Ordinary (O-form) symmetries Symmetry trongl. in QFT $< U_g(\Sigma) \widehat{\Phi}^{i}(y) > = R(g)^{i}_{i} < \widehat{\Phi}^{i}(y)$ Since the sym. generators are conserved / contrute with Hamiltonky $U_g(\Sigma)$ is "topological" (as we will see) In Field Theory, if S is invariant under sym floup G , then then exists a conserved current $\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu} = 0$ j s.t. if we take local trough
 $S[\Phi^i + \epsilon(x) M^i, \Phi^j] - S[\Phi^i] = -\int \epsilon(x) \partial_\mu j^h(x)$ (4)
 $S[\Phi^i + \epsilon(x) M^i, \Phi^j] - S[\Phi^i] = -\int \epsilon(x) \partial_\mu j^h(x)$ (4)
 \int_{α} OFT $\cos \omega \leq x$ with $\sin \omega$ or $i < \partial_{\mu} j^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \Phi^{(1)}(\mathbf{y}) > = \delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \mathsf{M}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}) > 0$ $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} c^2 \partial_{\mu} j^{\mu}(\kappa) \overline{\Phi}^{\lambda}(\gamma) > = \mathcal{N} \int \Omega \overline{\Phi} \partial_{\mu} j^{\mu}(\kappa) \overline{\Phi}^{\lambda}(\gamma) e^{\lambda S[\Phi]} =$ $\sum_{k=0}^{N} \int D\Phi \sum_{\delta\in K} \delta[\Phi^k + \epsilon(k)H^k{}_{\delta}\Phi^j] \Phi'^(y) e^{iS[\Phi^k]} !$ t \forall s/t $=-\frac{1}{i}\sum_{S\in\{x\}}(N\int D\Phi\Phi^{i}(y)e^{iS[\Phi^{k}+ \epsilon M_{j}^{*}\Phi^{j}]})|_{e^{s}}e^{iS[\Phi^{k}+ \epsilon M_{j}^{*}\Phi^{j}]}|_{e^{s}})$ $ANDMQU$: $\partial_{\mu}^{x_1} \langle \int_{0}^{h} (x_1) \int_{0}^{h} (x_2) \rangle$ = $\frac{1}{x} \in \alpha_1 \int_0^x \{x^{1-x^2}\}$ = $i \sum_{\delta \in (k]} N \int \text{DE}^{\prime} \left(\underline{\Phi}^{i\lambda}(y) - \varepsilon(y) M^{i} \cdot \underline{\Phi}^{i,j}_{(y)} \right) e^{i \cdot \text{SE}[\underline{\Phi}^{i}]} \Big|_{\epsilon = 0}$ $\int dx_1 = 0$ $= -i \frac{\delta^{4}(x-y)}{4} M^{4}$ $\langle \Phi^{3}(y) \rangle$ /

We can now integrate the W1 (o) and obtain

\n
$$
\vec{L} \leq [Q_1 \Phi^i(y)]_p = M^i{}_j \leq \Phi^j(y) \qquad \text{(cauchy and quadratic)}_q
$$
\nDim. Integrals: $\vec{L} \cdot \vec{L} \cdot \vec$

$$
\langle \left(Q(\gamma^{\circ}+\epsilon) - Q(\gamma^{\circ}-\epsilon) \right) \overline{\Phi}^{i}(y) \rangle = \langle 0 | T \left(Q(\gamma^{\circ}+\epsilon) - Q(\gamma^{\circ}-\epsilon) \right) \overline{\Phi}^{i}(y) |0 \rangle
$$

= $\langle \hat{Q}(\gamma^{\circ}), \hat{\Phi}^{i}(y) \rangle$

How does it work for extended objects? rinden?

Charge Q on a time slice is generalited (Eucliclean signature) to a cherge $Q(\Sigma)$ on a 3d closed subspect Σ $Q(\Sigma) = \int_{\Sigma} f \cdot \hat{f}$ The commutation relations to $LINK$ of \geq and y . How do we derive this relation? Let's integrate WI (.) on Ω z LHS: $\int_{Q_7} Q_{\mu} j^{\mu} d\mu = \int_{Q_5} d\mu j = \int_{Z} j = Q(E)$ $L, iQ(E) \Phi^{i}(y) > = \int dx \delta^{4}(x-y) M'_{j} < \Phi^{i}(y)$ $\frac{\alpha z}{\text{Link}(z_{1}y)}$ $\leftarrow \frac{\pi \text{Problem}}{\text{InII/AL(AUT)}}$ INIVARIANT Also Huis is TOPOLOGICAL due to conserv. lew:

Under a contrin.
$$
\Delta
$$
 for x . $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma' = \Sigma + 3\Omega$, $y \in \Omega$

\n $\begin{array}{rcl}\n\lambda^e & \lambda^e \\
\hline\n\lambda^g & \lambda^g\n\end{array}$ \nIsidu Ω_0 Hini is no **INSECTION** of local operators

\n $\begin{array}{rcl}\n\lambda^e & \lambda^e & \lambda^e \\
\hline\n\lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g \\
\hline\n\lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g \\
\hline\n\lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g \\
\hline\n\end{array}$ \n

\nBy exponentialing $\int_{\partial \Omega_0} x_1 x_1^2 x_2^3 & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g \\
\hline\n\end{array}$

\nBy exponentialing $\int_{\partial \Omega_0} x_1^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g \\
\hline\n\end{array}$

\nBy exponentialing $\int_{\partial \Omega_0} x_1^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g \\
\hline\n\end{array}$

\nBy exponentialing $\int_{\partial \Omega_0} x_1^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g \\
\hline\n\end{array}$

\nBy exponentialing $\int_{\partial \Omega_0} x_1^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 & \lambda^g \\
\hline\n\end{array}$

\nBy exponentialing $\int_{\partial \Omega_0} x_1^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \lambda^g & \$

[The insertion of the top op. $U_3(\Sigma)$ can be removed at the cost of transforming all the local operators inside Σ . Equivalently, we can say that if we deform the support passing
through one loc. op. paition, we act o

Discrete symmetries
\n•
$$
g \in G
$$
 disrate
\n• U_g : *unitary operator commuting with Hamiltonian B nonaritan*
\n• $\langle U_g \Phi^i(y) U_g^{-1} \rangle = R(g)^{i} \cdot g \Phi^i(y) \rangle$
\n• $\int_0^{\pi} f(x) \cdot d\Phi^i(y) \cdot d\Phi^i(y$

$$
L[U_{3}, P^{*}]=0 \Rightarrow U_{3} can continuously more, i.e. is 1004
$$
\n
$$
\frac{x^{2}y}{y} = \frac{y^{2}y}{x^{3}x^{2}x^{2}} = \frac{y^{2}y}{x^{3}x^{2}x^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
U_{3}(z)U_{3}(z) = U_{33}(z)
$$
\n
$$
U_{4}(z)U_{3}(z) = U_{33}(z)
$$
\n
$$
U_{5}(z)U_{6}(z) = U_{33}(z)
$$
\n
$$
U_{6}(z)U_{7}(z) = \frac{x^{3}y^{2}y^{2}}{x^{3}x^{2}x^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
U_{7}(z)U_{8}(z) = U_{33}(z)
$$
\n
$$
U_{8}(z) = \frac{x^{4}y^{2}y^{2}}{x^{4}x^{4}x^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
U_{9}(z) = \frac{x^{4}y^{2}}{x^{4}x^{4}x^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
U_{1}(z) = \frac{x^{2}y^{2}}{x^{4}x^{4}}
$$
\n
$$
U_{1}(z) = \frac{x^{2}y^{2}}{x^{4}x^{4
$$

1 form symmetries in Maxwell theory STA FA ^F d FMF A Fa 2nA 2Ap An COMPACT UCI gaugefield ELECTRIC CHARGES are QUANTIZED seen through gauge transf SE ^E TI not connected to identity propernormalitation of ^U ^I connection e is a miningfull coupling Gauge transformations A A 7 with 7 ^a globallydefined closed 1 form locally 7 da ^α ^x is ^a UCI parameter meaning that the well defined local transf one ai ^α ^α 2K Let's take an 52 and integrate F over it ⁱ E ^S ^S ^S ^α 2H ²¹⁰ 2ITL For U 1 gauge groups II gaugegroup ^x SE 57 ²⁷ 2

If
$$
\int \lambda = 0 \rightarrow \lambda = d\lambda
$$
 e α e but de .
\n $\int \lambda = 0 \rightarrow \lambda = dd$ e α e but de .
\n $\int \lambda = 0 \rightarrow \lambda = dd$ e α e but de .
\n $\int \lambda = 0 \rightarrow \lambda = dd$ e α e but de .
\n $\int \lambda = 0 \rightarrow \lambda = dd$ e $\int \lambda$ e \int be the de .

S = duality
\nlet's rewrite the action as
\nS[F,
$$
\tilde{A}
$$
] = $\frac{1}{2e^2} \int F \cdot F + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int F \cdot d\tilde{A}$
\n $\int_{\text{tanh}}^{\text{not } \text{in}} F$
\n $\int_{\text{tanh}}^{\text{not } \text{in}} F$

1-form symmetries

The e.o.m. of (*) are
\n
$$
\frac{1}{e^{2}} \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0
$$
\nand\n
$$
\frac{1}{e^{2}} \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0
$$
\nand\n
$$
\frac{1}{e^{2}} \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0
$$
\nand\n
$$
\frac{1}{e^{2}} \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{e^{2}} \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0
$$
\nand\n
$$
\frac{1}{e^{2}} \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{e^{2}} \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0
$$

F and
$$
*F
$$
 an two-forms that are closed
\n \Rightarrow they define Two 1-form symmetwles with
\ncurents $J_e = \frac{1}{e^2}F$ and $J_m = \frac{1}{2\pi}*\mathbb{F}$

. The corresponding conserver CHARGES are

- Electric flux
\n
$$
Q_{e}(\Sigma_{2}) = \frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{\Sigma_{2}} *F \approx \int_{\Sigma_{2}} \overline{E} \cdot d\overline{S} \iff U(1)_{e}^{(1)}
$$

\n- Magnetic flux
\n $Q_{m}(\Sigma_{1}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{2}} F \approx \int_{\Sigma_{2}} \overline{B} \cdot d\overline{S} \iff U(1)_{m}^{(1)}$

· Undn S-duality $J_{c} \leftrightarrow J_{m}$ $Q_{e} \leftrightarrow Q_{m}$

\n- Both
$$
Q_{e}(S^{2}) \leq Q_{m}(S^{2})
$$
 at topological under *confin*. *obdormations* of Σ_{1} .
\n- There should be correspond to the *th*-th term <

with
$$
d \in \mathbb{N}
$$
 $de + 2\pi$ and $d \neq \mathbb{N}$ and $ch + 2\pi$

\n- \n**Both**\n
$$
Q_{e}(S^{2}) \leq Q_{m}(S^{2})
$$
 at topological under *cochfin. obdormations* of \mathcal{Z}_{1} .\n \Rightarrow there should be correspond to *the th th*

of the type just described, i.e. a 1-form symmetry. mas We won't the associated W.I.

In order to derive the W.l., we use the usual thick:
\nwe charge vanisbl. in P.l., applying a symmetry
\ntransformation with non-cents-parameters. For 1-form
\n
$$
55 = \frac{1}{e^2} \int \frac{1}{5} \wedge dx F
$$
\n
$$
55 = \frac{1}{e^2} \int \frac{1}{5} \wedge dx F
$$
\n
$$
0 = \int e^{\int \frac{1}{2}x \cdot 5x + \int e^{\int \frac{1}{2}x \cdot 6} \cdot 5x - \int e^{\int \frac{1}{2}x \cdot 6} \cdot 5x - \int e^{\int \frac{1}{2}x \cdot 6} \cdot 6x - \int e^{\int \frac{1}{2}x \cdot
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \text{Symmetry} \text{transformable}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{d}=4 \quad \langle \bigcup_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{A} \\ d=d}} \{ \bigcup_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{A} \\ d \in \mathcal{A}}} \{ \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{A} \\ d \in \mathcal{A}}} \{ \
$$

Solutioning:

\n
$$
- \text{Sym} \text{ op}: \quad U_{e^{id\epsilon}}(S^{\epsilon}) = e^{i d\epsilon Q_{\epsilon}(S^{\epsilon})} \quad \text{2d top. op:}
$$
\n
$$
- \text{Charjed op}: e^{i q_{\epsilon} \int_{\tau}^{A} q_{\epsilon} = n \epsilon \mathbb{Z}}
$$
\n
$$
- \text{Sym} \text{ group}: e^{i d\epsilon} \in U(1)
$$
\n
$$
\text{L}_{\text{EUectRIC}} \quad 1 - \text{form} \quad \text{SYT\Pi \text{CIRY}}^{\text{N}}
$$

16 Hooet loop
\n- Probe mgh, fact. (monph)
\n- Closed *lim*
$$
\Leftrightarrow
$$
 gauge invariance of clual photon
\n- $q_H = h \in \mathbb{Z}$
\n- obtain sum formula expansion as before when
\nwe dual: the effective \Leftrightarrow magnetic.
\n
\nⁿ HAGNEIC 1- form $\leq YIIIETFY''$
\n
\nAlternatively: *inserting* Th($\gamma_{d,3}$) in \sim curlator
\nmod: f ks R1. domain, $\sqrt{8}k^{3}$ in \sim curlator
\nmod: f ks R1. domain, $\sqrt{8}k^{3}$ in \sim
\n $\int \frac{F}{2\pi} = n$ with *Link* ($S^{2} / \gamma_{d,3}$) = 1
\n $S^{2} \sqrt{2\pi}$
\n $\ll m (d_{m,1} \Sigma_{2}) T_{n} (\gamma_{d-3}) > \frac{e^{i n d_{m} L h k(S^{2} / \gamma_{d-3})}}{n}$
\n $e^{i \Leftrightarrow f_{2} \Sigma_{\pi}}$ $\int_{\text{fixed to } \sim \text{Spec}/k}^{\infty} \frac{f}{2\pi}$
\n $\int_{\text{two,bk}} \Rightarrow \frac{h}{2} g_{2} g_{3}$
\n $\int_{\text{two,bk}} \Rightarrow h_{3} g_{3}$

Generalisations
\nG p-form symmetry in d dim :
\n- Sym op. Ug(
$$
\Sigma_{d-p-1}
$$
)
\n- Chayed objects W(q, Yp)
\n- Sym. transf. $< U_g(\Sigma_{d-p-1})W(q, Y_p) > = R(g)^q < W(q, Y_p)$
\n
\n $if linked$

'lalc-home message: Existence of sym = Existence of TolloGIA

Adding metter Letis remember bow 1-form gym work: $\frac{z_1}{\sqrt{2}}$ = $e^{i\theta}$ If we now add chayed fields $\phi(x)$ with charge g there will be gauge invariant lines that can end on the location x of the chayed oppositor : in fact the gauge trangf. on the exhemum x of the WL is compensated by the jauge trans. of $\phi(\kappa)$

Non-ABeclAN SAIGE THEORIES

\n
$$
S = -\frac{1}{23} \int Tr (FA*F)
$$
\nBut, integraks over all G-bonds. 3 their combinations

\nmodulo gauge transformations.

\n
$$
G-bnoll :
$$
\n
$$
i. cover \{U_{i}\}
$$
\n
$$
i. For each of the form
$$
\n
$$
A_{i} \in \Omega^{1}(U_{i}, G)
$$
\n
$$
j. for each of the complex matrix
$$
\n
$$
A_{i} = g_{ij} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i} g_{ij} + i g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij} g_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}
$$

Wilson lines.

\nlet us consider the Wilson lines

\n
$$
W_{R}(\sigma) = tr_{R} P e^{i \int_{\sigma} \sigma}
$$
\n• To define the path rod. exp_{σ} on an arbitrary curve σ

\nwe cut σ in small axes $T_{i} \subset U_{i}$ and

\n
$$
use A_{i} to countable hol π (A_{i}) = Pe^{i \int_{\sigma}^{A_{i}} \sigma}
$$
).\n• Under a way, though $log_{\tau} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} log_{\tau_{k}}(A_{ik}) g_{i_{k}i_{k+1}}(x_{i_{k+1}})$

\n
$$
P e^{i \int_{\sigma} A} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} log_{\tau_{k}}(A_{ik}) g_{i_{k}i_{k+1}}(x_{i_{k+1}})
$$
\nand if two up terms to $U_{i,n}^{(X_{i,n})}$ Re^{i \int_{\sigma}^{A} U_{i_{k}}(x_{i_{k}})^{T}.}

\nand if the up to $U_{i,n}^{(X_{i,n})}$ be the value of $U_{i_{k}}(x_{i_{k}})$.

¹ form symmetries

Let us consider abelian case first:

· 1-form $sym.$ A \mapsto A + λ A closed 1-form

$$
o \quad O_{11} \quad \text{path} \quad U_i : \quad \lambda|_{U_i} = \alpha \eta_i
$$

We can make a local jauge transform U_i = e that corresponds to modifying the transition fucts as $g_{ij} \mapsto e^{-i\eta_{ij}}g_{ij}e^{i\eta_{j}} = g_{ij}t_{ij}$ $t_{ij} = e^{-i(\eta_{i}-\eta_{j})}$ keeping A invarient $e^{i\Phi_{\bm{j}}A} \mapsto e^{i\Phi_{\bm{j}}(e^{-\chi_{\bm{j}})i}i\omega_{\bm{k}}(x_{\bm{i}\omega})}e^{\chi_{\bm{j}}(x_{\bm{j}\omega})}=e^{-\Phi_{\bm{j}}(x_{\bm{j}\omega})}$ $-\right\}$

In abelian theory the action of 1-form sym is $(*)$. This can be easily generalised to non abelian gauge theories

- \cdot 1-form $s_{\tilde{y}^{\text{max}}}$ gig \mapsto gig $t_{\tilde{y}}$
- To preserve the cocycle condition tij must commute with any possible $g_{ij} \Rightarrow t_{ij} \in Z(G)$ ^(*) Moreover, it must happen that tijtjutui = $1\!\!1$ $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\infty\n\end{array}\right\}$ $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\infty\n\end{array}\right\}$ the CENTER of the group G. It is ABELIAN.] . Consider the Wilson line $W_R(\gamma) = tr_R Pe^{i\int_{\gamma}^{A}}$
- with R on inep then $t_{R} \in \mathcal{Z}(\alpha)$ is ^a matrix proportional to the identity with $pnp.$ factor being the phase $\phi_R(t) = \frac{\tau_R(t)}{\tau_R(I)}$
	- The Wilson line then transforms as
		- $W_R(Y) \mapsto \phi_R(q) W_R(Y)$
			- with $g = \bigcup_{U : \text{the}} U_{ij}$ \in 2 (b u_{ij} ng $\neq \emptyset$
		- \Rightarrow $W_R(\gamma)$ are line op.15 changed under the 1-form sym $Z(G)^{(1)}$.

• For
$$
G = SU(N)
$$
 $Z(G) = \mathbb{Z}_N$ and
\n $W_R(\gamma) \mapsto e^{2\pi i q} W_{\gamma}(\gamma)$
\nwith $a = 0, 1, ..., N-1$ black \mathbb{Z}_N graph-ellw. and
\n $q = 0, ..., N-1$ is W_R N-elly of the rep.

Theer exist a superace of (22) that will be the general of a

\n
$$
\mathcal{U}(\Sigma_1) \quad \text{that} \quad \text{will be the general of a}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{Z}_N \quad \text{one-form symmetry } ; \text{ in fact}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{U}(\Sigma_1) \cup \mathcal{U}_N(\Upsilon) \cup \mathcal{V}_N \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}_N(\Upsilon) \cup \mathcal{V}_N \quad \text{then } \text{out to}
$$
\n
$$
\text{differ by a factor } e^{2\pi i \Sigma_1 \cdot \text{link}(\Sigma_2 \cap \Upsilon)}
$$

. Why z_N instead of a continuous sym. like in abelian gauge theories?

Let's remember how 1-form gym works:

If we now add chayed fields $\phi(x)$ with charge q there will be gauge invariant lines that can end on the location x of the chayed opprettors in fact the gauge transf. on the extremum x of the WL is compensated by the gauge troung. of $\phi(\kappa)$

Wilson lines corresponding to probes with chayes $\notin q\mathbb{Z}$ c annot end on ϕ (x) and have in feet a non trivial transformation under 79

In Maxwell theory there is no chayed field, they WL for all probes have non-trivial $U(1)^\circ$ transformation

- Havever, in YM there are ADJOINT FIELDS, i.e. the gluons gauge bosons Probes in the adjoint rep produces WL that can end on the location of an adjoint field i then one can unlist the E from the line and the corresponding WL must have zero change Only weights in that an not in $\Lambda_{\text{root}}(g)$ give WL transforming $m-$ trivially \sim s \mathbb{Z}_N -sym