  $\gamma_{rk}$  be the oriented interval

$$\gamma_{rk} = [a_r + i^k b, a_r + i^{k+1} b] \tag{2}$$

and define

$$\partial Q_r = \gamma_{r1} + \gamma_{r2} + \gamma_{r3} + \gamma_{r4} \quad (r = 1, \dots, m). \tag{3}$$

It is then easy to check (for example, as a special case of Theorem 10.37, or by means of Theorems 10.11 and 10.40) that

$$\text{Ind}_{\partial Q_r}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is in the interior of } Q_r, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is not in } Q_r. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be the collection of all  $\gamma_{rk}$  ( $1 \leq r \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq 4$ ). It is clear that  $\Sigma$  is balanced. Remove those members of  $\Sigma$  whose opposites (see Sec. 10.8) also belong to  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the collection of the remaining members of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\Phi$  is balanced. Let  $\Gamma$  be the cycle constructed from  $\Phi$ , as in Sec. 13.4.

If an edge  $E$  of some  $Q_r$  intersects  $K$ , then the two squares in whose boundaries  $E$  lies intersect  $K$ . Hence  $\Sigma$  contains two oriented intervals which are each other's opposites and whose range is  $E$ . These intervals do not occur in  $\Phi$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is a cycle in  $\Omega - K$ .

The construction of  $\Phi$  from  $\Sigma$  shows also that

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(\alpha) = \sum_{r=1}^m \text{Ind}_{\partial Q_r}(\alpha) \tag{5}$$

if  $\alpha$  is not in the boundary of any  $Q_r$ . Hence (4) implies

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is in the interior of some } Q_r, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \text{ lies in no } Q_r. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

If  $z \in K$ , then  $z \notin \Gamma^*$ , and  $z$  is a limit point of the interior of some  $Q_r$ . Since the left side of (6) is constant in each component of the complement of  $\Gamma^*$ , (6) gives

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \in K, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \notin \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Now (1) follows from Cauchy's theorem 10.35. ////