Electrochemically irreversible processes

Rate ET < Rate MT
$$Ox + ne^- \longrightarrow Red$$

Surface concentration boundary condition

$$\frac{i}{nFA} = D_{Ox} \left[\frac{\partial C_{Ox}(x,t)}{\partial x} \right]_{x=0} = \frac{k_{Red}}{k_{Red}} C_{Ox}(0,t)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{k}_{Red}}{\mathbf{k}_{Red}} = \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{0}} \exp\left[-\frac{\mathbf{\alpha}}{RT} (\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{0}'})\right] \qquad \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{E}_{i} - \mathbf{v}\mathbf{t}$$
General condition for LSV sw

General condition for LSV sweep potential

$$\boldsymbol{k_{Red}}C_{Ox}(0,t) = \boldsymbol{k^0} \exp\left[-\boldsymbol{\alpha}\frac{nF}{RT}(\boldsymbol{E_i} - E^{0'})\right] \exp\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}\frac{nF}{RT}\boldsymbol{\nu}\boldsymbol{t}\right]C_{Ox}(0,t)$$

Numerical solution of an integral equation

Electrochemically irreversible processes: peak current



- under equivalent conditions, the height of an irreversible peak is lower than that of an EC reversible peak
- $i_{p,c} / v^{1/2}$ is constant with the scan rate (v)
- Current ratio $i_{p,a} / i_{p,c}$ not defined

Electrochemically irreversible processes: potential





- E_p is dependent on transfer coefficient (α) and scan rate (ν)
- E_{p,c} shifts cathodically with the scan rate (v)

 $(T = 25^{\circ}C) \sim \frac{30 \ mV}{\alpha n_{\alpha}} = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{p},\mathsf{c}} \text{ shift for 10-fold increase of } \mathbf{v}$

Diagnostic criterion for EC irreversible process

- E_{p,c} occurs at significantly more negative potential compared to E⁰ (activation η due to slow ET rate, dependent on k⁰)
- Since usually **E**⁰ is not known for an EC irreversible process, **E**_p is typically reported at a given scan rate
- To fully characterize an EC irreversible process, knowledge of either the thermodynamic parameter E⁰ or the kinetic parameters (α, k⁰) is required



Electrochemically irreversible processes: potential



Peak width

$$|E_p - E_{p/2}| = \frac{1.857 RT}{\alpha n_{\alpha} F} = \frac{47.7 mV}{\alpha n_{\alpha}}$$
 (T = 25°C)

 This equation is commonly used to calculate αn_α (for n = 1, it gives the α value)

- EC irreversible peaks are typically broader compared to EC reversible ones
- i-E relationships for *multistep irreversible processes* are generally described by complex equations
- Simulation softwares are often useful to characterize an EC irreversible process

Electrochemically quasi-reversible processes

$$Ox + ne^{-} \stackrel{k_{Red}}{\rightleftharpoons} Red$$

Surface concentration boundary condition

$$\frac{i}{nFA} = D_{Ox} \left[\frac{\partial C_{Ox}(x,t)}{\partial x} \right]_{x=0}$$

$$\boldsymbol{k_{Red}} = \boldsymbol{k^0} \exp[-\boldsymbol{\alpha} \frac{nF}{RT} (\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{t}) - {E^0}')]$$

$$\boldsymbol{k}_{Ox} = \boldsymbol{k}^{0} \exp[(1-\alpha)\frac{nF}{RT}(\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{t}) - \boldsymbol{E}^{0'})]$$

$$E(t) = E_i - vt$$

General condition for LSV sweep potential

$$= \frac{k_{Red}}{C_{Ox}}(0,t) - \frac{k_{Ox}}{C_{Red}}(0,t)$$

- ET rate of the forward process (k_{Red}) has the same order of magnitude as the MT rate
- ET rate of the backward process (k_{Ox}) is not negligible
- Apparent reversible/irreversible behaviour depending on the scan rate
- More complex mathematical treatment

Electrochemically quasi-reversible processes

The shape of the quasi-reversible voltammograms and their parameters (i_p , E_p , $E_{p/2}$) are functions of the **transfer coefficient** (α) and a **parameter** Λ :

$$\Lambda = \frac{k^{0}}{\left(D_{Ox}^{1-\alpha}D_{Red}^{\alpha}\frac{nF}{RT}\nu\right)^{1/2}} = \frac{k^{0}}{\left(D\frac{nF}{RT}\nu\right)^{1/2}} \qquad if D_{Ox} = D_{Red} = D$$
Large $\Lambda \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad Reversible (nernstian)$
Intermediate $\Lambda \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad Quasi-Reversible$
Small $\Lambda \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad Totally Irreversible$

- At low scan rates, a quasi-reversible wave may become reversible
- At high scan rates, a quasi-reversible wave appears as irreversible

Electrochemically quasi-reversible processes: current



- $i_{p,c}$ is not proportional to $v^{1/2}$ for a quasi-reversible wave
- $i_{p,a} / i_{p,c} = 1$ only if $\alpha = 0.5$
- $i_{p,a} \neq i_{p,c}$ if $\alpha \neq 0.5$ (nonsymmetrical voltammogram)

Electrochemically quasi-reversible processes: potential

- $E_{p,c}$ shifts cathodically with the scan rate
- *E⁰* can be approximately obtained as the average value between E_{p,c} and E_{p,a} only if 0.3 < α < 0.7 (mutual compensation of the potential shifts of E_{p,c} and E_{p,a} in opposite directions, due to kinetic effects)
- ΔE_p > (57/n) mV (at 25°C) even in the low scan rate regime, being the deviation larger by increasing the potential sweep rate
- ΔE_p is function of $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{k}^0$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
- ohmic drop effect on distorsion of CV shape is similar to the effect due to heterogeneous kinetic limitations (careful R_u compensation!!!)

Chemical meaning of electrochemical reversibility

- In line with Marcus theory, geometrical reorganization accompanying ET is a crucial factor affecting the ET activation barrier
- Electrochemical reversibility is associated to negligible structural reorganization occurring upon the redox process (e.g. Ferrocene/ferrocenium couple)
- Electrochemical quasi-reversibility is related to non-negligible structural changes accompanying ET
- The ΔE_p deviation from the canonical 57/n mV value (at 25°C) can be used as an indicator to evaluate the extent of structural reorganization
- Redox processes characterized by a large ET activation barrier are often accompanied by severe structural reorganization or even fragmentation original molecular frame (EC irreversible)

Chemical meaning of electrochemical reversibility

2e⁻

-2e⁻

 $[Os_6(CO)_{18}]^{2-}$

Octahedral geometry

(v = 0.01 V/s)



R. C. Lucas et al, Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 4508-4513 G.J. Grant et al., Inorg. Chim. Acta 2000, 300–302

From Zanello, Nervi, Fabrizi de Biani, 2nd Ed. RSC, 2011