

Counting the electrons and Interpreting CO stretching frequencies and phosphine complexes

Exercise 1: Count the valence electrons on the metal atom for a) $[\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_6]$; b) $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4]^{2-}$; c) $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\text{PEt}_3)]$; d) $[\text{Rh}(\text{Me})(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)]$.

Exercise 2: Count the valence electrons on the metal atom for a) $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8]$; b) $[\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9]$.

Exercise 3: Which of the two isoelectronic compounds $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6]$ and $[\text{V}(\text{CO})_6]^-$ will have the higher CO stretching frequency and why?

Exercise 4: Which of the two chromium compounds $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{PEt}_3)]$ and $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{PPh}_3)]$ will have the lower CO stretching frequency and why? And which will have a shorter M-C bond?

Interpreting CO stretching frequencies and phosphine complexes

Exercise 5: Which of the two iron compounds $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5]$ and $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\text{PEt}_3)]$ will have the higher CO stretching frequency and why? And which will have the longest M-C bond?

Exercise 6: Provide plausible reasons for the differences in IR wavenumbers between each of the following pairs: a) $[\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PF}_3)_3]$ 2040, 1991 cm^{-1} versus $[\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PMe}_3)_3]$ 1945, 1851 cm^{-1} ; b) $[\text{MnCp}(\text{CO})_3]$ 2023, 1939 cm^{-1} versus $[\text{MnCp}^*(\text{CO})_3]$ 2017, 1928 cm^{-1} .

Determining the structure of a carbonyl from IR data

Exercise 7: The complex $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ has one very strong IR absorption band at 1189 cm^{-1} and two other very weak bands in the CO stretching region. The ν_{CO} of $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6]$ is at 2000 cm^{-1} . a) Why in this compound is lower? b) What is the probable structure of this compound?

Exercise 8: The complex $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{CO})_2]$, a carbonyl of a third row M(II) metal, shows $\nu_{\text{CO}} = 2175\text{ cm}^{-1}$. $[\text{W}(\text{Cp})_2(\text{CO})_2]$, another third row M(II) carbonyl, shows $\nu_{\text{CO}} = 1872$ and 1955 cm^{-1} . What do these differences indicate in terms of M-CO bonding?

Exercise 9: Why is the rate of CO substitution of $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8]$ much faster than that of $[\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4]$, even though the latter has fewer ligands?

Converting CO to carbene/acyl ligands

Exercise 10: Converting CO to carbene and acyl ligands

Starting from $[\text{W}(\text{CO})_6]$ and using reagents of your choice propose a set of reactions for the synthesis of $[\text{W}(\text{C}(\text{OMe})\text{Ph})(\text{CO})_5]$.

Exercise 11: Converting CO to an acyl ligands

Give a probable synthetic procedure to obtain *cis,trans*- $[\text{IrCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{COMe})(\text{CO})]$ starting from *trans*- $[\text{IrCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{CO})]$.

Playing with carbonyl complexes

Exercise 12: When $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5]$ is reflux with cyclopentadiene, compound A is the product. A has formula $\text{C}_8\text{H}_6\text{O}_3\text{Fe}$ and a complicated ^1H NMR spectrum. A easily dissociates CO to yield compound B. ^1H NMR spectrum of B has two signals: one at negative chemical shift (relative intensity 1) and the other around 5 ppm (relative intensity 5). When B is warmed up, H_2 evolves with the formation of compound C. C has formula $\text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{O}_2\text{Fe}$ and its ^1H NMR spectrum has only one signal. A, B, and C have 18 valence electrons. Identify A, B and C and explain their ^1H NMR spectra.