The homogeneous catalytic reaction mechanism (E_rC_i')



- Ox/Red redox couple acts as a catalyst for the conversion (here reduction) of a substrate S into a product P
- The electrogenerated **Red** is the **active form of the catalyst** (here reduced) and acts as a **mediator** for the S conversion into P
- Unlike E_rC_i mechanism, the E_rC_i' scheme involves a catalytic regeneration of the initial form of the catalyst (Ox)
- Homogeneous ET (chemical step) is assumed to be irreversible and rate-limiting
- The substrate **S** is assumed to be **NOT electroactive** in the potential window of interest

The homogeneous catalytic reaction mechanism (E_rC_i')



Direct electrochemical S reduction to P

Redox-mediated catalytic S reduction to P (Red = redox mediator)

The homogeneous catalytic reaction mechanism (E_rC_i')





- ET to S is mediated by the reduced form of the catalyst (Red) rather than occurring directly at the electrode surface
- The reduction of S into P takes place at the mediator (Ox/Red) redox wave, i.e. at a potential less negative than the potential required for direct reduction of S
- Regeneration of the initial form of the catalyst (Ox) induces a current
 enhancement (catalytic current) and a loss of reversibility of the Ox/Red redox wave

Homogeneous molecular catalysis: voltammetric response

The voltammetric response may be dependent on multiple variables. For an E_rC_i ' scheme, the CV responses is a function of **two dimensionless parameters**:



Canonical S-shape response: pure kinetic conditions





- Large enough λ (large k_{cat} and/or small v)
- Large γ: excess of substrate or negligible substrate consumption (C^{*}_S >> C^{*}_{Ox})

•
$$E_{Ox/Red}^{0} = E_{cat/2}$$
 (half-wave potential)

Canonical S-shape response: pure kinetic conditions



$$\mathbf{i} = \frac{FAC_{Ox}^* \sqrt{D_{Ox}} \sqrt{k_{cat}C_S^*}}{1 + exp\left[\frac{F}{RT}\left(E - E_{Ox/Red}^0\right)\right]}$$

for $E \ll E_{Ox/Red}^0$ $i_{cat} = FAC_{Ox}^* \sqrt{D_{Ox}} \sqrt{k_{cat}C_S^*}$

- <u>is independent on the scan rate (v)</u>
- rate constant is commonly extracted from *i*_{cat} normalized by *i*_p:

$$\frac{\mathbf{i}_{cat}}{\mathbf{i}_{p}} = \frac{1}{0.4463} \sqrt{\left(\frac{RT}{Fv}\right) \mathbf{k}_{cat} \mathbf{C}_{S}^{*}} \qquad (n=1)$$

Non-catalytic peak current of the Ox/Red reversible wave (absence of S), given by the Randles-Sevcik equation

Multistep multielectron mechanisms

- Several catalytic reactions of interest, including energy-conversion processes based on the activation of small molecules (e.g. O₂ reduction, water splitting, CO₂ reduction, etc.), are typically characterized by more complex mechanisms based on *multiple ET and chemical steps*
- Large variety of possible scenarios, depending on the reaction conditions and the type of catalyst
- Moreover, catalytic processes often involve other species in addition to catalyst and substrate, such as co-catalysts (or co-substrates, e.g. acid for reductions, base for oxidations)
- *Two-electron, two-steps reaction schemes* are quite common in homogeneous molecular catalysis

Competitive side-phenomena: non-idealized CV responses

- Rigorous determination of rate constants and kinetic analysis of a catalytic reaction using previously derived equations strictly requires the achievement of classical S-shaped voltammograms (pure kinetic conditions), but often this is not the case
- In real systems, several side-phenomena may interfere with the catalytic reaction, preventing the observation of sigmoidal CVs (peak-shaped catalytic CVs)
- These secondary phenomena may be related to **substrate consumption** ("total catalysis"), **catalyst deactivation**, **inhibition by products**, etc.

Non-idealized CV responses: increase of the scan rate

E_rC_i' mechanism (simulated CVs)

Low scan rate region:

scan rate-dependent peak
current (peak-shaped CV)

High scan rate region:

scan rate-independent plateau (S-shaped CV)

Catalyst deactivation (decomposition)



E. Rountree, B. McCarthy, T. Eisenhart, J. Dempsey, Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 9983–10002

Non-idealized CV responses: examples



E. Rountree, B. McCarthy, T. Eisenhart, J. Dempsey, *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 9983–10002 M. Stewart, M. Ho, S. Wiese, M. Lindstrom, C. Thogerson, S. Raugei, R. Bullock, M. Helm, *JACS* **2013**, 135, 6033–6046