Image Processing for Physicists

Prof. Pierre Thibault
pthibault@units.it

Tomography



Overview

- Fundamentals of tomography
  - Physics & geometry
- Analytic formulation
  - Radon transform
  - Filtered back-projection
- Algebraic formulation

Examples of tomographic imaging

Computed (X-ray) Tomography (CT)







1974, 80x80 pixels





2000, 512x512 pixels, spiral CT

source: W. Kalender, Publicis, 3rd ed. 2011

Examples of tomographic imaging

Positron emission tomography (PET) + CT











Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT)

Examples of tomographic imaging

Seismic tomography



source: Sambridge et al. G3 Vol.4 Nr.3 (2003)

Quantum state tomography



Examples of tomographic imaging

Ultrasonography/tomography (US/UST)

Magnetic resonance imaging/tomography (MRI/MRT)



Reconstructions from projections

Reconstruction of volume from projections



Digitization into voxels

3D equivalent of "pixel"





source: W. Kalender, Publicis, 3rd ed. 2011

Principles of X-ray CT



sample → index of refraction ≠ 1

for x-rays: $n(\vec{r}) = 1 - \delta(\vec{r}) + i\beta(\vec{r})$

real part — imaginary part

$$\psi = \psi_0 \exp\left(ik \int (n-1)\, dz\right)$$

$$|\psi|^2 = |\psi_0|^2 \exp\left(-2k \int \beta(\vec{r})\, dz\right)$$

attenuation of the incident wave

$\mu = 2k\beta$ = linear attenuation coefficient

$$I = I_0 \exp\left(-\int \mu\, dz\right) \quad \leftarrow \text{Beer-Lambert law}$$

$$-\ln\left(I/I_0\right) = \int \mu\, dz$$

source: W. Kalender, Publicis, 3rd ed. 2011

Radon transform

Rotated coordinate system

$$\begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

Radon transform

$$p(\theta, r) = \int f(x = r\cos\theta - s\sin\theta,\ y = s\cos\theta + r\sin\theta)\, ds$$



Radon transform is a linear transformation

measured: $p(\theta, r)$

problem: recover f from p → inverse Radon transform

warning $(\theta, r) \neq$ polar coordinates (r can be negative)

Sinogram

Representation of projection measured by a single detector line as a function of angle



The Fourier slice theorem

$p(\theta, r)$

$\mathcal{F}_{r \to w}\{p(\theta, r)\} = F(u = w\cos\theta, v = w\sin\theta)$

$F(u,v) = \mathcal{F}\{f(x,y)\}$



Frequency space sampling

Change of sampling grid from polar to rectangular requires interpolation







a



b

block circles: from measurements

white circles: required for inverse F.T.

⇒ tricky

Filtered back-projection

$$f(x,y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{F(u,v)\}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u,v)\, e^{2\pi i(ux+vy)}\, du\, dv$$

polar coordinates

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi}\int_{0}^{\infty} F(w\cos\theta, w\sin\theta)\, e^{2\pi i(w\cos\theta x + w\sin\theta y)}\, w\, dw\, d\theta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(w\cos\theta, w\sin\theta)\, e^{2\pi i w(\cos\theta x + \sin\theta y)}\, |w|\, dw\, d\theta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\pi}\underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}\{p(\theta,r)\}\, e^{2\pi i w(x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)}\, |w|\, dw}_{r \to w}\, d\theta$$

filtered sinogram





Filtered back-projection

$$p'(\theta, r) = \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{r' \to w} \{ p(\theta, r') \} e^{2\pi i w r} |w| \, dw}_{\text{filtering operation}}$$



$$f(x,y) = \int_0^{\pi} p'(\theta, r = x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta) \, d\theta$$

→ smear the function over the whole plane



→ "back-projection"

Recipe: 1) filter sinogram (ramp filter = "Ram-Lack")

2) back-project for each angle.

Filtered back-projection

- Filter can be tuned to achieve image enhancement
- Trade-off between noise and sharpness



#1 ram-lak (ramp)

#2 Shepp-Logan

#3 cosine

#4 Hamming



smoothing standard edge enhanced

Medical CT

- Mathematical methods developed by Allan M. Cormack in the early 60s.
- First clinically useful CT instrument developed by Godfrey Hounsfield in the early 70s.
- Cormack & Hounsfield were awarded the Nobel prize in 1979 “for the development of computer assisted tomography”.

Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive.
Allan M. Cormack

Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive.
Godfrey N. Hounsfield

Hounsfield units



Geometries

pencil beam (1970)



1st generation: translation / rotation

partial fan beam (1972)



2nd generation: translation / rotation



fan beam (1976)



3rd generation: continuous rotation

fan beam (1978)



4th generation: continuous rotation



source: Kalender, Publicis, 3rd ed. 2011

CT systems


X Ray Tube
Cooling Unit
Filter
Collimator
Detection System

CT systems

- 3rd generation scanners:
  - 1 X-ray source
  - 1D detector
  - *Fan beam* geometry
  - Total scan time less than 5 second.



CT systems

- Recent scanners: 2D detectors (cone beam)



NxT	1x5mm	4x1mm	16x0.75mm	64x0.6mm	320x0.5mm
t_{rot}	0.75s	0.5s	0.42s	0.33s	0.27s
year	1995	1998	2001	2004	2008

CT systems

Anti-scatter



CT systems

Helical ~~Spiral~~ CT



Algebraic formulation

Tomography can be formulated as a set of linear equations



unknowns

measured

$A x = b$

$f_1 + f_2 = p_4$

$f_1 + f_3 = p_1$

$f_2 + f_4 = p_2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \\ p_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

weights

source: Buzug, Springer, 1st ed. 2008

Weighting coefficients

Weighting measures:

- Logic

0 or 1

- Area

a_{ij}

- Path length
- Distance to pixel center



Differences in calculation effort, smoothness, noise sensitivity, ...

source: Buzug, Springer, 1st ed. 2008

System Matrix

in general:

- made of entries between 0 and 1
- sparse





system matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 13 \\ 2 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

source: Buzug, Springer, 1st ed. 2008

Matrix (pseudo)-inversion

Tomographic reconstruction = linear system inversion



$T \simeq 10^6$ entries

$S \simeq 10^6$ entries

$M \simeq 10^6 \times 10^6$

$T = M^{-1} S$

$M^{-1} = (M^T M)^{-1} M^T$

Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse

Iterative methods:

- ART Algebraic reconstruction technique
- SART Simultaneous algebraic reconstruction technique
- SIRT Simultaneous iterative reconstruction technique
- MART Multiplicative algebraic reconstruction technique
- MLEM Maximum likelihood expectation maximization
- OSEM Ordered subset expectation maximization
- ... and many, many more

FBP vs algebraic methods

Filtered backprojection 100% dose

iterative 40% dose



source: Kachelries, http://www.dkfz.de/en/medphysrad/workinggroups/ct/ct_conference_contributions/BasicsOfCTImageReconstruction_Part2.pdf

Iterative methods



Iterative methods

Abbreviation	Meaning	
ART	Algebraic reconstruction technique	Gordon et al. 1970
SART	Simultaneous ART	Anderson & Kak, 1984
SIRT	Simultaneous iterative reconstruction technique	Gilbert 1972
OS-SIRT	Ordered subset SIRT	Gordon et al. 1970
MART	Multiplicative algebraic reconstruction technique	
ML-EM	Maximum likelihood expectation-maximization	Lange & Carson 1984
OS-EM	Ordered subset expectation-maximization	Manglos et al 1995
OSC	Ordered subset convex algorithm	Kamphuis & Beekman 1998 Erdogan & Fessler 1999
ICD	Iterative coordinate descent	
OS-ICD	Ordered subset ICD	...
MBIR	Model-based iterative reconstruction	

Image quality

Signal to noise



Artifacts

Artifacts

Ring artifacts: caused by damaged or miscalibrated detector pixels.



Artifacts

Beam hardening: deviation from the exponential law caused by the attenuation of a broad spectrum (thicker objects seem more transmissive than they should)





Artifacts

"Missing wedge": caused by an incomplete sinogram

(also called limited angle tomography)



Artifacts

"Missing wedge": promising results using machine learning



Artifacts

Photon starvation: strongly absorbing features discard useful signal for the reconstruction of nearby areas

$$p = -\ln\left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right)$$

Tomographic Display





source: http://wikipedia.org

source: W. Kalender, Publicis, 3rd ed. 2011

Volume rendering display



source: Kalender, Publicis, 3rd ed. 2011

Summary

- Computed tomography: reconstruction from projections
- Analytic approach:
  - Projections and tomographic slices are related by the Fourier slice theorem
  - Standard algorithm uses filtered back-projection
- Algebraic approach:
  - Tomography as a system of linear equations
  - Iterative methods are used for large matrix inversions
  - More powerful but computationally more costly
- Imperfect data leads to artifacts