# **1976 Seismic Sequence**



Origin time: 20:00:13 UTC epicenter 46° 17' N - 13° 17' E Depth: 5 - 12 km

Magnitude: 6.0 mb 6.5 Ms 6.4 ML Epicentral intensity: X MKS

Max PGA recorded: 0,36 g

Felt at distance of : 579 km Impact Area : 5.700 km<sup>2</sup> Death toll: 989

People needing shelters: 110.000 Damage: 4.500.000 milions (lire in 1976)

# Earthquakes recorded since 1977 (>33.000 events)



#### **Rapid Damage forecasting in buffer areas**



from Grimaz et al.,2017

#### Method 1



from Parolai et al.,2015, SRL Real time estimation of shaking for different buildings.

Input: base of one of the sentinel building 1) recording at the base of one of the sentinel building (OGS-Uni Trieste)

2) Frequency of oescillation for building type (Uni Udine)



#### Method 2



from Parolai et al.,2015, SRL Megalooikonomou et al. 2018. Estimation of the probability of exceedance of acertain limit state for different buildings within an area

Input: 1) recording at the base of one of the sentinel building (OGS-Uni Trieste)2)Fragility curves for building type (Uni Udine)



#### Method 3



First level estimate of possible damage in buildings with sensors at the base and at the top. Input: 1) recording at the base of one of the sentinel

building (OGS-Uni Trieste)

2) Real time measurement of interstorey-drift and/or resonance frequency variation (OGS-Uni Udine)

> Aleatory Demand

> > from Pianese et al. 2018

High Probability of

**Methodology** - Basics



## Feasibility for DOSEEW in case of repetition of the 1976 Event



Tolmezzo

celeration (cm/s<sup>2</sup>)

Öq -200 -300

(cm/s<sup>2</sup>) 100 100

-100

Codroipo

## DOSEEW applied to the synthetic data



from Parolai et al.,2020

## DOSEEW applied to the synthetic data



Strong dependency of lead-time

from Parolai et al.,2020



### **Possible reduction of 10% of injured persons**



Table 1 - Summary of the localities and lead times vs injured person during the 1976 Friuli earthquake.

Locality	Lead time (s)	1976 Intensity	1976 Injured
Cividale	3.67	VII	18
Cordenons	6.19	VII	5
Tarvisio	7.33	VII	5
Pordenone	8.34	VII	27
Udine	4.30	VII	53
Forni di Sopra	8.85	VII-VIII	4
Sacile	7.84	VI-VII	6
Tavagnacco	4.29	VII-VIII	24
Spilimbergo	3.63	VII-VIII	10
Tricesimo	2.83	VII-VIII	10



Possible several seconds to stop the plant of TAL No action was possible for this scenario for the Magnetic Marelli being in the blind zone Magneti Marelli Automotive Lighting, Tolmezzo

TI TI ME



from Parolai et al.,2020

TAL – Transalpine Pipeline

(UD)

AutoMotive LIGHTING

- production of electronic components for LED lights 5.000 m<sup>2</sup> > 1100employees
- Italy, Austria and Germany
- 40% of the energy needs of Germany and the Czech Republic, and 90% of Austria
- 753 km
- 7500 m<sup>3</sup>/h
- 750 employees involved
- 1.2 x 109 €

## Seismic interferometry: soil-structure interaction



Matera experiment



side view



#### Data recorded on 23-24-25/10/2019

- Seismic noise
- Earthquake: M4.6 event on 25/10/2019



Advanced Seis**M**ic Interfer**O**metry Metho**D**s and Technologi**E**s for Enginee**RiN**g Seismology



## Seismic interferometry: soil-structure interaction



Advanced Seis**M**ic Interfer**O**metry Metho**D**s and Technologi**E**s for Enginee**RiN**g Seismology



# Step 3. Phase identification

#### Analytical model

- Based on <u>simplified</u>
  <u>geometry</u>
- <u>Transfer function</u> between the building and

$$\frac{X(f)}{Y(f)} = P_1 + P_2 + P_3$$

$$P_{1} = \frac{1}{1+r} e^{-i2\pi f(-\tau_{1}+\tau_{3})},$$
$$P_{2} = \frac{r}{1+r} e^{-i2\pi f(\tau_{1}+\tau_{3})},$$
$$(1-r)$$

$$P_3 = \frac{(1-r)}{2} e^{-i2\pi f(\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3)}$$

Advanced SeisMic InterferOmetry MethoDs and TechnologiEs for EngineeRiNg Seismology



# Constrained deconvolution

- Based on the method proposed by Bindi et al. (2010)
- <u>Phase corresponding to</u> <u>the radiated wavefield</u>





## Matera experiment – results Phase identification



#### MODERN

Advanced Seis**M**ic Interfer**O**metry Metho**D**s and Technologi**E**s for Enginee**R**i**N**g Seismology



Assumptions for the model:

- Input -> vertically propagating plane wave
- Simplified geometry
- The transfer function between the field sensors and the reference sensor at the top of the building



- Frequency band 2-10 Hz
- Searched parameters time delays of the wave propagation in the building and the soil



## Seismic interferometry: soilstructure interaction

- The proposed approach allows the wave-field related to the energy radiated from a vibrating structure to its surroundings on the surface to be separate and to identify the wave types.
- In the Matera experiment, the most significant impact of the vibrating building on the ground motion is near the resonant frequencies of the building and it is due to quasi Rayleigh or quasi Love waves
- The knowledge of the dominant wavelength of the radiated wavefield, combined with the polarization analysis => information about locations of positive and negative wavefield interference for a given earthquake motion

