
## MULTICENTER TRIALS IN TTM






Erik Roman-Pognuz MD, PhD Associate Professor at University of Trieste, Italy Research fellow at University of Pittsburgh PA, USA

## DEFINITION Definition: Cardiac arrest is the sudden cessation of heart function, resulting in the loss of blood flow to the body. Characteristics: Loss of consciousness Absence of pulse Lack of breathing or abnormal gasping Distinction: Cardiac arrest differs from a heart attack (myocardial infarction), which is due to blocked blood flow, whereas cardiac arrest is an electrical malfunction.



# CARDIAC ARREST RHYTHMS Pulseless Electrical Activity (PEA) Survival to Hospital Discharge: Typically 2-10% Survival to Hospital Discharge: Approximately 20-40% Shockable Rhythms Incidence: Shockable rhythms are present in roughly 20-30% of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests (OHCA). Pulseless Ventricular Tachycardia (VT) Ventricular Fibrillation (VF)



## **PATHOPHYSIOLOGY** OF CARDIAC ARREST

## **Underlying Mechanism**:

Disruption in the electrical system of the heart, leading to abnormal heart rhythms.

## Types of Dysfunction:


- Shockable rhythms (ventricular fibrillation, pulseless ventricular tachycardia)
- Non-shockable rhythms (asystole, pulseless electrical activity)

## Effects on Body:

No circulation → Cellular hypoxia → Rapid cell death, particularly in the brain and heart

## Time Sensitivity:

Brain damage can occur within 4-6 minutes; irreversible damage within 10 minutes if untreated.



## **CAUSES OF CARDIAC ARREST**

## Shockable Rhythms:

Ventricular Fibrillation (VF): Chaotic, irregular electrical activity **Pulseless Ventricular Tachycardia (VT)**: Fast, ineffective contraction rhythm


**Causes:** Often linked to ischemic heart disease, electrolyte imbalances, drugs, and cardiomyopathy.


## Non-Shockable Rhythms:

Asystole: Complete lack of electrical activity ("flatline")

Pulseless Electrical Activity (PEA): Electrical impulses present, but no effective contraction

**Causes**: Severe hypoxia, acidosis, hypovolemia, tension pneumothorax, or trauma.





Feature	Ventricular Fibrillation (VF)	Torsades de Pointes (TdP)
QRS Complex	No discernible QRS complex	Polymorphic, twisting around baseline
Rhythm	Chaotic, disorganized	Polymorphic, irregular
Rate	Extremely fast (300–500 bpm, immeasurable)	Rapid (200–250 bpm)
QT Interval	Not measurable	Prolonged before onset
Pattern	Irregular waves with varying amplitude	"Twisting of points" morphology

# 5 H's Hypoxia - Insufficient oxygen levels in the blood, preventing effective tissue oxygenation. Hypovolemia - Loss of blood or fluid volume, often from trauma or dehydration. Hydrogen ions (Acidosis) - pH imbalance, often metabolic or respiratory acidosis. Hyperkalemia / Hypokalemia - Abnormal potassium levels affecting cardiac function. Hypothermia - Low body temperature that slows metabolic and cardiac function.

## 5H'S AND 5T'S

- 5 T'
- Tension pneumothorax Collapsed lung due to trapped air in the chest cavity, causing pressure on the heart.
- 2. Tamponade (cardiac) Fluid accumulation in the pericardium, compressing the heart and impeding function.
- **3. Toxins** Poisoning from drugs or chemicals that interfere with heart rhythm.
- 4. Thrombosis (pulmonary) Pulmonary embolism blocking blood flow in the lungs.
- 5. Thrombosis (coronary) Myocardial infarction from blocked coronary arteries, leading to cardiac arrest.





## Immediate Steps:

Bystander CPR: Emphasis on chest compressions and rapid intervention Defibrillation: For shockable rhythms (VF/VT), ideally within 3-5 minutes of collapse



## Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS):

Airway management, IV access, medication administration (e.g., epinephrine)
Continuous monitoring and pulse checks
Targeted temperature management post-ROSC
(Return of Spontaneous Circulation)



## Post-Resuscitation Care:

Neurological assessment, stabilization, and intensive care monitoring

## TREATMENT OF CARDIAC ARREST


## **PROGNOSIS AND OUTCOMES**

## · Factors Influencing Prognosis:

- · Time to CPR and defibrillation
- Initial rhythm (shockable rhythms have better outcomes)
- · Underlying health and cause of arrest

## · Neurological Outcomes:

- Rapid ROSC and temperature management critical for brain health
- Many survivors of IHCA experience favorable neurological recovery



## ACLS PROTOCOL AFTER CARDIAC ARREST

## Maintain Airway and Breathing:

- Use advanced airway if needed (endotracheal intubation).
- Provide 100% oxygen initially, then adjust to keep oxygen saturation >94%.
- Continuous waveform capnography to confirm and monitor placement.

## Optimize Circulation:

- Monitor blood pressure; target a systolic BP ≥90 mmHg.
- Administer IV fluids and vasopressors (e.g., norepinephrine or epinephrine) as needed.

## Monitor for Return of Spontaneous Circulation (ROSC)

## Confirm ROSC:

- · Pulse and blood pressure present
- \* Abrupt increase in end-tidal  ${\rm CO}_2$  (EtCO $_2$ )
- Spike in arterial pressure if an arterial line is in place

## Targeted Temperature Management (TTM)

## · Temperature Goa

- Maintain a core temperature between 32-36°C for 24 hours
- Helps reduce brain injury risk and improve neurological our comes

## Hemodynamic Support:

Keep MAP (mean arterial pressure) >65 mmHg to ensure adequate organ perfusion.

## Glucose Control:

Maintain blood glucose levels between 140-180 mg/dL.

## Assess Reversible Causes:

Re-evaluate **5H and 5T** causes to prevent recurrence.

CONTINUOUS MONITORING AND SUPPORT

## A CLOSER LOOK

## Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest (OHCA)

•Global incidence: ~55 per 100,000 person-years
•Survival to discharge: ~8.8% | 1-year survival: ~7.7%
•Key factors for better survival: Bystander CPR, EMS-

witnessed arrest

## In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest (IHCA)


•Incidence: I-1.5 per 1,000 admissions

•ROSC in ~53% | Discharge survival: ~23.6%

•Favorable neurological outcome in ~83% of survivors

## Regional Variations

- •Europe: Third leading cause of death; ongoing cross-country analysis
- •United States: ~326,000 OHCA and 209,000 IHCA cases annually
- •China: Incidence of sudden cardiac death at 41.8 per 100,000
- •South India: Incidence at 39.7 per 100,000



European Sudden Cardiac Arrest network: towards Prevention, Education and New Effective Treatments (ESCAPE-NET): A major European Horizon 2020 project focused on cardiac arrest 

Hance Lin, Nicklaich Eugen, Bernd Mößinge, Peter J Schward, #, \$520P-HIT investigation. Author House Company (1998) | Author H

## **GLOBAL DISPARITIES**


- •Survival and incidence vary by healthcare infrastructure, socioeconomic factors, bystander CPR availability, and access to defibrillators
- •Higher incidence and lower survival rates in economically deprived areas


## Higher Survival Rates:

- •Norway: Reports a survival rate of approximately 25% for out-of-hospital cardiac arrests (OHCA).
- •Netherlands: Achieves a survival rate of around 21% for OHCA.


## Lower Survival Rates:

- •Spain: Records a survival rate of about 6% for OHCA.
- •Italy: Exhibits a survival rate of approximately 5% for OHCA.



















## THE CURRENT CHALLENGES OF CARDIAC ARREST


Out of hospital and Post cardiac arrest management


















## ORIGINAL ARTICLE


Treatment of Comatose Survivors of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest with Induced Hypothermia


Shiphen A. Bernard, M.B., B.S., Firnothy W. Cary, M.B., B.S., Michael D. Buist, M.B., B.S., Bruce M. Jones, M.B., B.S., William Silvester, M.B., B.S., Geoff Culteridge, M.B., B.S., and Karen Smith, B.Sc.
Hengl J. Med. 2002, 346.557.561 [Petaburg 21, 2002.


Mild Therapeutic Hypothermia to Improve the Neurologic Outcome after Cardiac Arrest

The Hypothermia after Cardiac Arrest Study Group N Engl J Med 2002; 346:549,556 | February 21, 2002














## ILCOR Recommandations



Therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest.


An advisory statement by the Advanced Life Support Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation\* Jerry P. Nolan \*\*, Peter T. Morley b, Terry L. Vanden Hoek \*, Robert W. Hickey 4,1,
ALS Task Force 2

## ILCOR Recommendations

On the basis of the published evidence to date, the Advanced Life Support (ALS) Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) made the following recommendations in October 2002:


- Unconscious adult patients with spontaneous circulation after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest should be cooled to 32°C to 34°C for 12 to 24 hours when the initial rhythm was ventricular fibrillation (VT).

  Such cooling may also be beneficial for other rhythms or










## INCREASED RISK OF:


- Infection
- Arrhythmia
- Hemodinamic failure
- Seizures
- Major bleeding
- Delayed weaning















ses than or equal tie 5 years 9 128 8 2525 1 1 1 10 10 4 1 130 1	iubgroup N		Target 36 °C tal no. of patients	Hazard Ratio 95% CI		Test of interaction
More Pauli Sylvens  More Pauli Sylvens  1 4/205	Age					P = 0.62
Dender	Less than or equal to 65 years					
Fremule 4780 5599 1.14 (0.77, 169) Time from cardial entest to ROSD 1.14 (0.77, 169) Time from c	More than 65 years	144/235	140/216	1.01 [0.80, 1.28]		
Fremule 4780 5599 1.14 (0.77, 169) Time from cardial entest to ROSD 1.14 (0.77, 169) Time from c	Contract Con					0-075
Marke		47/90	cone	1 14 10 77 1 601		P = 0.75
Time from cardiac arrests to ROSC						
Less than for equal to 2 mm 7 2/4.0 86.024 1 98 (2.64)	many	100/390	170/300	1.01 [0.07, 1.02]		
More hand 20 inn	Time from cardiac arrest to ROS	С				P = 0.20
Initial rilythm  P = 6.92  Non-decidable 82:06 74.88 1.06 [0.79, 1.68]  Shock at administion  P = 6.92  Shock at administion  P = 6.97  P = 6.97  P = 6.99	Less than or equal to 25 min	79/243	86/241	0.92 [0.68, 1.24]		
Non-decidable 12/26 7488 1 (10 (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	More than 25 min	156/230	138/224	1.20 [0.96, 1.50]		
Non-decidable 12/26 7488 1 (10 (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (5) (2) 74 (4) (5) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4						
Shookate					l.	P = 0.92
Shock at adminsion						
Not present 183/402 180/198 1 0.3 [0.83, 1.28] Present 52/70 4467 1.35 [0.90, 2.00]  P = 0.19  Two largest sides 50/110 40/108 1.33 [0.87, 2.03]	Shockable	153/3/5	150(377	1.06 [0.84, 1.34]	l'	
Present \$2/70 4487 1.35 [0.96, 2.05]	Shock at admission					P = 0.17
Present \$270 4467 1.35 [0.90, 2.03]  Bite category P = 0.19 P = 0.	Not present	183/402	180/398	1.03 [0.83, 1.28]	-	
Two largest sites 50/110 40/108 1.33 [0.87, 2.03]	Present	52/70	44/67			
Two largest sites 50/110 40/108 1.33 [0.87, 2.03]						
						P = 0.19
Sites except two largest 185/363 185/358 1.02 [0.83, 1.25]						
	Sites except two largest	185/363	185/358	1.02 [0.83, 1.25]		
TIM-Trial	TTM-Trial					
	All patients	235/473	225,466	1.06.01.89 1.281		
a passino 200410 220400 1.00 (0.00, 1.20)	ra passino	200/4/0	220,400	1.00 [0.00, 1.20]		
0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2					J. J. J. J. J. J.	
0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2 33 "C better 36 "C better					0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2	

















Seven predictor variables resulting in a final score ranging from 0 to 10 were used in the final model and it was named MIRACLE<sub>2</sub>





## What TTM I & TTM2 trials did show?

- Strictly controlled TTM regiments (32 °C vs 36 °C & 33 °C vs 36.5-37.7 °C) do not give different results
- Target temperature management works and it is necessary (with data available)
- The importance of avoiding fever in cardiac arrest

## Limitations of TTM I & TTM 2 trials

- ❖ OHCA patients (generazibility to in hospital?)
- High patients' heterogeneity
- ✓ shockable and non-shockable rhythms
- √ no age limit
- Very short no-flow time and a large number of bystander-initiated resuscitation (implying a limited brain injury)






Sanfilippo F et al. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 3943					
First Author Year	Location of Arrest	First Rhythm Detected	Treatment in the Intervention Group Treatment in the Control Group	Longest Follow Up GNO Assessment	
Dankiewicz 202 N = 1861	OHCA	Shockable 74% Non-shockable 26%	TTM (surface/ iv, 33 °C, 28 h) + active RW (12 h) Normothermia ( $\leq$ 37.5 °C + surface/iv if $\geq$ 37.8 °C)	6-months mRS	
Nielsen 2013 N = 939	OHCA	Shockable 80% Non-shockable 20%	TTM (any method, 33 °C, 28 h) + active RW (8 h) TTM (any method, 36 °C, 28 h) + active RW (2 h)	6-months—End trial CPC—mRS	
Lascarrou 2019 N = 548	Mixed (73% OHCA)	Non-shockable 100%	TTM (any method, 33 °C, 24 h) + active RW (8–16 h, 36 °C, 24 h) TTM (any method, 37 °C, 48 h)	90-days CPC	
Holzer 2002 N = 136	OHCA	Shockable 96% Other 4%	TTM (mattress, 32–34 °C, 24 h) + passive RW Normothermia (no target)	6-months CPC	
Bernard 2002 N = 77	OHCA	Shockable 100%	TTM (ice-packs, 33 °C, 12 h) + active RW (6 h) Normothermia (37 °C)	Hospital discharge Home/short term rehab	
Hachimi- idrissi 2005 N = 61	OHCA	Non-shockable 54% Shockable 46%	TIM (Helmet, 33 °C, briet °) + passive KW Normothermia (37 °C) TIM (mattress, 33 °C, 24 h) + passive RW Normothermia (37 °C)	6-months CPC	
Laurent 2005 * N = 42	OHCA	Shockable 74% Non-shockable 26%	TTM (HF + ice-packs, 32 °C, 24 h) + passive RW Normothermia + HF 8 h (37 °C)	6-months CPC	
Hachimi- idrissi 2001 N = 30	OHCA	Non-shockable 100%	TTM (Helmet, 34 °C, brief *) + passive RW Normothermia + treatment of fever (38 °C)	2-weeks CPC	

## Effects on survival TTM 32-34°C Controls Risk Ratio Study or Subgroup 1.1.1 Actively controlled normothers Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% CI 460 925 479 925 34.8% 53 284 50 297 9.5% 238 473 241 466 29.5% 1682 1688 73.8% Dankiewicz, New Engl J Med 2021 Lascarrou, New Engl J Med 2019 Nielsen, New Engl J Med 2013 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau\* = 0.00; Chi\* = 0.62, df = 2 (P = 0.73); I\* = 0% Test for overall effect Z = 0.83 (P = 0.41) 2.63 [0.31, 22,46] 1.32 [1.04, 1.66] 0.71 [0.32, 1.54] 1.31 [1.07, 1.59] Total events Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 3.24, df = 4 (P = 0.52); I<sup>2</sup> = 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.65 (P = 0.008) Total (95% CI) 1925 100.0% 1.06 [0.94, 1.20] 1930 Total events 87.5 861 Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 11.58, df = 7 (P = 0.12); P = 40% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.92 (P = 0.36) Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 7.71, df = 1 (P = 0.005), P = 87.0% Higher in Controls Higher in TTM 32-34°C





No differences in the incidence of:

√ bleeding (RR 1.10 (95%CI 0.83, 1.44))


√ pneumonia (RR 1.11 (95%CI 0.96, 1.29))


## Effects on neurologic outcome

	TTM 32-		TTM 36°C o			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI
1.2.1 Actively controlled normothern							
Dankiewicz, New Engl J Med 2021	393	881	387	866	29.8%	1.00 [0.90, 1.11]	•
Lascarrou, New Engl J Med 2019	29	284	17	297	7.9%	1.78 [1.00, 3.17]	-
Nielsen, New Engl J Med 2013 Subtotal (95% CI)	218	469 1634	222	464 1627	28.0% 65.7%	0.97 [0.85, 1.11] 1.02 [0.88, 1.18]	<b>‡</b>
Total events	640		626				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.01; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 4.1	0, df = 2 (P	= 0.13);	I <sup>2</sup> = 51%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.27$ (P = 0.7	9)						
1.2.2 Passively controlled normother	mia						
Bernard, New Engl J Med 2002	21	43	9	34	6.7%	1.84 [0.97, 3.49]	-
Hachimi-idrissi, Resuscitation 2001	2	16	0	14	0.4%	4.41 [0.23, 84.79]	
Hachimi-idrissi, Resuscitation 2005	8	30	3	31	2.1%	2.76 [0.81, 9.41]	+
Holzer, New Engl J Med 2002	75	136	54	137	20.2%	1.40 [1.08, 1.81]	
Laurent, JACC 2005	7	22	9	20	4.8%	0.71 [0.32, 1.54]	<del></del>
Subtotal (95% CI)		247		236	34.3%	1.42 [0.99, 2.04]	•
Total events	113		75				
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.05; Chi² = 5.4 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.93 (P = 0.0		= 0.24);	I <sup>2</sup> = 27%				
Total (95% CI)		1881		1863	100.0%	1.17 [0.97, 1.41]	•
Total events	753		701				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.03; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 17 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.66 (P = 0. Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	10)			%			0.02 0.1 10 50 Higher in Controls Higher TTM 32-34°C

## **CONCLUSIONS**

- In CA survivors admitted to hospital, the implementation of TTM with a target temperature of 32 - 34 °C:
- √ does not improve survival nor neurological outcome
- √ it increases the risk of arrhythmias
- For survival, robust evidence and no more studies are needed.
- For neurological outcome current evidence is not robust enough thus new research is needed.
- Approaching temperature management with "uncontrolled" normothermia may be associated with worse outcomes and this should not be considered an option nowadays.





ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Temperature Control After In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: A Randomized Clinical Trial

States When York Should Will whom should be State Provided William States When York Should William Should Will

NEUROPROTEZIONE: IMPLICAZIONI CLINICHE DELL'IPERTERMIA, EVIDENZE SCIENTIFICHE SPERIMENTALI E CLINICHE

## The STEPCARE trial



The STEPCARE trial is an international, multicenter, parallel group, noncommercial, randomized, factorial, superiority trial to include 3100 patients

- I. Continuous sedation for 36 h or minimal sedation (SEDCARE)
- 2. Fever management with or without a TTM device for 72 h (TEMPCARE)
- 3.A mean arterial pressure target of > 85mmHg or > 65mmHg for 36 hours (MAPCARE)

Follow-up will be performed at 30 days and 6 months after cardiac arrest including mortality, functional outcome and quality of life

- 1. Detailed cognitive outcome with focus on patients and caregivers
- 2. Prognostication to identify and validate early and accurate instruments and algorithm
- 3. Biobank with blood samples at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hours after the cardiac arrest

## Learning outcome

- Definition of fever
- What is normothermia?
- Mechanism of cellular damage
- Fever in injured brain
- Recommendations
- Neuro-protection after cardiac arrest
- Limitation and a sneak peek of future

## What's fever?

Carl Reinhold August Wunderlich's Study (1868) - Wunderlich's large-scale study in the 19th century established 37°C (98.6°F) as the average normal body temperature, a standard that has been widely referenced since. However, the study's methodology and tools have been re-evaluated in modern contexts.


Recent Studies - More recent studies suggest that the average body temperature might be slightly lower than 37°C:

•Mackowiak et al. (1992): In a study published in JAMA, Mackowiak and colleagues found that the average oral temperature is closer to 36.8°C (98.2°F) and varies across


•Protsiv et al. (2020): A study in eLife analyzed historical and contemporary temperature data and found a trend suggesting that the average human body temperature has decreased over the last century, now closer to  $36.6^{\circ}$ C ( $97.9^{\circ}$ F).


EFINITION
Fever, also known as pyrexia, is defined as having a temperature above the normal range due to an increase in the body's temperature set point. There is not a single agreed-upon upper limit for normal renperature with sources using values between 37.5 and 38.3 °C (99.5 and 100.9 °F).


## WHY CAN WE **GET MUCH COOLER THAN** WE GET HOT?





## What's normothermia?

























## Hyperthermia after brain damage ·Hyperthermia is common post-brain 70% injury, occurring in up to 50% of PERIOPERATIVE FEVER AND OUTCOME IN 1/3 non SURGICAL PATIENTS WITH ANEURYSMAL infective SUBARACHNOID HEMORRHAGE •Often arises within the first 72 hours after the injury. Risk for Mortality •Hyperthermia (≥ 38°C) is associated with a significantly increased mortality risk. ARTICLE •20-30% rise in mortality in Fever in Acute Stroke Worsens Prognosis hyperthermic brain-injured patients









## What if outcome is unclear?

conservative vs pessimistic


## **Learning outcome**

- 1. Pathophysiology of brain injury
- 2. Treatment of BI
- 3. Targeted temperature management (TTM)
- 4. Neuroprotective agents
- 5. Outcomes
- 6. Awakening from coma
- 7. Neuroprognostication
  - Bias in neuroprognostication
  - Clinical examination
  - Blood biomarkers
  - Neurophysiology
  - Imaging
- 8. Recap










## **Relationship Between CBF and CPP**

•Cerebral Blood Flow (CBF) refers to the blood volume passing through 100g of brain tissue per minute. Adequate CBF is essential to supply the brain with oxygen and nutrients.

•Cerebral Perfusion Pressure (CPP) is the pressure driving blood flow to the brain,

CPP=MAP-ICP



## **Relationship Between CBF and CPP**

## Key Points of the Relationship

I.Direct Influence: CPP directly influences CBF. When CPP falls too low, CBF decreases, risking ischemia, while high CPP may lead to hyperemia and potentially raise ICP.


- 2. Autoregulation:

  1. Autoregulation is the brain's ability to maintain consistent CBF despite changes in CPP, usually within a CPP range of 50-150 mmHg.

  2. Outside this range, autoregulation fails, and CBF becomes linearly dependent on
  - 1. Low CPP (<50 mmHg) can cause hypoperfusion, risking ischemia.
     2. High CPP (>150 mmHg) may overwhelm autoregulatory mechanisms, increasing ICP and the risk of edema.

## 3. Conditions Affecting CBF and CPP:

- Brain injury can disrupt autoregulation, making CBF highly dependent on CPP.
   Hyperthermia, hypotension, or elevated ICP can reduce CPP, compromising CBF and increasing the risk of ischemic damage.



## Awakening from coma

Delayed awakening after cardiac arrest: prevalence and risk factors in the Parisian registry

Marine Paul, Wulfran Bougouin, Guillaume Geri, Florence Dumas, Benoît Champigneulle, Stéphane Legriel, Julien Charpentier, Jean-Paul Mira, Claudio Sandroni & Alain Cariou ™

Intensive Care Medicine 42, 1128-1136 (2016) | Cite this article

Late Awakening in Survivors of Postanoxic Coma: Early Neurophysiologic Predictors and **Association With ICU and Long-Term Neurologic Recovery** 

Rey, Arnaud MD¹; Rossetti, Andrea O. MD²; Miroz, John-Paul RN¹; Eckert, Philippe MD¹; Oddo, Mauro MD¹

70% within 48h

latest 25 days after

Late awakening (>5 gg) associated to severe neurological disability

False positive rate (FPR) should be zero (high accuracy) Narrow confidence intervals (high precision)

Blinding test results

not performed


Sedation

## Bias in prognostication



A patient's health sometimes align with the expectations and beliefs of their care recovery process.

## **Neuroprognostication**



## **Predictors of Neurological Outcome After Cardiac Arrest**

## I. Initial Rhythm

•Shockable Rhythms (e.g., Ventricular Fibrillation or Ventricular Tachycardia): Higher chance of favorable neurological outcomes.

•Non-shockable Rhythms (e.g., Asystole or Pulseless Electrical Activity): Associated with

## 2.Time to Return of Spontaneous Circulation (ROSC)

•Shorter Duration to ROSC: Linked to better neurological recovery. \*Longer Duration (>20 minutes): Associated with higher risk of poor neurological

## 3. Duration and Quality of CPR

 $\hbox{\bf •Short, Effective CPR: High-quality CPR, with minimal interruptions, improves cerebral}$ perfusion and outcome.

•Prolonged CPR (>30 minutes): Generally indicates a poorer prognosis.

## **Predictors of Neurological Outcome After Cardiac Arrest**

## 4. Post-Cardiac Arrest Hypothermia Management

•Therapeutic Hypothermia (Targeted Temperature Management): Cooling to 32-36°C has shown to improve neurological outcomes by reducing brain injury.

## 5. Neurological Examination at 72 Hours

•Pupillary Reaction: Non-reactive pupils at 72 hours post-arrest is a strong indicator of

•Motor Response: Lack of motor response or absent brainstem reflexes can signal worse

•Serum Neuron-Specific Englase (NSE): Elevated levels are associated with greater

brain injury and poorer prognosis.

•S100B Protein: Another marker that, when elevated, can indicate worse neurological

## 7. EEG Patterns

•Early EEG after ROSC: Patterns such as burst suppression or status epilepticus are associated with poor neurological recovery.

•Continuous and Normal EEG Patterns: More favorable for recovery.

## **Predictors of neurological outcome**


ocular reflexes

response to pain (GCS-M≤3) at≥72 h after ROSC

At≥72 h after ROSC, bilaterally absent pupillary or corneal

early (<48 h), a generalised distribution, a synchronous stereotyped pattern, and prolonged (>30 min) duration

## **Predictors of neurological outcome**



Serum markers of brain injury can predict good neurological outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest

Marion Moseby-Knappe<sup>1\*</sup>

O, Nikias Mattsson-Carlgren<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Pascal Stammet<sup>4</sup>, Sofia Backman<sup>5</sup>, Kaj Blennow<sup>6,3</sup>

NSE-levels increase and peak at 48-72


cut-off for reliable prediction of poor outcome is 60 mg L<sup>-1</sup> at 48-72 h

levels < 17 mg L<sup>-1</sup> predict good outcome



## Electrophysiology **Predictors of neurological outcome**

## activation of primary sensory cortex



## Key SSEP Waves


- I.N9 Wave (Peripheral Response)
  I. Location: Brachial plexus (near the shoulder, recorded from the arm).
- 2. Meaning: Indicates intact peripheral nerve conduction from the stimulation site to
- 3. Interpretation: Absence of the N9 wave suggests an issue with peripheral nerves.

## 2.N13 Wave (Cervical Response)

- I. Location: Cervical spinal cord (neck region).
- Meaning: Reflects conduction from the brachial plexus to the cervical spinal cord.
   Interpretation: Absence or delay in N13 suggests issues within the cervical spine
- or spinal cord pathways.

## 3.N20 Wave (Cortical Response)

- Location: Somatosensory cortex (top of the head, recorded from the scalp).
   Meaning: This is the most important wave in SSEP for brain assessment. It
- represents the cortical response to sensory input and reflects intact sensory conduction to the brain.
- 3. Interpretation:
  - 1. Present N20 Wave: Suggests functional sensory pathways to the brain, generally associated with a better prognosis in comatose patients.
- 2. Absent N20 Wave: Strongly predicts poor neurological outcome after events like cardiac arrest, as it indicates a lack of cortical response to sensory input.



## Electrophysiology

American Clinical Neurophysiology Society's Standardized Critical Care EEG Terminology: 2021 Version lirsch \* Michael W.K. Fong † Markus Leitinger ‡ Suzette M. LaBoche & Sandor Renizzio

## Background EEG Patterns

•Continuous EEG: Generally associated with a better prognosis if the background is

•Discontinuous or Burst-Suppression Patterns: Often indicate a poorer prognosis, especially if they persist without improvement.

•Suppression: Background EEG with very low amplitude (<10 µV) or isoelectric tracing

indicates severe brain injury and poor prognosis.

## Reactivity and Responsiveness

•Reactivity: The EEG's response to external stimuli (such as noise or touch).

 Prognostic Value: EEG that shows reactivity to stimuli is a positive prognostic indicator. Non-reactive EEG suggests severe brain dysfunction and is associated with poor outcomes.


## Summary of Prognostic Indicators by ACNS 2021

•Good Prognosis: Continuous, reactive EEG without burst suppression or epileptiform

•Poor Prognosis: Suppressed, isoelectric EEG, burst suppression without improvement, or persistent unresponsive status epilepticus.

## PREDICTING NEUROLOGICAL OUTCOME AFTER CARDIAC ARREST: ROLE OF NEUROIMAGING

## CT Scan




## **EPILEPTIFORM PATTERNS**

## Generalized Periodic Discharges (GPDs):

Prognostic Value: GPDs, especially if combined with a suppressed or burst-suppression background, are associated with poor prognosis. Their presence is often indicative of widespread cortical damage.

## Status Epilepticus:

- Pattern: Continuous seizure activity or EEG patterns meeting status epilepticus
- Prognostic Value: Prolonged, unresponsive Prognostic Value: Prolonged, unresponsive status epilepticus is strongly associated with poor outcomes, although short-lived, treatable seizures may not necessarily predict a negative outcome.



## **ROLE OF GWR IN PROGNOSTICATION**


Normal GWR: Gray matter (higher density) typically appears brighter than white matter on a CT scan.

Decreased GWR: After cardiac arrest, hypoxic brain injury can cause cerebral edema, leading to a loss of distinction between gray and white matter due to decreased gray matter density.

## Predictive Value:

Low GWR (<1.2) is associated with poor neurological outcomes, often indicating severe brain injury and a high likelihood of non-recovery.

High GWR is generally more favorable, indicating less edema and higher potential for neurological recovery.



## Recap

- 1. Primary (ischaemic) and secondary (reperfusion) injury occur sequentially during cardiac arrest, resuscitation, and the acute post-resuscitation phase.
- 2. TTM is a strategy to achieve and maintain a specified body temperature, typically from 33 to 37.5 °C.
- 3. Difficult to define the optimal timing, dosing (temperature level) and duration of treatment
- 4. Neuroprognostication: clinical, electrophysiology, biomarkers and imaging
- 5. Clinical: motor response, ocular reflexes and myoclonus
- 6. Biomarkers: NSE is standard practice while NFL is most reliable biomarker but still needs confirmation
- 7. EEG is complex and prone to subjectivity. Recently ACNS standardize the interpretation.
- 8. Still no definite consensus on the optimal timing of imaging. Generally TC as a first step than MRI.
- 9. Predicting good neurological outcome is challenging, needs more investigation.

- Anatomy of the pupillary light reflex. What are we assessing?
- Why we do assess pupils?
- Standard vs automated
- Clinical use of automated pupillometry
- Prognostication of patient prognosis
- Pupillometry in anesthesia
- Limitation and a sneak peek of future




Erik Roman-Pognuz MD, PhD
 Department of Medical Science - University

## who's first?

Sir Robertson, Douglas Argyll







Pupils DO **NOT** constrict when exposed to bright light. ("light reflex")





Pupils DO constrict on a near object. ("accommodation reflex")








stem




















## CLINICAL USE OF AP NEUROLOGICAL PROGNOSTICATION

## HIBI after CA

Clinical paper Resuscitation 83 (2012) 1223-1228

Infrared pupillometry to detect the light reflex during cardiopulmonary

BMJ Open Outcome Prognostication of Acute Brain Injury using the Neurological Pupil Index (ORANGE) study: protocol for a prospective, observational, multicentre, international cohort study

Mauro Oddo. 1,2 Fabio Taccone. 3 Stefania Galimberti. 4,5 Paola Rebora. 4,6

- like s-PLR requires afferent and efferent pathways are intact
- inter individual variability in pupil size and reactivity
- anesthetic and opioids that affect s-PLR may alter AP (NPi unaffected)
- AP requires equipments and consumables, unsuitable for low resource setti

Original Research Article

Pupillometry via smartphone for low-resource settings



Davide Piaggio <sup>a</sup>  $\stackrel{>}{\sim}$   $\stackrel{\boxtimes}{\bowtie}$ , Georgy Namm <sup>a</sup>, Paolo Melillo <sup>b</sup>, Francesca Simonelli <sup>b</sup>, Ernesto Iadanza <sup>c</sup>,

