

# Sustainability (2)

- ▶ A region has an underground aquifer.
- ▶ Two possible policies:
  - ▶ **Option A - Intensive extraction**
    - ▶ High water use for agriculture today
    - ▶ High current profits
    - ▶ Aquifer depleted in 20 years
    - ▶ Future generations face severe water scarcity
  - ▶ **Option B - Sustainable extraction**
    - ▶ Moderate water use
    - ▶ Slightly lower profits today
    - ▶ Aquifer remains stable over time
    - ▶ Future generations maintain access to water

# Sustainability (3)

- ▶ **Comparison**
  - ▶ Option A may maximize **short-term welfare**,
  - ▶ But it violates the **constraint on future well-being**.
- ▶ Option A is efficient and optimal in the short run,
- ▶ but it is **not sustainable**.
- ▶ **Efficiency** alone is not enough.
- ▶ Optimality must include **intergenerational constraints**.
- ▶ **Sustainability = optimality with a future well-being constraint.**

# Environmental economics - Neoclassical economics (1)

- ▶ Value determined by the exchange of goods and services in the market
  - ▶ demand preferences and production costs
- ▶ “...By pursuing his own interest, he (the entrepreneur) frequently promotes that of society more effectively than when he really intends to promote it” (Smith, 1776)
- ▶ In early models absence of land or natural resources.

# Environmental economics - Neoclassical economics (2)

- ▶ **Scenario:** there is a competitive market for timber.
  - ▶ Firms cut trees and sell wood.
  - ▶ Consumers demand wood for furniture and construction.
  - ▶ Price is determined by **supply and demand**.
- ▶ **Classical / Neoclassical Interpretation**
  - ▶ If demand for wood increases → price rises.
  - ▶ Higher price → firms cut more trees.
  - ▶ Market reaches equilibrium.
  - ▶ Resources are allocated efficiently through prices.
    - ▶ By pursuing profit, firms increase supply.
    - ▶ By pursuing utility, consumers signal preferences.
    - ▶ The market outcome maximizes total surplus = profits + utility of consumers.
- ▶ This reflects **Adam Smith's idea**: Self-interest promotes social welfare!

# Environmental economics - Neoclassical economics (3)

- ▶ **Nature Is “Missing”**
  - ▶ In early models:
  - ▶ Forest is treated as a **free input**
  
  - ▶ No explicit value assigned to:
    - ▶ Biodiversity
    - ▶ Carbon storage
    - ▶ Ecosystem services
  
  - ▶ **Only marketed timber has value!**

# Environmental economics - Neoclassical economics (4)

- ▶ **The Limitation of this theoretical approach**
  - ▶ Suppose logging causes:
    - ▶ Flooding
    - ▶ Habitat loss
    - ▶ CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- ▶ If these damages are **not priced**, they are ignored in market exchange.
  - ▶ Market outcome may be efficient **privately**
  - ▶ But not necessarily efficient **socially!**
- ▶ Environmental economics later emerged to correct this omission.

# Welfare economics (1)

- ▶ Normative judgments made about alternative configurations of
  - ▶ economic activities
  - ▶ allocation of resources
- ▶ Social welfare function
- ▶ Externalities
  - ▶ Marshall (1890)
  - ▶ Pigou (1920)

# Welfare economics (2)

- ▶ **Normative Judgments and Social Welfare**
- ▶ **Practical Example**
- ▶ **The Scenario: A Coal Power Plant**
  - ▶ A government must decide whether to:
  - ▶ Allow a **coal power plant**
  - ▶ Restrict it and switch to cleaner energy

# Welfare economics (3)

- ▶ **Step 1 - Market Outcome (Positive Analysis)**
- ▶ **The coal plant:**
  - ▶ Produces cheap electricity
  - ▶ Makes profits
  - ▶ Creates jobs
- ▶ **Market price reflects:**
  - ▶ Production costs
  - ▶ Consumer demand
- ▶ **But...the coal plant also causes:**
  - ▶ Air pollution
  - ▶ Health problems
  - ▶ Environmental damage
- ▶ **These costs are not included in the market price.**

# Welfare economics (4)

## ▶ Marshall (1890) - Externalities

- ▶ Alfred Marshall introduced the idea that some economic activities create external effects on others.
- ▶ Here:
  - ▶ Pollution affects third parties
  - ▶ These are external costs

## ▶ Pigou (1920) - Correcting Externalities

- ▶ Arthur Pigou argued that government should correct externalities.
- ▶ Solution:
  - ▶ Impose a pollution tax equal to the external damage (“Pigouvian tax”)
  - ▶ This forces firms to internalize social costs.

# Welfare economics (5)

- ▶ **Step 2 - Normative Judgment**
- ▶ Now **society** must decide:
- ▶ Should we:
  - ▶ Maximize **profits** and cheap electricity?
  - ▶ Or maximize overall **social welfare** (including health and environment)?
  - ▶ This requires a **value judgment**.

# Welfare economics (6)

## ▶ Social Welfare Function

▶ A social welfare function combines:

- ▶ Firm profits
- ▶ Consumer benefits
- ▶ Environmental damages
- ▶ Public health

## ▶ Suppose:

- ▶ Electricity **benefits** = €100 million
- ▶ Production **costs** = €60 million
- ▶ Pollution **damage** = €50 million

▶ Market outcome looks **positive privately** (+€40m)

▶ But **socially**:  $100 - 60 - 50 = -€10$  million

▶ **Social welfare decreases.**

# Welfare economics (7)

- ▶ Choosing the allocation that maximizes **social welfare** involves **normative judgment**.
- ▶ Externalities create a divergence between **private** and **social** outcomes.
- ▶ **Marshall** identified the problem.
- ▶ **Pigou** proposed corrective taxation.

# Ecological economics

- ▶ The economic system is part of a more extensive system
- ▶ Economic and environmental systems are interdependent
- ▶ Kenneth Boulding (1910 - 1993)
  - ▶ The economics of the coming space earth (1966)
  - ▶ Read the paper and describe the main differences between the “cowboy economy” and the “spaceman economy”

# Modern economics

- ▶ Under **proper conditions**, markets bring efficiency to resources allocation
- ▶ The problem deals with **property rights** of natural resources:
  - ▶ they do not exist or are not clearly defined.
- ▶ **Price fails to signal** the social cost and benefits of using natural resources.
- ▶ The government should intervene in the market
  - ▶ When and how should the government intervene?
  - ▶ How to deal with global problems?

# Monetary valuation of natural resources

- ▶ Environmental policies can only be implemented if a **monetary valuation of natural resources** is available.
- ▶ There are many valuation techniques for unpriced environmental services, however
  - ▶ there is **no unanimous consensus** on which is **preferable**
  - ▶ on the **robustness** of the values obtained
  - ▶ on the fact that environmental **policies** should be based **on the monetary values** of environmental resources.

# Time dimension of economic decisions

- ▶ Flow resources:
  - ▶ the level of use today does not have implications for availability tomorrow
    - ▶ solar radiation, the power of wind, of tides, and of flowing water
- ▶ Stock resources:
  - ▶ today's use has implications for tomorrow's availability
    - ▶ mineral deposits, oil fields
- ▶ Renewable resources
  - ▶ biotic, plant, and animal populations, they have the capacity to grow in size over time through biological reproduction
- ▶ Non-renewable resources
  - ▶ abiotic, stocks of minerals, and do not have that capacity to grow over time

# Substitutability and irreversibility

- ▶ If the depletion of a resource stock is irreversible,
- ▶ and there is no close substitute for the services that it provides,
- ▶ then the rate at which the resource is depleted has major implications for its sustainability,
- ▶ that is to preserve the possibility of consuming the services that it provides in the future.
- ▶ Two problems:
  - ▶ define the extent to which **one natural resource can be replaced by another** (e.g., solar power substitute for fossil fuels on a large scale?)
  - ▶ define the degree to which an environmental resource can be **replaced** by other inputs, especially the **human-made capital** resulting from saving and investment.

# Bibliographic references

- ▶ **Boulding**, K. (1966). The Economics of the Coming Space Earth.  
[http://arachnid.biosci.utexas.edu/courses/thoc/readings/boulding\\_spaceship\\_earth.pdf](http://arachnid.biosci.utexas.edu/courses/thoc/readings/boulding_spaceship_earth.pdf)
- ▶ Perman, R., Ma, Y., McGilvray, J., Common, M. Natural resource and environmental economics, Pearson Education. 3 ed. - **Chapter 1**
- ▶ Hanley, N., Shogren, J., White, B. Introduction to Environmental Economics, Oxford, 3 ed. - **Chapter 1**

# Open-ended questions

- ▶ Define the concepts of efficiency, optimality, and sustainability. Provide examples and explain how these concepts are related.
- ▶ According to Boulding, what are the main differences between the Cowboy and Spaceman economies?
- ▶ Describe why the time dimension is important in natural resource management.