

Smooth Tangent Vector Fields

Definition

Let (U, \mathbf{x}) be a chart of a surface S and $\alpha : I \rightarrow S$ a smooth path with $\alpha(I) \subset \mathbf{x}(U)$.

A *smooth tangent vector field* w along α assigns

$$w(t) \in T_{\alpha(t)}S$$

such that

$$w(t) = a(t)\mathbf{x}_u(q) + b(t)\mathbf{x}_v(q), \quad q = \mathbf{x}^{-1}(\alpha(t)),$$

for smooth functions $a, b : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Example: Velocity Vector Field

Example

Let $\alpha : I \rightarrow S$ be smooth with $\alpha(I) \subset \mathbf{x}(U)$. Then $\alpha'(t)$ defines a smooth tangent vector field.

If

$$\alpha(t) = \mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t)),$$

then

$$\alpha'(t) = u'(t)\mathbf{x}_u + v'(t)\mathbf{x}_v.$$

We call $\alpha'(t)$ the *velocity vector field*.

Covariant Derivative

Definition

Let w be a smooth tangent vector field along α . The *covariant derivative* is

$$\frac{Dw}{dt}(t) := \text{projection of } \frac{dw}{dt}(t) \text{ onto } T_{\alpha(t)}S.$$

- $\frac{Dw}{dt}(t) \in T_{\alpha(t)}S$
- Measures change of w *within the surface*

Geodesics

Definition

A curve $\alpha : I \rightarrow S$ is *locally geodesic* at s_0 if

$$\frac{D\alpha'}{dt}(s_0) = 0.$$

It is a *geodesic* if this holds for all $t \in I$.

- Generalization of straight lines
- Geodesics locally minimize length
- On a sphere: *great circles*

Geodesics

The distance $d(p, q)$ between two points p and q of S is defined as the *infimum* of the length

$$L(\gamma) = \int_a^b \sqrt{I_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t))} dt$$

taken over all continuous, piecewise continuously differentiable curves $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(a) = p$ and $\gamma(b) = q$.

Geodesics

The distance $d(p, q)$ between two points p and q of S is defined as the *infimum* of the length

$$L(\gamma) = \int_a^b \sqrt{I_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t))} dt$$

taken over all continuous, piecewise continuously differentiable curves $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(a) = p$ and $\gamma(b) = q$.

All geodesics are locally distance-minimizing paths, but the converse is not true. In fact, only paths that are both locally distance minimizing and parameterized proportionately to arc-length are geodesics.

Geodesics

The distance $d(p, q)$ between two points p and q of S is defined as the *infimum* of the length

$$L(\gamma) = \int_a^b \sqrt{I_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t))} dt$$

taken over all continuous, piecewise continuously differentiable curves $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(a) = p$ and $\gamma(b) = q$.

All geodesics are locally distance-minimizing paths, but the converse is not true. In fact, only paths that are both locally distance minimizing and parameterized proportionately to arc-length are geodesics.

Another equivalent way of introducing geodesics on a regular surface, is to define them as the minima of the following *energy functional*

$$E(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b I_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t)) dt.$$

Remark

It happens that minimizers of $E(\gamma)$ also minimize $L(\gamma)$, because they turn out to be affinely parameterized, and the inequality is an equality. The usefulness of this approach is that the problem of seeking minimizers of E is a more robust variational problem.

Equations of Geodesics

If $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbf{x}(U) \subset S$ is a regular curve on a regular surface with a (local) parameterization \mathbf{x} , the tangent vector field $\alpha'(t)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_u u'(t) + \mathbf{x}_v v'(t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\alpha'(t)}{dt} &= u''\mathbf{x}_u + \mathbf{x}_{uu}(u')^2 + v''\mathbf{x}_v + \mathbf{x}_{vv}(v')^2 + \mathbf{x}_{vu}v'u' + \mathbf{x}_{uv}u'v' \\ &= u''\mathbf{x}_u + \mathbf{x}_{uu}(u')^2 + v''\mathbf{x}_v + \mathbf{x}_{vv}(v')^2 + 2\mathbf{x}_{uv}u'v' \\ &= u''\mathbf{x}_u + (u')^2[\Gamma_{11}^1\mathbf{x}_u + \Gamma_{11}^2\mathbf{x}_v + eN] + \\ &+ v''\mathbf{x}_v + (v')^2[\Gamma_{22}^1\mathbf{x}_u + \Gamma_{22}^2\mathbf{x}_v + gN] + \\ &+ 2u'v'[\Gamma_{12}^1\mathbf{x}_u + \Gamma_{12}^2\mathbf{x}_v + fN] \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{uu} &= \Gamma_{11}^1\mathbf{x}_u + \Gamma_{11}^2\mathbf{x}_v + eN & \mathbf{x}_{uv} &= \Gamma_{12}^1\mathbf{x}_u + \Gamma_{12}^2\mathbf{x}_v + fN \\ \mathbf{x}_{vv} &= \Gamma_{22}^1\mathbf{x}_u + \Gamma_{22}^2\mathbf{x}_v + gN \end{aligned}$$

In other words

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\alpha'(t)}{dt} &= [u'' + \Gamma_{11}^1(u')^2 + 2\Gamma_{12}^1u'v' + \Gamma_{22}^1(v')^2]\mathbf{x}_u + \\ &+ [v'' + \Gamma_{11}^2(u')^2 + 2\Gamma_{12}^2u'v' + \Gamma_{22}^2(v')^2]\mathbf{x}_v + \\ &+ [(u')^2e + u'v'f + (v')^2g]N\end{aligned}$$

Geodesics

In other words

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\alpha'(t)}{dt} &= [u'' + \Gamma_{11}^1(u')^2 + 2\Gamma_{12}^1u'v' + \Gamma_{22}^1(v')^2]\mathbf{x}_u + \\ &+ [v'' + \Gamma_{11}^2(u')^2 + 2\Gamma_{12}^2u'v' + \Gamma_{22}^2(v')^2]\mathbf{x}_v + \\ &+ [(u')^2e + u'v'f + (v')^2g]N\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha'(t)$ is parallel (or $\frac{D\alpha'}{dt} = 0$)

$$u'' + \Gamma_{11}^1(u')^2 + 2\Gamma_{12}^1u'v' + \Gamma_{22}^1(v')^2 = 0$$

$$v'' + \Gamma_{11}^2(u')^2 + 2\Gamma_{12}^2u'v' + \Gamma_{22}^2(v')^2 = 0$$

Algebraic Value of the Covariant Derivative

By construction, $\frac{Dw}{dt}(t) \in T_{\alpha(t)}\mathcal{S}$. If w is a unit tangent vector field, then $\langle w(t), w(t) \rangle = 1$ for all $t \in I$.

Algebraic Value of the Covariant Derivative

By construction, $\frac{Dw}{dt}(t) \in T_{\alpha(t)}\mathcal{S}$. If w is a unit tangent vector field, then $\langle w(t), w(t) \rangle = 1$ for all $t \in I$.

After differentiating, we obtain

$$\langle w'(t), w(t) \rangle + \langle w(t), w'(t) \rangle = 0,$$

which implies

$$\left\langle w(t), \frac{dw}{dt}(t) \right\rangle = 0.$$

Algebraic Value of the Covariant Derivative

By construction, $\frac{Dw}{dt}(t) \in T_{\alpha(t)}\mathcal{S}$. If w is a unit tangent vector field, then $\langle w(t), w(t) \rangle = 1$ for all $t \in I$.

After differentiating, we obtain

$$\langle w'(t), w(t) \rangle + \langle w(t), w'(t) \rangle = 0,$$

which implies

$$\left\langle w(t), \frac{dw}{dt}(t) \right\rangle = 0.$$

Let $N(\alpha(t))$ denote the unit normal to the surface at $\alpha(t)$.

Algebraic Value of the Covariant Derivative

By construction, $\frac{Dw}{dt}(t) \in T_{\alpha(t)}\mathcal{S}$. If w is a unit tangent vector field, then $\langle w(t), w(t) \rangle = 1$ for all $t \in I$.

After differentiating, we obtain

$$\langle w'(t), w(t) \rangle + \langle w(t), w'(t) \rangle = 0,$$

which implies

$$\left\langle w(t), \frac{dw}{dt}(t) \right\rangle = 0.$$

Let $N(\alpha(t))$ denote the unit normal to the surface at $\alpha(t)$. Since $N(\alpha(t))$ is orthogonal to both $w(t)$ and $\frac{Dw}{dt}(t)$, there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{Dw}{dt}(t) = \lambda(t)(N(\alpha(t)) \wedge w(t)).$$

We define the *algebraic value* of the covariant derivative by

$$\left[\frac{Dw}{dt}(t) \right] := \lambda(t).$$

Geodesic Curvature

Definition

Let $\alpha : I \rightarrow S$ be parametrized by arc length. The *geodesic curvature* is

$$k_g(s) := \left[\frac{D\alpha'}{ds}(s) \right].$$

- $\frac{D\alpha'}{ds}$ is the tangential part of α''
- $k_g(s) = 0$ if α is a geodesic.

Angle Functions

Lemma

If $a, b : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions such that $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 1$, then there exists $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a(t) = \cos \varphi(t), \quad b(t) = \sin \varphi(t),$$

with

$$\varphi' = ab' - a'b.$$

Difference of Covariant Derivatives

Lemma

Let v, w be smooth unit tangent vector fields along a smooth curve α . If φ is the angle from v to w , then

$$\left[\frac{Dw}{dt} \right] - \left[\frac{Dv}{dt} \right] = \frac{d\varphi}{dt}.$$

Algebraic value in local coordinates

Proposition

Let $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ be an orthogonal parametrization of a neighborhood, and let $w(t)$ be a differentiable field of unit tangent vectors along the curve $\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$. Then

$$\left[\frac{Dw}{dt} \right] = \left\langle \frac{Dw}{dt}, N \wedge w \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{EG}} \left(G_u \frac{dv}{dt} - E_v \frac{du}{dt} \right) + \frac{d\varphi}{dt},$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is the oriented angle from \mathbf{x}_u to w .

Proof.

Let

$$e_1 = \frac{\mathbf{x}_u}{\sqrt{E}}, \quad e_2 = \frac{\mathbf{x}_v}{\sqrt{G}}$$

be the unit vectors tangent to the coordinate curves. Since the parametrization is orthogonal, we have $e_1 \wedge e_2 = N$ ($N \wedge e_1 = e_2$).

Proof.

Let

$$e_1 = \frac{\mathbf{x}_u}{\sqrt{E}}, \quad e_2 = \frac{\mathbf{x}_v}{\sqrt{G}}$$

be the unit vectors tangent to the coordinate curves. Since the parametrization is orthogonal, we have $e_1 \wedge e_2 = N$ ($N \wedge e_1 = e_2$). By the previous Lemma (with $e_1 = v$)

$$\left\langle \frac{Dw}{dt}, N \wedge w \right\rangle = \frac{d\varphi}{dt} + \left\langle \frac{De_1}{dt}, e_2 \right\rangle. \quad (1.1)$$



Proof.

Restricting e_1 to the curve $\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$, we compute

$$\frac{De_1}{dt} = \left\langle \frac{de_1}{dt}, N \wedge e_1 \right\rangle N \wedge e_1 = \left\langle \frac{de_1}{dt}, e_2 \right\rangle e_2.$$

Proof.

Restricting e_1 to the curve $\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$, we compute

$$\frac{De_1}{dt} = \left\langle \frac{de_1}{dt}, N \wedge e_1 \right\rangle N \wedge e_1 = \left\langle \frac{de_1}{dt}, e_2 \right\rangle e_2.$$

Thus,

$$\left\langle \frac{De_1}{dt}, e_2 \right\rangle = \langle (e_1)_u, e_2 \rangle \frac{du}{dt} + \langle (e_1)_v, e_2 \rangle \frac{dv}{dt}. \quad (1.2)$$



Proof.

Since $F = 0$, we have

$$\langle \mathbf{x}_{uu}, \mathbf{x}_v \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} E_v \quad \langle \mathbf{x}_{uv}, \mathbf{x}_v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} G_u$$

which implies

$$\langle (e_1)_u, e_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{EG}} E_v, \quad \langle (e_1)_v, e_2 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{EG}} G_u$$

since

$$(e_1)_u = \mathbf{x}_{uu}/\sqrt{E} + \mathbf{x}_u \cdot (1/\sqrt{E})_u$$

$$(e_1)_v = \mathbf{x}_{uv}/\sqrt{E} + \mathbf{x}_u \cdot (1/\sqrt{E})_v$$

and $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = 0$.

Proof.

Since $F = 0$, we have

$$\langle \mathbf{x}_{uu}, \mathbf{x}_v \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} E_v \quad \langle \mathbf{x}_{uv}, \mathbf{x}_v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} G_u$$

which implies

$$\langle (e_1)_u, e_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{EG}} E_v, \quad \langle (e_1)_v, e_2 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{EG}} G_u$$

since

$$(e_1)_u = \mathbf{x}_{uu}/\sqrt{E} + \mathbf{x}_u \cdot (1/\sqrt{E})_u$$

$$(e_1)_v = \mathbf{x}_{uv}/\sqrt{E} + \mathbf{x}_u \cdot (1/\sqrt{E})_v$$

and $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = 0$.

Substituting into (1.2) yields the desired formula. □

Regular Region

Definition

A region $R \subset S$ is said to be *regular* if R is compact and its boundary ∂R is the finite union of (simple) closed piecewise regular curves which do not intersect each other.

Gauss Bonnet Theorem (Local)

Theorem (Local Gauss–Bonnet)

Let $\mathbf{x}: U \rightarrow S$ be an orthogonal parametrization of an oriented surface S , where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is homeomorphic to an open disk and \mathbf{x} is compatible with the orientation of S . Let $R \subset \mathbf{x}(U)$ be a regular region of S , and let $\gamma: I \rightarrow S$ be such that $\partial R = \gamma(I)$.

If γ is positively oriented, parametrized by arc length s , and if $\gamma(s_0), \dots, \gamma(s_k)$ and ϕ_0, \dots, ϕ_k are respectively the vertices and external angles of γ , then

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}} k_g(s) ds + \iint_R K dA + \sum_{j=0}^k \phi_j = 2\pi,$$

where k_g is the geodesic curvature of the regular arcs of γ and K is the Gaussian curvature of S .