

Suppose now that we want to perturb the system so the induce a spin-flip transition. Physically, since the interaction Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\text{SB}}$  is proportional to  $\hat{\sigma}_z$ , then opposite contributions arise when the system is in  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ . Thus, by making the system change fast between  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ , one can average out the contributions from  $\hat{H}_{\text{SB}}$ , effectively decoupling the system from the environment.

Specifically, we will consider a modified Hamiltonian reading

$$\hat{H}_0 \rightarrow \hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_P(t), \quad (8.42)$$

where the Hamiltonian perturbation  $\hat{H}_P(t)$  can be implemented via a monocromatic alternating magnetic field applied at the resonance. Its explicit form we consider is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_P(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{n_P} V^{(n)}(t) \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_x \cos[\omega_0(t - t_P^{(n)})] + \hat{\sigma}_y \sin[\omega_0(t - t_P^{(n)})] \right\}, \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{n_P} V^{(n)}(t) \left( \hat{\sigma}_+ e^{i\omega_0(t - t_P^{(n)})} + \hat{\sigma}_- e^{-i\omega_0(t - t_P^{(n)})} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8.43)$$

with  $n_P$  being the number of pulses,  $t_P^{(n)}$  is the time at which the pulse is switched on every  $\Delta t$ , namely

$$t_P^{(n)} = t_0 + n\Delta t, \quad \text{with } n \in \{1, \dots, n_P\}. \quad (8.44)$$

Finally, the switch of the impulse is determined by  $V^{(n)}(t)$ , which is defined as

$$V^{(n)}(t) = \begin{cases} V, & \text{for } t \in [t_P^{(n)}, t_P^{(n)} + \tau_P], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (8.45)$$

where  $\tau_P$  is the duration time of the pulses.

The exact dynamics with respect to the modified Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}(t)$  cannot be solved. However, we can assume that during the pulses the contribution of  $\hat{H}_{\text{SB}}$  is negligible and we completely neglect it. Then, the dynamics becomes piecewise, alternating  $\hat{H}_{\text{SB}}$  to  $\hat{H}_P$ .

As for the unperturbed case, we tackle the problem in the interaction picture. Namely, the effective Hamiltonian becomes

$$\hat{H}^{(1)}(t) = \hat{H}_0^{(1)}(t) + \hat{H}_P^{(1)}(t), \quad (8.46)$$

where  $\hat{H}_0^{(1)}(t)$  is shown in (8.5) and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_P^{(1)}(t) &= \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} (\hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_B) \right] \hat{H}_P(t) \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} (\hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_B) \right], \\ &= e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2} \sum_{n=1}^{n_P} V^{(n)}(t) \left( \hat{\sigma}_+ e^{i\omega_0(t - t_P^{(n)})} + \hat{\sigma}_- e^{-i\omega_0(t - t_P^{(n)})} \right) e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.47)$$

However, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2} \hat{\sigma}_- e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2} &= e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2} |0\rangle \langle 1| e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2}, \\ &= e^{i\omega_0 t} |0\rangle \langle 1|, \\ &= e^{i\omega_0 t} \hat{\sigma}_-, \end{aligned} \quad (8.48)$$

and similarly

$$e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2} \hat{\sigma}_+ e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2} = e^{-i\omega_0 t} \hat{\sigma}_+. \quad (8.49)$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_P^{(1)}(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{n_P} V^{(n)}(t) \left( \hat{\sigma}_+ e^{-i\omega_0 t_P^{(n)}} + \hat{\sigma}_- e^{i\omega_0 t_P^{(n)}} \right), \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{n_P} V^{(n)}(t) e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2} \hat{\sigma}_x e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{8.50}$$

where we exploited that  $\hat{\sigma}_+ + \hat{\sigma}_- = \hat{\sigma}_x$ . Notably, the only time dependence is in  $V^{(n)}(t)$ , but it is only formal as one can see from Eq. (8.45). Then, when considering the corresponding unitary, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{V}}_n^{(1)}(\tau_P) &= \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_P^{(n)}}^{t_P^{(n)} + \tau_P} ds \hat{H}_P^{(1)}(s) \right), \\
&= \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} V e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2} \hat{\sigma}_x e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2} \tau_P \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.51}$$

By Taylor expanding

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{V}}_n^{(1)}(\tau_P) &= \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} V e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2} \hat{\sigma}_x e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2} \tau_P \right)^k, \\
&= e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2} \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} V \hat{\sigma}_x \tau_P \right)^k e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2}, \\
&= e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} V \hat{\sigma}_x \tau_P} e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.52}$$

We finally fix  $V$  and  $\tau_P$  so to have an actual bit-flip. This is provided by setting

$$\frac{V \tau_P}{\hbar} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{8.53}$$

which gives

$$e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} V \hat{\sigma}_x \tau_P} = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2} \hat{\sigma}_x} = -i \hat{\sigma}_x. \tag{8.54}$$

Notably, we can consider the limit of the time pulses that go to zero, i.e.  $\tau_P \rightarrow 0$ , as long as  $V \rightarrow \infty$  and Eq. (8.53) holds. Since from here  $V$  does not appear explicitly, this will only simplify the calculations.

Then, we have that

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}_n^{(1)}(\tau_P) = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_n^{(1)} = -i e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2} \hat{\sigma}_x e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}/2}. \tag{8.55}$$

By considering that the following relation holds

$$e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2} = \cos(\omega_0 t/2) \hat{1} - i \sin(\omega_0 t/2) \hat{\sigma}_z, \tag{8.56}$$

and the anticommutation relation  $\{\hat{\sigma}_x, \hat{\sigma}_z\} = 0$ , we have that

$$\hat{\sigma}_x e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2} = e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t/2} \hat{\sigma}_x. \tag{8.57}$$

It follows that one can write the operator  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_n^{(1)}$  in two equivalent ways:

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}_n^{(1)} = -i e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}} \hat{\sigma}_x = -i \hat{\sigma}_x e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_P^{(n)}}. \tag{8.58}$$

Let us now consider the time evolution of the first entire cycle of spin-flips: this is from time  $t_0$  through time  $t_P^{(1)}$  when the spin flips the first time, to time  $t_P^{(2)}$  when the spin flips back to the original spin state. In particular, we define this latter time as  $t_1 = t_0 + 2\Delta t$ . The unitary dynamics from  $t_0$  to  $t_1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\text{P}}^{(1)}(t_0, t_1) &= \hat{\mathcal{V}}_2^{(1)} \hat{U}^{(1)}(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^{(1)} \hat{U}^{(1)}(t_0, t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}), \\ &= \left[ \hat{\mathcal{V}}_2^{(1)} \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^{(1)} \right] \left[ \left( \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \hat{U}^{(1)}(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^{(1)} \right] \hat{U}^{(1)}(t_0, t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}),\end{aligned}\quad (8.59)$$

where, up to a unimportant phase, we have

$$\hat{U}^{(1)}(t_\alpha, t_\beta) = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \sum_k \left( \hat{b}_k^\dagger e^{i\omega_k t_\alpha} \xi_k(t_\beta - t_\alpha) - \hat{b}_k e^{-i\omega_k t_\alpha} \xi_k^*(t_\beta - t_\alpha) \right) \right]. \quad (8.60)$$

The first square parenthesis in the last line of Eq. (8.59) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{V}}_2^{(1)} \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^{(1)} &= \left( -i e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}} \hat{\sigma}_x \right) \left( -i \hat{\sigma}_x e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}} \right), \\ &= -e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z (t_{\text{P}}^{(2)} - t_{\text{P}}^{(1)})}.\end{aligned}\quad (8.61)$$

Similarly, the second square parenthesis in the last line of Eq. (8.59) can be rewritten as

$$\left( \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \hat{U}^{(1)}(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^{(1)} = e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}} \hat{\sigma}_x \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{B}(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) \right] \hat{\sigma}_x e^{-i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}} \quad (8.62)$$

where  $B(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) = \sum_k \left( \hat{b}_k^\dagger e^{i\omega_k t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}} \xi_k(\Delta t) - \hat{b}_k e^{-i\omega_k t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}} \xi_k^*(\Delta t) \right)$ . Here, we Taylor expand the central exponential, which gives

$$\exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{B}(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) \right] = \sum_l \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{B}(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) \right)^l. \quad (8.63)$$

We divide the contributions to the sum in those with even and odd values of  $l$ . For even values, we have  $\hat{\sigma}_z^l = \hat{\sigma}_z^{2l'}$ , where  $l = 2l'$ ; then  $\hat{\sigma}_z^l = \hat{1} = (-\hat{\sigma}_z)^l$ . For odd values of  $l$ , we have  $\hat{\sigma}_z^l = \hat{\sigma}_z^{2l'+1}$ , where  $l = 2l' + 1$ ; then  $\hat{\sigma}_z^l = -\hat{\sigma}_z$ . But more specifically, we also have that  $\hat{\sigma}_x \hat{\sigma}_z^l \hat{\sigma}_x = \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{\sigma}_x = -\hat{\sigma}_z = -\hat{\sigma}_z^l$ . Thus, it follows that

$$\left( \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \hat{U}^{(1)}(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^{(1)} = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{B}(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) \right]. \quad (8.64)$$

Thus, we have that Eq. (8.59) reads

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\text{P}}^{(1)}(t_0, t_1) = -e^{i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z (t_{\text{P}}^{(2)} - t_{\text{P}}^{(1)})} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{B}(t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}, t_{\text{P}}^{(2)}) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{B}(t_0, t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}) \right], \quad (8.65)$$

and can be recasted as

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\text{P}}^{(1)}(t_0, t_1) = \exp \left[ i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z (t_{\text{P}}^{(2)} - t_{\text{P}}^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \sum_k \left( \hat{b}_k^\dagger e^{i\omega_k t_0} \eta_k(\Delta t) - \hat{b}_k e^{-i\omega_k t_0} \eta_k^*(\Delta t) \right) \right], \quad (8.66)$$

where we neglected the overall unimportant phase and we defined

$$\eta_k(\Delta t) = \xi_k(\Delta t) (1 - e^{i\omega_k \Delta t}). \quad (8.67)$$

Now, the full evolution from time  $t_0$  to time  $t_N$  after  $N$  entire cycles of spin-flip is simply given by

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\text{P}}^{(1)}(t_{n-1}, t_n) = \exp \left[ i\omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z (t_N - t_0) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \sum_k \left( \hat{b}_k^\dagger \sum_n e^{i\omega_k t_0} \eta_k(N, \Delta t) - \hat{b}_k \sum_n e^{-i\omega_k t_0} \eta_k^*(N, \Delta t) \right) \right], \quad (8.68)$$

where we introduced

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_k(N, \Delta t) &= e^{-i\omega_k t_0} \sum_n e^{i\omega_k t_{n-1}} \eta_k(\Delta t), \\
&= \eta_k(\Delta t) \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2i\omega_k \Delta t(n-1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.69}$$

Such an evolution is to be compared to that with no pulses on the same time period. This is given by Eq. (8.60) where one substitutes  $t_\alpha \rightarrow t_0$  and  $t_\beta \rightarrow t_N$ . Then, since  $t_N - t_0 = 2N\Delta t$ , we have

$$\hat{U}^{(1)}(t_0, t_N) = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \sum_k \left( \hat{b}_k^\dagger e^{i\omega_k t_0} \xi_k(2N\Delta t) - \hat{b}_k e^{-i\omega_k t_0} \xi_k^*(2N\Delta t) \right) \right]. \tag{8.70}$$

Notably, the expressions in Eq. (8.68) and Eq. (8.70) have a similar structure, with the important difference being the factor  $\eta_k(N, \Delta t)$  substituted with  $\xi_k(2N\Delta t)$ . Thus, the decohering factor  $\Gamma(t_0, t_N)$  will take a suitably modified expression as that in Eq. (8.39), namely

$$\Gamma(t_0, t_N) = \sum_k \frac{|e^{i\omega_k t_0} \eta_k(N, \Delta t)|^2}{2} \coth \left( \frac{\beta \hbar \omega_k}{2} \right). \tag{8.71}$$

We now compare the difference between these two factors:

$$\eta_k(N, \Delta t) - \xi_k(2N\Delta t) = \eta_k(\Delta t) \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2i\omega_k \Delta t(n-1)} - \xi_k(2\Delta t) \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2i\omega_k \Delta t(n-1)}, \tag{8.72}$$

where we exploited the composition of the  $\xi_k$  terms. Then, by considering that

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_k(\Delta t)(1 + e^{i\omega_k \Delta t}) &= \frac{2g_k}{\omega_k} (1 - e^{i\omega_k \Delta t})(1 + e^{i\omega_k \Delta t}), \\
&= \frac{2g_k}{\omega_k} (1 - e^{2i\omega_k \Delta t}), \\
&= \xi_k(2\Delta t),
\end{aligned} \tag{8.73}$$

and the definition of  $\eta_k(\Delta t)$  in Eq. (8.67), we obtain

$$\eta_k(N, \Delta t) - \xi_k(2N\Delta t) = -2\xi_k(\Delta t) e^{i\omega_k \Delta t} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2i\omega_k \Delta t(n-1)}. \tag{8.74}$$

Equivalently, we have

$$\eta_k(N, \Delta t) = \xi_k(2N\Delta t) (1 - f_k(N, \Delta t)), \tag{8.75}$$

where

$$f_k(N, \Delta t) = 2 \frac{\xi_k(\Delta t)}{\xi_k(2N\Delta t)} e^{i\omega_k \Delta t} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2i\omega_k \Delta t(n-1)}. \tag{8.76}$$

By exploiting the geometric series and the definition of  $\xi_k$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k(N, \Delta t) &= 2 \frac{(1 - e^{i\omega_k \Delta t})}{(1 - e^{2i\omega_k N \Delta t})} e^{i\omega_k \Delta t} \frac{(1 - e^{2i\omega_k N \Delta t})}{(1 - e^{2i\omega_k \Delta t})}, \\
&= 2 \frac{(1 - e^{i\omega_k \Delta t})}{(1 - e^{2i\omega_k \Delta t})} e^{i\omega_k \Delta t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.77}$$

Finally, by taking the limit of dense pulses, i.e.  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} f_k(N, \Delta t) = 1, \tag{8.78}$$

which means that under the same limit we have

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \eta_k(N, \Delta t) = 0. \quad (8.79)$$

As a consequence, the decoherence factor vanishes:  $\Gamma(t_0, t_N) \rightarrow 0$ . Namely, the decohering effect of the environment on the system is cancelled. Effectively, one has a (dynamical) decoupling of the system from its environment.