

October 3

$$0 < p < 1 \quad L^p(0,1) = \{ f : \int_0^1 |f(x)|^p dx < +\infty \}$$

$$d(f,g) = \int_0^1 |f(x)-g(x)|^p dx \quad \text{is a metric}$$

Claim
If V is open and convex in $L^p(0,1)$

then $V = L^p(0,1)$.

$$\text{P.E. } D_{L^p(0,1)}(0, \varepsilon_0) \subset V \quad \varepsilon_0 > 0$$

Pick any $f \in L^p(0,1)$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ st.

$$n^{p-1} \int_0^1 |f(x)|^p dx < \varepsilon_0$$

and decompose $[0,1]$

$$[t_0, t_1], \dots, [t_{n-1}, t_n] \quad \text{in } n \text{ intervals} \\ \text{so that } \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |f(t)|^p dt = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt$$

$$t \rightarrow \underbrace{\int_0^t |f(t)|^p dt}_{F(t)} \text{ is continuous and increasing}$$

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^t |f(t)|^p dt \quad t_1$$

$$F(t) = \frac{2}{n} \int_0^t |f(t)|^p dt \quad t_2$$

$$g_j(t) = n \cdot \chi_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}(t) \cdot F(t_j) \quad j=1, \dots, n$$

$$\int_0^1 |g_j(t)|^p dt = n^p \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |f(t)|^p dt = \\ = n^{p-1} \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt < \varepsilon_0$$

$$g_j \in D_{L^p(0,1)}(0, \varepsilon_0) \subset V \quad \text{for } j=1, \dots, n$$

By the convexity of V we have

$$g_1 + \dots + g_n \in V \\ = \underbrace{\chi_{[0,t_1]} + \dots + \chi_{[t_{n-1},1]}}_{= f(t)} \cdot f(t)$$

$$= f$$

$$V = L^p(0,1) \quad \mathbb{R}$$

$$L^p(0,1)' = \{ T : \begin{array}{l} T \text{ is continuous linear map} \\ L^p(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{array} \} \cong \mathbb{R}$$

More generally if X is a locally convex TVS then

$$T : L^p(0,1) \rightarrow X \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{linear and} \\ \text{continuous} \end{array} \Rightarrow T \cong 0$$

Consider V an open convex nbhd of $0 \in X$.

Then $T^{-1}V$ is open and convex in $L^p(0,1)$

Then $T^{-1}V = L^p(0,1)$

Then $T(L^p(0,1)) \subseteq V$

$$R(T) \subseteq V \quad \forall \text{ open convex nbhd of } 0 \in X$$

$$R(T) \subseteq \bigcap_{\substack{V \text{ open} \\ \text{convex} \\ \text{nbhd of } 0 \in X}} V = \{0\}$$

$$R(T) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow T \cong 0$$

Given two normed spaces

$$X \xrightarrow{T} Y \quad \text{linear}$$

Lemma (The following ^{three} ~~two~~ are equivalent)

1) T is continuous

2) T is bounded

3) The following quantity is finite.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} &= \sup_{x \in D_X(0,1) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|Tx\|_Y}{\|x\|_X} = \\ \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} &= \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|Tx\|_Y}{\|x\|_X} = \\ \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} &= \sup_{\|x\|_X=1} \|Tx\|_Y < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

What happens is that

$$\forall x \in D_X(0,1) \setminus \{0\}$$

$$\|Tx\|_Y \leq M$$

$$\frac{\|Tx\|_Y}{\|x\|_X} \leq \sup_{x' \in D_X(0,1) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|Tx'\|_Y}{\|x'\|_X} =: M < \infty$$

$$\|Tx\|_Y \leq M \overset{\leq 1}{\|x\|_X} \leq M$$

$$T D_X(0,1) \subseteq D_Y(0, M)$$

Suppose X is locally convex
 This means that there is a sub-basis $\{P_J\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$
 of seminorms

$$P_J(\lambda x) = |\lambda| P_J(x) \quad \forall \lambda \in K.$$

Recall that X is metrizable iff 0 has
 a countable sub-basis of nbhds.

It is then possible to conclude that
 X locally convex is metrizable iff it
 has a countable sub-basis of seminorms

~~$(X, \{P_J\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$~~ with $\text{card } \mathcal{J} \leq \text{card } \mathbb{N}$.

then the following metric induces on X its
 topology

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} 2^{-j} \frac{P_J(x-y)}{1 + P_J(x-y)} \leq 2$$

3 \Rightarrow 1

$$M = \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} < +\infty$$

Need to show T is continuous in 0.
We will show that $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ st.

$$\|x\|_X < \delta \Rightarrow \|Tx\|_Y < \varepsilon$$

$$+\infty \Rightarrow M = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|Tx\|_Y}{\|x\|_X} \text{, This implies}$$

$$\text{that } \|Tx\|_Y \leq M \|x\|_X \quad (*)$$

$$\frac{\|Tx\|_Y}{\|x\|_X} \leq \sup_{x' \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|Tx'\|_Y}{\|x'\|_X} = M$$

(*) Is telling us that T is Lipschitz at 0
 \Rightarrow continuous at 0

$$\varepsilon \quad \left| \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \right|$$

$$\|x\|_X < \delta \Rightarrow \|Tx\|_Y \leq M \|x\|_X < M \delta = \varepsilon$$

$$\mathbb{R} (X, \|\cdot\|_X), (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$$

$$T_n \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$$

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \text{ is a norm.}$$

When Y is a B-space - then also $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is complete.

$$X' = \mathcal{L}(X, K)$$

$$\|f\|_{X'} = \sup_{\|x\|_X=1} |f(x)|$$

$$f(x) = \langle f, x \rangle_{X' \times X} = \langle x, f \rangle_{X \times X'}$$

Given a sequence

$$\{T_n\} \text{ in } \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$$

there are many ways in which T_n can converge to T

$$\|T_n - T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$$

this is called "uniform" convergence or convergence in operator norm.

Another way is that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T_n x = T x \quad \forall x \in X$$

this is called the "strong" convergence

$$s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T_n = T$$

Example $1 \leq p < +\infty$ $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ f : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p dx < +\infty \right\}$

$$T_n f = \chi_{D(0,n)} f$$

$$\text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T_n = 1$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T_n f = f \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

I have to show $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|T_n f - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0 \quad \forall f$

$$f \rightarrow m f$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n f - f\|_{L^p}^p &= \left\| \chi_{D_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0,n)} f - f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p \\ &= \left\| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0,n)} f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0,n)}(x) |f(x)|^p dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p dx \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\forall x \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0,n)}(x) |f(x)|^p = 0 \quad \left(\|T_n f - f\|_{L^p}^p \right)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0,n)}(x) |f(x)|^p dx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0,n)}(x) |f(x)|^p dx = 0$$

Claim $\|T_n - 1\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))} = 1 \quad \forall n$

$$(T_n - 1)f = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0, n)} f = f$$

$\forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ iff $\text{supp } f$ is inside $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0, n)$

($\|T_n\| \in \|T\| \|1\|$)

$$\| (T_n - 1)f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \| f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

$$\leq \|T_n - 1\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

$$\|T_n - 1\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p)} \geq 1$$

In general for any multiplier T I have $Tf = mf \quad \forall f$

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

$$\sup_{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}} \leq \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

In fact it is an equality.

$$\| (T_n - 1)f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0, n)} f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f\|$$

$$\|T_n - 1\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq 1$$

$$\|T_n - 1\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$

Convolution. Let $\varrho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho(x) dx = 1$
 and let $\varrho_n(x) = n^d \varrho(nx)$

Then for any $1 \leq p < +\infty$

$$\varrho_n * f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_n(x-y) f(y) dy$$

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni f \longrightarrow \varrho_n * f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\|\varrho_n * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\varrho_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

It can be shown $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_n * \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$

But again it is not true that $\varrho_n * \mathbb{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{1}$ in operator norm. $p < +\infty$

Example (Schwartz)

$$S(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}) = \left\{ \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}) : P_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x^\beta \partial_x^\alpha \phi(x)| < +\infty \right\}$$

for all multi-indices
 α and β

$$S(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}) \supset \underbrace{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})}$$

It is easy to see that the $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ are seminorms

$$P_{\alpha, \beta}(f+g) \leq P_{\alpha, \beta}(f) + P_{\alpha, \beta}(g)$$

$$P_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda f) = |\lambda| P_{\alpha, \beta}(f) \quad \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

$$\left\{ S(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}), \left\{ P_{\alpha, \beta} \right\}_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \times \mathbb{N}_0^d} \right\}$$

A structure of Fréchet space remain defined. It is not a normed space

$$d(x, y)$$

$$\|x\| \equiv d(x, 0)$$

$$\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

$$d(x+y, 0) \leq d(x, 0) + d(y, 0)$$

$$d(x+y, 0) = d(x, -y) \leq d(x, 0) + d(0, -y)$$

$$\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\| \cdot \begin{matrix} \lambda \rightarrow +\infty \\ \rightarrow +\infty \end{matrix} \text{ if } x \neq 0$$

$$d(x, y)$$

$$\frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}$$