Chimica Computazionale Hybrid multiscale schemes

Emanuele Coccia



PhotoInduced Quantum Dynamics (PIQD) Group



- Physical and chemical properties in large systems (10⁴-10⁶ atoms) \rightarrow quantum (QM) methods not applicable
- Quantum meets classical:
 - QM for a (small) subregion of the system
 - Classical for the rest of it (environment)



Biological/biochemical applications

ENZYMATIC CATALYSIS









Materials applications

METAL-ENHANCED SPECTROSCOPIES



・ロン・西方・ ・ ヨン・ ヨン・

Nobel prize in Chemistry 2013



© Nobel Media AB. Photo: A. Mahmoud Martin Karplus

Prize share: 1/3



© Nobel Media AB. Photo: A. Mahmoud Michael Levitt





© Nobel Media AB. Photo: A. Mahmoud Arieh Warshel Prize share: 1/3

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2013 was awarded jointly to Martin Karplus, Michael Levitt and Arieh Warshel "for the development of multiscale models for complex chemical systems"



2



э



• How the classical part is modelled?



- How the classical part is modelled?
- How partition is done?

・ロ・ ・ 日・ ・ ヨ・ ・ 日・



- How the classical part is modelled?
- How partition is done?
- How QM and classical subregions interact?

・ロン ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・



• Atomistic: use force fields from molecular mechanics (MM)

• Continuum: the environment is a polarizable medium

- Local character of most chemical reactions in condensed phases
- Distinction between a "reaction center" and a "spectator"
- Expensive but accurate QM for the small "reaction center"
- Cheaper classical methods for the "spectator" region

- Local character of most chemical reactions in condensed phases
- Distinction between a "reaction center" and a "spectator"
- Expensive but accurate QM for the small "reaction center"
- Cheaper classical methods for the "spectator" region
- Try to avoid to cut bonds at the boundaries
- But this too is covered by the models (see next slides)

QM/MM methods



2



• Three types of interaction:

2

<ロ> <同> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>



• Three types of interaction:

• Among atoms in the QM region



- Three types of interaction:
 - Among atoms in the QM region
 - Among atoms in the MM region (electrons ignored in the MM region!)



- Three types of interaction:
 - Among atoms in the QM region
 - Among atoms in the MM region (electrons ignored in the MM region!)
 - Among QM and MM atoms \leftarrow

E_{QM/MM}(QM/MM): coupling energy between QM and MM subregions

æ

・ロン ・聞と ・ 語と ・ 語とう

- *E*_{QM/MM}(QM/MM): coupling energy between QM and MM subregions
- Subtractive QM/MM coupling

 $E_{QM/MM}(QM/MM) = E_{MM}(full) + E_{QM}(QM) - E_{MM}(QM)$



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 >

- *E*_{QM/MM}(QM/MM): coupling energy between QM and MM subregions
- Subtractive QM/MM coupling

 $E_{QM/MM}(QM/MM) = E_{MM}(full) + E_{QM}(QM) - E_{MM}(QM)$



• Advantage:

• Straightforward implementation (no QM/MM communication)

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 >

- *E*_{QM/MM}(QM/MM): coupling energy between QM and MM subregions
- Subtractive QM/MM coupling

 $E_{QM/MM}(QM/MM) = E_{MM}(full) + E_{QM}(QM) - E_{MM}(QM)$



• Advantage:

• Straightforward implementation (no QM/MM communication) Drawbacks:

- Required force field for QM subregion
- No polarization of the QM electron density by MM environment

Additive QM/MM coupling

 $\hat{H}_{\text{full}}(\text{full}) = \hat{H}_{\text{QM}}(\text{QM}) + \hat{H}_{\text{MM}}(\text{MM}) + \hat{H}_{\text{QM}/\text{MM}}(\text{QM}/\text{MM})$

- MM region only at classical level
- E_{QM/MM}(QM/MM) explicitly computed
 - Mechanical embedding
 - Electrostatic embedding

$$E_{QM/MM} = E_b + E_{nb}$$

$$E_b = E_{bond} + E_{angle} + E_{dihe}$$

$$E_{nb} = E_{VdW} + E_{el}$$

Mechanical embedding



E. Coccia (DSCF)

ъ

 $\hat{H}_{QM/MM} = \hat{H}_{b} + \hat{H}_{nb}$



$$\hat{H}_{QM/MM} = \hat{H}_{b} + \hat{H}_{nb}$$

$$\hat{H}_{b} = \sum_{k}^{QM \text{ atoms } MM \text{ atoms}} E_{bond}(r_{km})$$

$$+ \sum_{k,m,l}^{at \text{ least one } QM} E_{angle}(\theta_{kml})$$

$$+ \sum_{k,m,l,p}^{at \text{ least one } QM} E_{dihe}(\theta_{kmlp})$$

æ

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_{QM/MM} &= \hat{H}_{b} + \hat{H}_{nb} \\ \hat{H}_{b} &= \sum_{k}^{QM \text{ atoms MM atoms}} E_{bond}(r_{km}) \\ &+ \sum_{k,m,l}^{at \text{ least one } QM} E_{angle}(\theta_{kml}) \\ &+ \sum_{k,m,l,p}^{at \text{ least one } QM} E_{dihe}(\theta_{kmlp}) \\ \hat{H}_{nb} &= \sum_{k}^{QM \text{ atoms MM atoms}} \left[\frac{Z_{k} q_{m}}{r_{km}} + 4\epsilon_{km} \left(\frac{\sigma_{km}^{12}}{r_{km}^{12}} - \frac{\sigma_{km}^{6}}{r_{km}^{6}} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

æ



• Electrostatic embedding: polarization included

$$\hat{H}_{nb,el} = \hat{H}_{nb} - \sum_{i}^{electrons} \sum_{m}^{MM \ atoms} \frac{q_m}{r_{im}}$$

æ

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ -

• Electrostatic embedding: polarization included

$$\hat{H}_{nb,el} = \hat{H}_{nb} - \sum_{i}^{electrons} \sum_{m}^{MM \ atoms} \frac{q_m}{r_{im}}$$

 Electrons see MM atoms as special nuclei with non-integer and possibly negative charges

< 口 > < 同 > < 臣 > < 臣 >

• Electrostatic embedding: polarization included

$$\hat{H}_{nb,el} = \hat{H}_{nb} - \sum_{i}^{electrons} \sum_{m}^{MM \ atoms} \frac{q_m}{r_{im}}$$

- Electrons see MM atoms as special nuclei with non-integer and possibly negative charges
- Risk of overpolarization at boundaries (electron spill-out)
- Smeared charges

$$\Omega_m(r) = \sqrt{\frac{q_m}{\pi \alpha^3}} \exp\left[\frac{(r-r_m)^2}{2\alpha^2}\right]$$

< 口 > < 同 > < 臣 > < 臣 >

- Capping bonds at the QM/MM boundary
- Monovalent link atom at an appropriate position along the bond vector between the QM and MM atoms



- Link atom only present in the QM calculation
- Link atom frozen at a given position
- Do not cut double or triple bonds



$$\begin{split} \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\mathsf{full}} | \Psi \rangle &= \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\mathsf{QM}} | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\mathsf{MM}} | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\mathsf{QM}/\mathsf{MM}} | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi | - \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{k}^{K} \frac{Z_{k}}{r_{ik}} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} + \sum_{k < l} \frac{Z_{k} Z_{l}}{r_{kl}} | \Psi \rangle \\ &+ \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle E_{MM} + \langle \Psi | - \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{m}^{M} \frac{q_{m}}{r_{im}} | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle (E_{b} + E_{nb}) \end{split}$$

æ

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

$$\begin{split} \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\text{full}} | \Psi \rangle &= \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\text{QM}} | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\text{MM}} | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\text{QM/MM}} | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi | - \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{k}^{K} \frac{Z_{k}}{r_{lk}} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} + \sum_{k < l} \frac{Z_{k} Z_{l}}{r_{kl}} | \Psi \rangle \\ &+ \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle E_{MM} + \langle \Psi | - \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{m}^{M} \frac{q_{m}}{r_{im}} | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle (E_{b} + E_{nb}) \\ &= \langle \Psi | - \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{k}^{K} \frac{Z_{k}}{r_{lk}} - \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{m}^{M} \frac{q_{m}}{r_{im}} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} + \sum_{k < l} \frac{Z_{k} Z_{l}}{r_{kl}} | \Psi \rangle \\ &+ E_{MM} + E_{b} + E_{nb} \end{split}$$

SCF calculation affected by the MM charges

æ

・ロン ・聞と ・ 語と ・ 語とう

Polarizable continuum models



< 三 → …

Image: A math a math

- Continuum models for solutions
- QM solute(s), solvent as a polarizable medium



< < >> < </>

• Medium with a dielectric constant ϵ

$$\Delta G_{
m solv} = \Delta G_{
m cavity} + \Delta G_{
m dispersion} + \Delta G_{
m elec}$$

æ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > .

$$\Delta G_{solv} = \Delta G_{cavity} + \Delta G_{dispersion} + \Delta G_{elec}$$

• Models differ:

2

$$\Delta G_{\text{solv}} = \Delta G_{\text{cavity}} + \Delta G_{\text{dispersion}} + \Delta G_{\text{elec}}$$

- Models differ:
 - how size and shape of the hole are defined

$$\Delta G_{\text{solv}} = \Delta G_{\text{cavity}} + \Delta G_{\text{dispersion}} + \Delta G_{\text{elec}}$$

- Models differ:
 - how size and shape of the hole are defined
 - how the cavity dispersion term is computed

-≣->

< ロ > < 同 > < 臣

$$\Delta G_{\text{solv}} = \Delta G_{\text{cavity}} + \Delta G_{\text{dispersion}} + \Delta G_{\text{elec}}$$

- Models differ:
 - how size and shape of the hole are defined
 - how the cavity dispersion term is computed
 - how the charge distribution of the solute is described

$$\Delta G_{\text{solv}} = \Delta G_{\text{cavity}} + \Delta G_{\text{dispersion}} + \Delta G_{\text{elec}}$$

- Models differ:
 - how size and shape of the hole are defined
 - how the cavity dispersion term is computed
 - how the charge distribution of the solute is described
 - how the dielectric medium is given

$$\Delta G_{\text{solv}} = \Delta G_{\text{cavity}} + \Delta G_{\text{dispersion}} + \Delta G_{\text{elec}}$$

- Models differ:
 - how size and shape of the hole are defined
 - how the cavity dispersion term is computed
 - how the charge distribution of the solute is described
 - how the dielectric medium is given
- ϵ fully describes the solvent
- Also spatial and frequency dependencies are used, i.e. $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$ and $\epsilon(\omega)$

$$\Delta G_{solv} = \Delta G_{cavity} + \Delta G_{dispersion} + \Delta G_{elec}$$

- Models differ:
 - how size and shape of the hole are defined
 - how the cavity dispersion term is computed
 - how the charge distribution of the solute is described
 - how the dielectric medium is given
- ϵ fully describes the solvent
- Also spatial and frequency dependencies are used, i.e. $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$ and $\epsilon(\omega)$
- Sphere or ellipsoid allow for an analytical electrostatic interaction
- Interlocking spheres on each nucleus (vdW surface)

$$\Delta G_{\text{solv}} = \Delta G_{\text{cavity}} + \Delta G_{\text{dispersion}} + \Delta G_{\text{elec}}$$

- Models differ:
 - how size and shape of the hole are defined
 - how the cavity dispersion term is computed
 - how the charge distribution of the solute is described
 - how the dielectric medium is given
- ϵ fully describes the solvent
- Also spatial and frequency dependencies are used, i.e. $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$ and $\epsilon(\omega)$
- Sphere or ellipsoid allow for an analytical electrostatic interaction
- Interlocking spheres on each nucleus (vdW surface)
- Born model

$$\Delta G_{\rm solv} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{q^2}{r}$$

- Self-consistent reaction field (SCRF)
- Poisson equation (electrostatic potential φ, solute charge distribution ρ)

$$\nabla \cdot (\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \phi(\mathbf{r})) = -4\pi \rho(\mathbf{r})$$

ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- Self-consistent reaction field (SCRF)
- Poisson equation (electrostatic potential φ, solute charge distribution ρ)

$$abla \cdot (\epsilon(\mathbf{r})
abla \phi(\mathbf{r})) = -4\pi
ho(\mathbf{r})$$

 $abla^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{4\pi}{\epsilon}
ho(\mathbf{r})$

- Self-consistent reaction field (SCRF)
- Poisson equation (electrostatic potential φ, solute charge distribution ρ)

$$\nabla \cdot (\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \phi(\mathbf{r})) = -4\pi \rho(\mathbf{r})$$
$$\nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{4\pi}{\epsilon} \rho(\mathbf{r})$$
$$4\pi \epsilon \sigma(\mathbf{r}_s) = (\epsilon - 1) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_s)$$

ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- Self-consistent reaction field (SCRF)
- Poisson equation (electrostatic potential φ, solute charge distribution ρ)

$$\nabla \cdot (\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \phi(\mathbf{r})) = -4\pi \rho(\mathbf{r})$$
$$\nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{4\pi}{\epsilon} \rho(\mathbf{r})$$
$$4\pi \epsilon \sigma(\mathbf{r}_s) = (\epsilon - 1) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_s)$$

• Extra term in the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\text{SCRF}} = \hat{H} + \phi_{\sigma} \phi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{r}_{s})}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{s}|} d\mathbf{r}_{s}$$

< 口 > < 同 > < 臣 > < 臣 >

- Mixed solvent models
- First solvation shell explicitly modelled

æ

- Mixed solvent models
- First solvation shell explicitly modelled
- Configurations sampling issue

< 口 > < 同 > < 臣 > < 臣 >

- Mixed solvent models
- First solvation shell explicitly modelled
- Configurations sampling issue
- Parametrization of the continuum model against experimental data
- Mixed models may yield substantially better results than pure continuum models, at the price of an increased computational cost

イロン イ理シ イヨン イヨン

- Mixed solvent models
- First solvation shell explicitly modelled
- Configurations sampling issue
- Parametrization of the continuum model against experimental data
- Mixed models may yield substantially better results than pure continuum models, at the price of an increased computational cost
- Solvation energy from few (neutral solute) to hundreds of kcal/mol (ions) in water

ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

- Mixed solvent models
- First solvation shell explicitly modelled
- Configurations sampling issue
- Parametrization of the continuum model against experimental data
- Mixed models may yield substantially better results than pure continuum models, at the price of an increased computational cost
- Solvation energy from few (neutral solute) to hundreds of kcal/mol (ions) in water
- Inclusion of solvent effects may change the geometry, charge distribution and conformational preferences

ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

Example: Reactivity of charged species

• S_N^2 substitution

$$CI^- + CH_3Br \rightarrow Br^- + CH_3CI$$



E. Coccia (DSCF)

Example: Reactivity of charged species

- DFT calculations (ωB97X-D functional, 6-31+G(d) basis set)
- SMD model for solvation (screening model based on density)

Species	$\Delta \mathbf{G}_{(g)}$	$\Delta \mathbf{G}_{(\text{solution})}$
Cl [−] + CH ₃ Br	0.0	0.0
Cl [−] •CH ₃ Br	-48.8	10.9
TS	13.3	100.7
ClCH₃•Br [−]	-34.9	38.5
CICH ₃ + Br ⁻	-18.7	31.0

Computed kinetics

- $k = 2.85 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ (gas phase, 2.3 times larger than exp)
- $k = 1.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ (acetone, 2.4×10^{-5} smaller)

Inaccuracy due to approximations in SMD

イロン イ理 とくほ とくほ とう

Example: acid-base equilibria

• Dissociation of a weak acid HA in solvent (water)

$$HA + H_2O \iff A^- + H_3O^+$$
$$K_A = \frac{[H_3O^+][A^-]}{[HA][H_2O]}$$



Example: acid-base equilibria

• Dissociation of a weak acid HA in solvent (water)

$$HA + H_2O \iff A^- + H_3O^+$$
$$K_A = \frac{[H_3O^+][A^-]}{[HA][H_2O]}$$

Thermodynamic cycle