

272SM: Artificial Intelligence

Programming with Prolog







Instructor: Tatjana Petrov

University of Trieste, Italy

Today

 Six lessons about problem solving and search with Prolog (https://staff.fnwi.uva.nl/u.endriss/teaching/pss/slides/pss-prolog-slides.pdf)

• Examples:

- Who is bigger? Who is related? Is Socrates mortal?
- Lists: length, reversal; Checking if a word is a palindrome
- Computing a Fibonacci sequence
- Solving Sudoku, N-Queens

Prolog examples: Who is bigger? (basic syntax)

```
/* Facts (Clauses) */
bigger(elephant, horse).
bigger(horse, donkey).
bigger(donkey, dog).
bigger(donkey, monkey).
/* Rule (Clauses) */
is_bigger(X, Y) :- bigger(X,Y).
is_bigger(X, Y) :- bigger(X,Z), is_bigger(Z,Y).
/* SYNTAX
* Atoms: elephant, xYZ, a_123, 'How are you today?'
* Variables: X, Elephant, _G177, MyVariable
* Compound terms: is_bigger(horse, X), f(g(Alpha, _), 7), 'My Function' (dog)
* Facts
```

Prolog examples: Family relations (matching)

```
/* Matching using a built-in equality predicate born(mary, yorkshire) = born(mary, X). f(a, g(X, Y)) = f(X, Z), Z = g(W, h(X)). p(X, Z, Z) = p(1, Y, X). p(\_, Z, Z) = p(1, Y, \_). \text{ anonymous variable} */
mortal(X) :- man(X). man(socrates). aunt(X, Z) :- sister(X, Y), parent(Y, Z).
```

How Prolog works: Unification

- To understand how prolog's computation works we have to understand unification.
- Two predicates q(t1, t2, ..., tk) and p(s1, s2, ..., sn) can be unified (MATCHED) if and only if
 - 1. q is the same symbol as p.
 - 2. k = n, i.e. they both have the same number of arguments.
 - 3. For each i (1,...,n) terms ti and si can be unified.
- The built in predicate '=' tests if two terms are unifiable.

How Prolog works: Unification of two terms

- Unifying two terms ti and si
 - If both are constants, then they can be unified only if they are identical.
 - If one is an unbound variable X, then we can unify the two terms by binding X to the other term (i.e., we set X's value to be the other term).
 - E.g., X = f(a) → yes X is bound to the value f(a).
 - If one or both are bound variables then we have to try to unify the values the variables are bound to
 - E.g. X = f(a), Y = X → first X is bound to the value f(a), then when we try to unify Y with X, X is bound so we must Y with X's value, so Y also becomes bound to f(a).
 - If ti = f(x1,x2, ..., xk) and si = g(y1, y2, ..., ym) then ti and si can be unified if and only if
 - f is identical to g.
 - k = m (both functions take the same number of arguments).
 - xi and yi can be recursively unified for all i = 1...m

Solving queries

- How Prolog works:
 - Unification
 - Goal-Directed Reasoning
 - Rule-Ordering
 - DFS and backtracking
- When given a query Q = q1, q2, ..., qn Prolog performs a search in an attempt to solve this query. The search can be specified as follows

Example

•Route finding in in a directed acyclic graph:

```
edge(a,b).
edge(a,c).
edge(b,d).
edge(d,e).
```

```
path(X,Y) :- path(X,Z), edge(Z,Y).
path(X,Y) :- edge(X,Y).
```

- •The above is problematic. Why?
- •Here is the correct solution:

```
path(X,Y) := edge(X,Y).

path(X,Y) := edge(X,Z), path(Z,Y).
```

Unification examples

• Which of the followings are unifiable:

		Bindings	
X	f(a,b)	X=f(a,b)	
f(X,a)	g(X,a)		
3	2+1	Use is to evaluate	
book(X,1)	book(Z)		
[1,2,3]	[X Y]	X=1, Y=[2,3]	
[a,b,X]	[Y [3,4]]		
[a X]	[X Y]	X=a Y=a improper list	
X(a,b)	f(Z,Y)		
[X Y Z]	[a,b,c,d]	X=a. Y=b, Z=[c,d]	

Prolog examples: List concatenation

```
/% Lists */
X = [a, b, c].
/* MyList = [1,2,3,4,5], MyList = [1,_|Rest].*/
/* concatenation concat_lists([1, 2, 3, 4], [dog, cow, tiger], L).*/
concat_lists([], List, List).
concat_lists([Elem|List1], List2, [Elem|List3]) :- concat_lists(List1, List2, List3).
length([tiger, donkey, cow, tiger], N). % built-in operator
member(tiger, [dog, tiger, elephant, horse]).
concat_lists(Begin, End, [1, 2, 3]).
                                         show(List) :-
                                            member(Element, List),
Begin = []
                                                                                                          X is 3+5
                                                                                    len([], 0).
                                            write(Element),
End = [1, 2, 3];
                                                                                    len([_ | Tail], N) :-
Begin = [1]
                                            write(' '), % nl
                                                                                                          Vs.
                                                                                   len(Tail, N1),
End = [2, 3];
                                            false.
                                                                                    N is N1 + 1.
Begin = [1, 2]
                                                                                                          X = 3+5
End = [3];
Begin = [1, 2, 3]
                                         show([a,b,c]).
End = [];
```

No

Fibonacci, factorial

```
fibo(N, F) :-
         N \ge 2, N1 is N - 1, N2 is N - 2,
         fibo(N1, F1), fibo(N2, F2), F is F1 + F2.
fact(0,1). fact(1,1).
fact(N,F):-
  N>=2, N1 is N-1,
  fact(N1, F1), F is F1*N.
```

fibo(0, 0). fibo(1, 1).

```
fibo(0, 0). fibo(1, 1).

fibo(N, F) :-

N \ge 2,

N \le 1,


N \ge 1,

N \ge
```

What will we get here as output?

(solution: 1-2-1-3-1-2-5, show the tree of calls)

QUIZZ



Formulate PROLOG rules to capture the relationship of aunt_of(Aunt, Person) :-

Computing the maximum

```
%% Base case: the list has one element.
%% The maximum must be this element as there are no other elements.
%% which could be bigger.
max([Max],Max).
%% Two recursive clauses which compute the maximum of the tail and
%% then compare the result to the head.
%% First case: the head is greater than the maximum of the tail. The
%% head is the maximum of the whole list.
max([Head|Tail],Max) :- max(Tail,TailMax),
              Head > TailMax,
              Max = Head.
%% Second case: the head is smaller or equal to the maximum of the
%% tail. The maximum of the tail is the maximum of the whole list.
max([Head|Tail],Max) :- max(Tail,TailMax),
```

Head =< TailMax,

Max = TailMax.


Maze (Computing connections in a graph)


```
connected(1,2).
connected (3,4).
connected(5,6).
connected(7,8).
connected (9,10).
connected(12,13).
connected(13,14).
connected(15,16).
connected(17,18).
connected(19,20).
connected (4,1).
connected(6,3).
connected(4,7).
connected(6,11).
connected(14,9).
connected(11,15).
connected(16,12).
connected(14,17).
connected(16,19).
```


Write a predicate path/2 that tells you from which point in the maze you can get to which other point when chaining together connections given in the above knowledge base.

Now ask some queries. Can you get from point 5 to point 10?
Which other point can you get to when starting in point 1? And which points can be reached from point 13?


Task: Place N queens on an N×N chess board, so that none of the queens is under attack.








Representations





Prolog formulation

Let us use variables Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_N to represent the queens.

 Q_i is an integer, denoting the row of the queen in column i.

Since we are reasoning about integers, we use declarative integer arithmetic, also known as CLP(FD) or $CLP(\mathbb{Z})$ constraints:

https://www.metalevel.at/prolog/clpz

In particular, disequality of integers:

```
n queens(N, Qs) ⇔ Qs are N safe queens.
n queens(N, Qs) :-
        length(Qs, N),
        Qs ins 1..N,
        safe queens(Qs).
safe queens([]).
safe_queens([Q|Qs]) :-
        safe queens (Qs, Q),
        safe queens(Qs).
safe_queens_([], _).
safe_queens_([Q|Qs], Q0) :-
        Q \# = Q0
        safe queens (Qs, Q0).
```

Is this correct?



?- n_queens(_, [1,1]).
false. % correct

```
n \text{ queens}(N, Qs) \Leftrightarrow Qs \text{ are } N \text{ safe queens.}
n queens(N, Qs) :-
         length(Qs, N),
         Qs ins 1..N,
         safe queens(Qs).
safe queens([]).
safe_queens([Q|Qs]) :-
         safe queens (Qs, Q),
         safe queens(Qs).
safe_queens_([], _).
safe_queens_([Q|Qs], Q0) :-
         Q \# = Q0
         safe queens (Qs, Q0).
```

Is this correct?




?- n_queens(_, [1,1]).
false. % correct



```
?- n_queens(_, [1,2]).
true. % incorrect
```

```
n queens(N, Qs) ⇔ Qs are N safe queens.
n queens(N, Qs) :-
        length(Qs, N),
        Qs ins 1..N,
        safe queens(Qs).
safe queens([]).
safe queens([Q|Qs]) :-
        safe queens (Qs, Q, 1),
        safe queens(Qs).
safe_queens_([], _, _).
safe queens ([Q|Qs], QO, DO) :-
        Q \# = Q0
        abs(Q0 - Q) \# = D0,
        D \# = D0 + 1,
        safe queens (Qs, Q0).
```

Consider diagonal attacks



Q0 and Q, D0 columns apart, do not attack each other diagonally if:

$$abs(Q0 - Q) \# = D0$$

```
n queens(N, Qs) ⇔ Qs are N safe queens.
n queens(N, Qs) :-
        length(Qs, N),
        Qs ins 1..N,
        safe queens(Qs).
safe queens([]).
safe queens([Q|Qs]) :-
        safe queens (Qs, Q, 1),
        safe queens(Qs).
safe_queens_([], _, _).
safe queens ([Q|Qs], Q0, D0) :-
        Q \# = Q0
        abs(Q0 - Q) \# = D0,
        D \# = D0 + 1,
```

https://www.metalevel.at/queens/

safe queens (Qs, Q0, D).

Sample queries

```
?- n_queens(N, Qs).
N = 0,
Qs = [];
N = 1,
Qs = [1];
N = 2,
Qs = [A, B],
A in 1..2,
abs(A-B)#\=1,
B#\=A,
B in 1..2
```

```
n queens(N, Qs) ⇔ Qs are N safe queens.
n queens(N, Qs) :-
        length(Qs, N),
        Qs ins 1..N,
        safe queens(Qs).
safe queens([]).
safe queens([Q|Qs]) :-
        safe queens (Qs, Q, 1),
        safe queens(Qs).
safe_queens_([], _, _).
safe queens ([Q|Qs], Q0, D0):-
        Q \# = Q0
        abs(Q0 - Q) \# = D0
        D \# = D0 + 1,
        safe queens (Qs, Q0, D).
https://www.metalevel.at/queens/
```


Sample queries

```
?- n queens(N, Qs), false.
% waiting...
?- n queens(8, Qs), false.
false.
?- n queens(800, Qs), false.
false.
?- n_queens(N, [2,4,1,3]).
N = 4.
?- n queens(N, [2,4,C,D]).
```

Approach 1: "Generate and test"

```
?- N = 4,
  length(Qs, N),
  maplist(between(1,N), Qs),
  n_queens(N, Qs).
```


extremely inefficient, $\mathcal{O}(N^N)$



Approach 2: Early pruning

```
?- N = 20,
n_queens(N, Qs),
maplist(between(1,N), Qs).
```


This is a *significant* improvement over "generate and test".



Approach 3: Intelligent search

```
?- N = 4,
n_queens(N, Qs),
labeling([], Qs).
```

This is a **key attraction** of Prolog.



Labeling strategies

labeling(Options, Vs)
Options let you control
the search strategy:

- leftmost (default)
- **ff** "first fail"

			8		8
					7
					6 5
			5		5
	条				
					3
w					
					1

Labeling strategies

labeling(Options, Vs)
Options let you control
the search strategy:

- **leftmost** (default)
- ff "first fail"
- ffc most constrained
- . . .


These strategies are available as *predefined* strategies. You can of course also *implement* any strategy you want.

For flexibility, separate the core relation from labeling!


Summary

We have used the same program to implement 3 different approaches:


- 1) "generate and test"
 maplist(between(1,N), Qs), n queens(N, Qs)
- 2) early pruning
 n_queens(N, Qs), maplist(between(1,N), Qs)
- 3) intelligent search via constraint propagation and heuristics n_queens(N, Qs), labeling(Options, Qs)
- Prolog makes it easy to write slow and also fast solutions.



N=4



N=10




N=20,


2.144 CPUtime

(default labeling)

Solving N-Queens with Prolog: with labelling



N=40, 0.23CPU time (smart labeling)



N=100, 1.363CPU time (smart labeling)

Implementing Eliza (1964 ChatGPT ;-)) with Prolog

```
Welcome to
                   EEEEEE LL
                                  IIII
                                         ZZZZZZ
                                                 AAAAA
                   EE
                                  ΙI
                                        ZZ
                               II ZZZ
                   EEEEE
                                                 AAAAAA
                   EE
                                         ZZ
                                                      AA
                   EEEEEE LLLLLL IIII 777777
  Eliza is a mock Rogerian psychotherapist.
  The original program was described by Joseph Weizenbaum in 1966.
  This implementation by Norbert Landsteiner 2005.
ELIZA: Is something troubling you?
      Men are all alike.
YOU:
ELIZA: What is the connection, do you suppose?
      They're always bugging us about something or other.
ELIZA: Can you think of a specific example ?
YOU:
      Well, my boyfriend made me come here.
ELIZA: Is it important to you that your boyfriend made you come here?
YOU:
      He says I'm depressed much of the time.
ELIZA: I am sorry to hear that you are depressed.
      It's true. I am unhappy.
YOU:
ELIZA: Can you explain what made you unhappy?
YOU:
```

Eliza-like program with Prolog

```
eliza(+Stimuli, -Response) is det.
eliza(Stimuli, Response) :-
                                                             @param Stimuli is a list of atoms (words).
    template(InternalStimuli, InternalResponse),
                                                             @author Richard A. O'Keefe (The Craft of Prolog)
    match(InternalStimuli, Stimuli),
    match(InternalResponse, Response),
    ! .
template([s([i,am]),s(X)],[s([why,are,you]),s(X),w('?')]).
template([w(i), s(X), w(you)], [s([why, do, you]), s(X), w(me), w('?')]).
match([],[]).
match([Item | Items], [Word | Words]) :-
    match(Item, Items, Word, Words).
                                                             /** <examples>
match(w(Word), Items, Word, Words) :-
                                                             ?- eliza([i, am, very, hungry], Response).
    match(Items, Words).
                                                             ?- eliza([i, love, you], Response).
match(s([Word|Seg]), Items, Word, Words0) :-
    append(Seg, Words1, Words0),
                                                             */
    match(Items, Words1).
```

Resources

- https://www.metalevel.at/prolog/introduction
 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l_tbL9RjFdo for N-Queens)
- Sethi, Ravi. Programming languages concepts and constructs. Addison Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., 1996. (Chapter 11)
- https://cs.union.edu/~striegnk/courses/esslli04prolog/
- https://swish.swi-prolog.org/example/examples.swinb
- Prolog for data science https://emiruz.com/post/2023-04-30-prolog-for-data-science/
- Picat-lang.org

```
import cp.

queens(N, Q) =>
    Q = new_list(N),
    Q :: 1..N,
    all_different(Q),
    all_different([$Q[I]-I : I in 1..N]),
    all_different([$Q[I]+I : I in 1..N]),
    solve([ff],Q).
```