

5. Smirnov/Lagrangian/Ambrosio representation

Consider the PDE

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}\rho) = \mu, \quad (5.1)$$

with the assumptions

$$\rho \in \mathcal{M}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}), \quad b \in L^1(\rho), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}). \quad (5.2)$$

Because of

$$\rho(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) < \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-, \quad \mu^+(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) = \mu^-(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}).$$

By scaling we assume that

$$\mu^\pm(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) = 1.$$

REMARK 5.1. For the transport equation for $t > 0$

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{b}) = 0, \quad \rho(t=0) = \rho_0,$$

we can arrive to the same formulation by setting

$$\tilde{\rho} = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0, \\ \rho & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

and for all smooth function ϕ

$$\operatorname{div}_{t,x}(\rho\phi(1, \mathbf{b})) = \rho(1, \mathbf{b}) \cdot \nabla_{t,x}\phi + \phi\rho_0 \times \delta_{t=0}.$$

In this way we consider only the trajectories inside the support of ϕ in $\{t > 0\}$.

LEMMA 5.2. *The space*

$$\tilde{C} = \{\gamma \in C(I_\gamma, \mathbb{R}^d)\}, \quad \tilde{d}(\gamma, \gamma') = |t_\gamma^- - t_{\gamma'}^-| + |t_\gamma^+ - t_{\gamma'}^+| + \|\gamma - \gamma'\|_{C^0(I_\gamma \cap I_{\gamma'})},$$

is Polish.

PROOF. Exercise. □

DEFINITION 5.3 (Superposition solution). If $\mathbf{b}$ is a representative in the $L^1(\rho)$ -class, a *trajectory* of $\mathbf{b}$ is an a.c. function $\mathbb{R} \supset I \ni t \mapsto \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, I open interval, such that

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = \mathbf{b}(t, \gamma(t)) \quad t \text{ a.e..}$$

If η is a probability measure on $\tilde{C}$ concentrated on trajectories γ of the Borel vector field $\mathbf{b}$ such that

$$\int \left[\int_{I_\gamma} |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt \right] \eta(d\gamma) < \infty$$

then the measure defined by

$$\rho(t, dx) = \int_{t \in I_\gamma} \delta_{\gamma(t)}(dx) \eta(d\gamma), \quad \int \phi(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int \mathbf{1}_{t \in I_\gamma} \phi(t, \gamma(t)) \eta(d\gamma),$$

is a *superposition solution*.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *A superposition solution is a solution to (5.1).*

PROOF. First of all, for η -a.e. γ it holds

$$\int_{I_\gamma} |\dot{\gamma}(t)| < \infty,$$

so that there are η -measurable maps (exercise)

$$\gamma \mapsto \gamma(t_\gamma^\pm).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\mathbf{b}(t, x)| \rho(t, dx) \mathcal{L}^1(dt) &= \int \left[\int_{I_\gamma} |\mathbf{b}(t, \gamma(t))| dt \right] \eta(d\gamma) \\ &= \int \left[\int_{I_\gamma} |\dot{\gamma}| \mathcal{L}^1 \right] \eta(d\gamma) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so that the PDE is meaningful.

By computation for every test function ϕ

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int (1, \mathbf{b}) \cdot \nabla_{t,x} \phi(t, x) \rho(t, dx) dt &= \int \left(\int (1, \mathbf{b}) \cdot \nabla_{t,x} \phi(t, \gamma(t)) \eta(d\gamma) \right) dt \\ &= \int \left[\int_{I_\gamma} \frac{d}{dt} \phi(t, \gamma(t)) dt \right] \eta(d\gamma) \\ &= \int \left[-\phi(t_\gamma^-, \gamma(t_\gamma^-)) + \phi(t_\gamma^+, \gamma(t_\gamma^+)) \right] \eta(d\gamma) \\ &= - \int \phi \tilde{\mu}^- + \int \phi \tilde{\mu}^+, \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$\tilde{\mu}^\pm = \gamma(t_\gamma^\pm)_\# (\mathbf{1}_{t_\gamma^\pm \in \mathbb{R}} \eta(d\gamma)).$$

Note that being η a probability, then $\tilde{\mu}^\pm(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) \leq 1$. The PDE is satisfied with $\mu = \tilde{\mu}^- - \tilde{\mu}^+$. $\square$

REMARK 5.5. Note that there maybe trajectories which goes to ∞ in finite time or such that I_γ is unbounded. These trajectories will not contribute to the measure μ , and are not present if the measure is locally finite. This is the reason why we assume (5.2).

Note moreover that in this construction it may happen that $\tilde{\mu}^+ \perp \tilde{\mu}^-$ is false, so that some trajectories may stop in the points where another one starts. In this case $\mu^+(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) = \mu^-(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) < 1$.

Also the set of trajectories depends on the representative $\mathbf{b}$ in this construction. We will see below that any representative of $\mathbf{b}$ gives the same η , which means that the trajectories differ on an η -negligible set.

Now we prove the converse: we are given a solution to the PDE (5.1) with the localization assumptions (5.2) and construct a decomposition.

We start with the smooth case.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *If $\mathbf{b}$ is smooth and ρ is smooth positive in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, then there exists a Smirnov decomposition.*

PROOF. Assume first that ρ is compactly supported. By disintegrating the weak formulation of the PDE

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}\rho) = \mu, \quad \mu = f \mathcal{L}^{d+1},$$

using the trajectories of the flow $X(\cdot, y)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{d+1}|_{\operatorname{supp} \rho} &= \int \left[\int \frac{\delta_X(t, y)}{J(t, y)} dt \right] dy, \\ 0 &= \int \rho(1, \mathbf{b}) \cdot \nabla_{t,x} \psi \mathcal{L}^{d+1} + \int \psi f \mathcal{L}^{d+1} \\ &= \int \left[\int \left(\frac{\rho(t, X(t, y))}{J(t, y)} \frac{d\psi}{dt} + \frac{f(t, X(t, y))}{J(t, y)} \right) dt \right] dy, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\rho(t, X(t, y))}{J(t, y)} = \frac{f(t, X(t, y))}{J(t, y)}.$$

Hence

$$u(t, y) = \frac{\rho(t, X(t, y))}{J(t, y)}$$

is a smooth positive BV function, with

$$\int \text{Tot.Var.}(u(\cdot, y, \mathbb{R})) dy = \int \left[\int \frac{|f(t, X(t, y))|}{J(t, y)} dt \right] dy = \int |f(t, x)| dt dx.$$

A smooth positive BV function can be written as (exercise)

$$u(t, y) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{u(\cdot, y) > \beta\}}(t) d\beta = \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_n \mathbf{1}_{I_{y, \beta, n}}(t) d\beta, \quad \{u(\cdot, y) > \beta\} = \bigcup_n I_{n, \beta},$$

so that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int \psi \rho \mathcal{L}^{d+1} &= \int \psi(t, X(t, y)) \frac{\rho(t, X(t, y))}{J(t, y)} dt dy \\ &= \int \int \sum_n \int_{I_{y, \beta, n}} \phi(t, X(t, y)) dt d\beta dy, \end{aligned}$$

which is the Smirnov decomposition with

$$\eta = \int \int \sum_n \int \delta_{X(t, y)} \mathbf{1}_{I_{y, \beta, n}}(t) dt d\beta dy.$$

It is fairly standard to see that η is a Borel measure (exercise) and that

$$\|\eta\| \leq \|\rho_0\|_1 + \|\mu\|.$$

If ρ is just in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, then one repeats the construction in a countable smooth partition of unity $\phi_n : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with compact support such that

$$\sum_n \int |\nabla_{1,x} \phi_n| (1 + |\mathbf{b}|) \rho \mathcal{L}^{d+1} < +\infty.$$

We leave the details as an exercise. $\square$

To show that there are smooth approximations to $\rho(1, \mathbf{b})$, we take the convolution of the PDE (5.1) with a strictly positive space-time convolution kernel g^ϵ , e.g. the Gaussian:

$$\rho^\epsilon + \text{div}_x(\mathbf{b}^\epsilon \rho^\epsilon) = \mu^\epsilon, \quad \rho^\epsilon = g^\epsilon * \rho, \quad \mu^\epsilon = g^\epsilon * \mu, \quad \mathbf{b}^\epsilon = \frac{(\rho \mathbf{b})^\epsilon}{\rho^\epsilon}. \quad (5.3)$$

We now give an estimate on tightness. We need the following characterization of uniform integrability.

DEFINITION 5.7. If ν_α is a family of probabilities and $f_\alpha \in L^1(\nu_\alpha)$ with integral equal to 1, we say that f_α is *uniformly integrable* if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \left(\rho_\alpha(A) < \delta \Rightarrow \int_A |f| \rho_\alpha < \epsilon \right).$$

LEMMA 5.8. *The family f_α is uniformly integrable iff there exists a convex superlinear function $\omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$\int \omega(|f_\alpha|) \nu_\alpha < +\infty.$$

PROOF. Indeed the uniform integrability there are increasing constant $y_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$\int_{|f_\alpha| > y_n} |f_\alpha| \nu_\alpha < 2^{-n}.$$

Define then the convex monotone function

$$\omega(y) = \sum_n 2^{\frac{n}{2}} [y - y_n]^+$$

and compute

$$\int \omega(|f_\alpha|) \nu_\alpha = \sum_n 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{|f_\alpha| > y_n} |f_\alpha| \nu_\alpha < \sum_n 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} < +\infty.$$

The converse is elementary. $\square$

PROPOSITION 5.9 (Dunford-Pettis). *Assume $f_n \geq 0$ and that $\nu_n, f_n \nu_n \rightharpoonup \nu, f\nu$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then f_n is uniformly integrable and viceversa (up to subsequences).*

PROOF. Consider the measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ defined by

$$\varpi_n = (\text{id}, f_n)_\# \nu_n.$$

If f_n are uniformly integrable, then it follows that ϖ_n is tight, so that up to subsequences $\varpi_n \rightarrow \varpi$. The projection of ϖ_n is ν_n , which narrowly converges (up to subsequences) to ν : being the projection a linear operation, it follows that the projection of ϖ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is ν . Using the disintegration theorem

$$\varpi = \int \varpi_x \nu(dx), \quad f(x) = \int y \nu_x(dy),$$

it is easy to see that

$$f_n \nu_n \rightharpoonup f\nu.$$

If f_n are not uniformly integrable, then up to subsequences ϖ_n is not converging narrowly, which means that there is a subsequence weakly converging to ϖ with $\varpi(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) < 1$. Hence $f_n \nu_n$ cannot converge to a probability a.c. to ν , because otherwise ϖ would have measure 1. $\square$

We will not assume that the measures are smooth, because by a bootstrap argument we will have that the proposition holds also in the general case.

PROPOSITION 5.10. *Assume that*

- (1) $\rho_\alpha \geq 0, \mu_\alpha$ are uniformly bounded measure, tight in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$,
- (2) $\mathbf{b}_\alpha$ are uniformly integrable,
- (3) the PDE (5.1) holds for all α .

Then the Smirnov representation η_α are tight in $\tilde{C}$.

PROOF. We will construct compact sets which leave outside η -arbitrarily small sets: we will do it for a single measure, and see that it depends only on the tightness assumptions of ρ_α, μ_α .

By the bound on the L^1 -norm of $\mathbf{b}$ we have

$$\int (\mathcal{L}^1(I_\gamma) + \text{Tot.Var.}(\gamma)) \eta_\alpha(d\gamma) \leq \|1 + |\mathbf{b}_\alpha|\|_{L^1(\rho_\alpha)},$$

so that up to a set of η -measure small we can assume that the trajectories have

$$\mathcal{L}^1(I_\gamma) + \int_{I_\gamma} |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt \leq N. \tag{5.4}$$

Next, the measure of trajectories starting outside a ball $B_R(0)$ have measure

$$\eta_\alpha(\{\gamma : (t, \gamma(t)) \notin B_R(0) \forall t \in I_\gamma\}) \leq \mu_\alpha^+(\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \setminus B_R(0)),$$

so that we can assume that the trajectories intersect $B_R(0)$ up to an arbitrarily η -small set. Then by (5.4) we deduce that the trajectories are contained in $B_{R+N}(0)$.

Using again the uniform integrability of $\mathbf{b}_\alpha$ to get that there is a superlinear convex function $\omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\int \omega(|\mathbf{b}_\alpha|) \rho_\alpha = \int \left[\int_{I_\gamma} \omega(|\dot{\gamma}(t)|) dt \right] \eta_\alpha(d\gamma) < +\infty.$$

By removing an arbitrarily small set, we can thus assume that for some $M < +\infty$ it holds

$$\int_{I_\gamma} \omega(|\dot{\gamma}(t)|) dt \leq M.$$

Being ω convex, the above functional is l.s.c., so that we conclude that the compact set is given by

$$K_{N,R,H} = \{\gamma \in \tilde{C} : \text{Graph}(\gamma) \subset B_{R+N}(0), \|\dot{\gamma}\|_1 \leq N, \|\omega(|\dot{\gamma}|)\|_{L^1} \leq M\}.$$

This gives the family of compact sets where the measure is concentrated. $\square$

We can now pass to the limit.

THEOREM 5.11 (Smirnov/Lagrangian/Ambrosio representation). *The measure ρ solving (5.1) can be represented as a superposition solution.*

PROOF. We have constructed a family η^ϵ which is tight and represent ρ^ϵ : the only missing point for the tightness is the uniform integrability. Note that

$$(|\mathbf{b}| \rho)^\epsilon = (|\mathbf{b}| \rho_{|\mathbf{b}| \leq N} + |\mathbf{b}| \rho_{|\mathbf{b}| > N})^\epsilon = (|\mathbf{b}| \rho_{|\mathbf{b}| \leq N})^\epsilon + (|\mathbf{b}| \rho_{|\mathbf{b}| > N})^\epsilon \leq N + (|\mathbf{b}| \rho_{|\mathbf{b}| > N})^\epsilon.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\{|b^\epsilon| > 2N\}} |\mathbf{b}^\epsilon| \rho^\epsilon \leq \int_{\{(|\mathbf{b}| \rho_{|\mathbf{b}| > N})^\epsilon > N \rho^\epsilon\}} (|\mathbf{b}| \rho_{|\mathbf{b}| > N})^\epsilon \leq \int_{|\mathbf{b}| > N} |\mathbf{b}| \rho,$$

which converges to 0.

We pass to the limit of all quantities for suitable subsequence ϵ_n .

(1) Each side of

$$\int \phi \rho^{\epsilon_n} = \int \left[\int \phi(t, \gamma) dt \right] \eta^{\epsilon_n}$$

converges to the corresponding quantity, so that $\rho(t, dx) = \gamma(t)_\# \eta(d\gamma)$.

(2) The same for the initial and final data

$$\int \phi \mu^{\epsilon_n, \pm} = \int \phi(t_\gamma^\pm, \gamma(t_\gamma^\pm)) \eta^{\epsilon_n}(d\gamma),$$

which passes to the limit.

(3) For the vector field the map

$$\gamma \mapsto \dot{\gamma}$$

is weakly continuous, so that

$$\int \rho g^{\epsilon_n} * (\mathbf{b} \rho) = \int \phi \mathbf{b}^{\epsilon_n} \rho^{\epsilon_n} = \int \left[\int \phi(t) \dot{\gamma}(t) dt \right] \eta^{\epsilon_n}$$

is converging. This proves that the projection of $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ is $\mathbf{b}(t, x)$. On the other hand by l.s.c.

$$\int \text{Tot.Var.}(\gamma, I_\gamma) \eta(d\gamma) \leq \limsup_\epsilon \int \text{Tot.Var.}(\gamma, I_\gamma) \eta_\epsilon(d\gamma) = \limsup \int |\mathbf{b}^\epsilon| \rho^\epsilon = \int |\mathbf{b}| \rho,$$

because of the convergence of the convolution. Hence we have that

$$\int \left[\int_{I_\gamma} |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt \right] \eta(d\gamma) = \int |\mathbf{b}| \rho,$$

which implies that for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. t

$$\int_{\gamma(t)=x} \dot{\gamma}(t) \eta_x(d\gamma) = \mathbf{b}(t, \gamma(t)), \quad \int_{\gamma(t)=x} |\dot{\gamma}(t)| \eta_x(d\gamma) = |\mathbf{b}(t, x)|.$$

By the strict convexity of $|\cdot|$ we deduce that $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \mathbf{b}(t, x) \eta \times \mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e..

Hence we obtain a representation. □

Some remarks are in order.

- (1) First of all there is no uniqueness in general of the representation, the simple case being a vector field allowing two trajectories to cross and putting a Dirac delta on them: one can exchange the trajectories at the crossing time.
- (2) The uniqueness of the representation does not imply that the trajectories do not intersect: however it implies some sort of future or past uniqueness.
- (3) A similar decomposition can be done for the more general PDE

$$\text{div } \mathbf{b} = \mu,$$

in this case as curves or solenoidal parts (Smirnov).

- (4) In the case of initial data, we can partition the trajectories according to the initial data, obtaining that

$$\eta = \int \eta_y \rho_0(dy).$$

In the case of a flow, $\eta_y = \delta_{X(\cdot, y)}$, but in general it corresponds to a probability measure not supported on a single point.