

# Some Results in Riemannian Geometry part 1

Fabio Vlacci

MIGe Università di Trieste

Academic Year 2025/2026

# Something more on the topology of a differentiable manifold

From now on we'll always assume that the topology on a differentiable manifold  $M$  is Hausdorff or  $T_2$  (given two distinct points of  $M$  there exist neighborhoods of these two points which do not intersect each other) and such that  $M$  can be covered by a countable number of coordinate neighborhoods (or  $M$  has a countable basis).

# Something more on the topology of a differentiable manifold

From now on we'll always assume that the topology on a differentiable manifold  $M$  is Hausdorff or  $T_2$  (given two distinct points of  $M$  there exist neighborhoods of these two points which do not intersect each other) and such that  $M$  can be covered by a countable number of coordinate neighborhoods (or  $M$  has a countable basis).

## Theorem (Whitney)

*Any differentiable manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n$  which is Hausdorff and has a countable basis can be immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ .*

# Partition of unity

## Definition

We say that a family  $\{f_\alpha\}_\alpha$  of differentiable functions  $f_\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *differentiable partition of unity* if

- for all  $\alpha$   $f_\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\text{supp} f_\alpha \subset \mathbf{x}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ , where  $\{(U_\alpha, \mathbf{x}_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is a differentiable structure of  $M$ ;

# Partition of unity

## Definition

We say that a family  $\{f_\alpha\}_\alpha$  of differentiable functions  $f_\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *differentiable partition of unity* if

- for all  $\alpha$   $f_\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\text{supp} f_\alpha \subset \mathbf{x}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ , where  $\{(U_\alpha, \mathbf{x}_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is a differentiable structure of  $M$ ;
- $\{\mathbf{x}_\alpha(U_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is locally finite;

# Partition of unity

## Definition

We say that a family  $\{f_\alpha\}_\alpha$  of differentiable functions  $f_\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *differentiable partition of unity* if

- for all  $\alpha$   $f_\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\text{supp} f_\alpha \subset \mathbf{x}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ , where  $\{(U_\alpha, \mathbf{x}_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is a differentiable structure of  $M$ ;
- $\{\mathbf{x}_\alpha(U_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is locally finite;
- $\sum_\alpha f_\alpha(p) = 1$  for any  $p \in M$ .

# Partition of unity

## Definition

We say that a family  $\{f_\alpha\}_\alpha$  of differentiable functions  $f_\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *differentiable partition of unity* if

- for all  $\alpha$   $f_\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\text{supp}f_\alpha \subset \mathbf{x}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ , where  $\{(U_\alpha, \mathbf{x}_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is a differentiable structure of  $M$ ;
- $\{\mathbf{x}_\alpha(U_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is locally finite;
- $\sum_\alpha f_\alpha(p) = 1$  for any  $p \in M$ .

## Theorem

*A differentiable manifold  $M$  has a differentiable partition of unity if and only if every connected component of  $M$  is Hausdorff and has a countable basis.*

# Riemannian Metric

## Definition

A *Riemannian metric* is a smooth assignment:

$$g_p : T_p M \times T_p M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that  $g_p$  is an inner product for every  $p$ , i.e.  $g_p$  is a symmetric, bilinear and positive-definite form on  $T_p M$  for every  $p \in M$ .

# Riemannian Metric

## Definition

A *Riemannian metric* is a smooth assignment:

$$g_p : T_p M \times T_p M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that  $g_p$  is an inner product for every  $p$ , i.e.  $g_p$  is a symmetric, bilinear and positive-definite form on  $T_p M$  for every  $p \in M$ .

In local coordinates:

$$g_{ij} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle.$$

# Riemannian Metric

## Definition

A *Riemannian metric* is a smooth assignment:

$$g_p : T_p M \times T_p M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that  $g_p$  is an inner product for every  $p$ , i.e.  $g_p$  is a symmetric, bilinear and positive-definite form on  $T_p M$  for every  $p \in M$ .

In local coordinates:

$$g_{ij} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle.$$

Notice that  $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ .

# Riemannian Metric

## Definition

A *Riemannian metric* is a smooth assignment:

$$g_p : T_p M \times T_p M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that  $g_p$  is an inner product for every  $p$ , i.e.  $g_p$  is a symmetric, bilinear and positive-definite form on  $T_p M$  for every  $p \in M$ .

In local coordinates:

$$g_{ij} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle.$$

Notice that  $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ .

$$(g_{ij})_{ij}$$

is called local representation of the Riemannian metric  $g$ .

# Riemannian manifold

## Definition

A differentiable manifold  $M$  equipped with a Riemannian metric  $g$  will be called a *Riemannian manifold*.

# Riemannian manifold

## Definition

A differentiable manifold  $M$  equipped with a Riemannian metric  $g$  will be called a *Riemannian manifold*.

## Definition

Given two Riemannian manifolds, a differentiable mapping  $F : M \rightarrow N$  is called a *local isometry* at  $p \in M$  if there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $M$  such that

- 1)  $F|_U : U \rightarrow F(U)$  is a (local) diffeomorphism
- 2)  $\forall v, w \in T_q M$  ( $q \in U$ ) it turns out that

$$\langle v, w \rangle_q = \langle dF_q(v), dF_q(w) \rangle_{F(q)}$$

# Riemannian manifold

## Definition

A differentiable manifold  $M$  equipped with a Riemannian metric  $g$  will be called a *Riemannian manifold*.

## Definition

Given two Riemannian manifolds, a differentiable mapping  $F : M \rightarrow N$  is called a *local isometry* at  $p \in M$  if there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $M$  such that

- 1)  $F|_U : U \rightarrow F(U)$  is a (local) diffeomorphism
- 2)  $\forall v, w \in T_q M$  ( $q \in U$ ) it turns out that

$$\langle v, w \rangle_q = \langle dF_q(v), dF_q(w) \rangle_{F(q)}$$

If  $U = M$  then  $F$  is called an *isometry*.

# Isometric immersion

## Remark

Let  $M, N$  be two differentiable manifolds and assume  $F : M \rightarrow N$  is an immersion; then if  $N$  is equipped with a Riemannian metric, for  $\forall v, w \in T_p M$   $p \in M$  define

$$\langle v, w \rangle_p := \langle dF_p(v), dF_p(w) \rangle_{F(p)}.$$

Since  $dF_p$  is injective, the symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle_p$  is positive definite, hence it is a Riemannian metric on  $M$  which is generally called the metric induced by  $F$ . Furthermore,  $F$  with these Riemannian metric becomes an isometric immersion.

# Existence of Riemannian metrics

## Theorem

*Any differentiable manifold  $M$  which is Hausdorff and has countable basis has a Riemannian metric.*

# WARNING

## Remark (on notation)

*In many text books (including “Riemannian Geometry” by do Carmo) if  $M$  is a differentiable manifold, then*

$$\Gamma(TM) = \mathfrak{X}(M);$$

# WARNING

## Remark (on notation)

*In many text books (including “Riemannian Geometry” by do Carmo) if  $M$  is a differentiable manifold, then*

$$\Gamma(TM) = \mathfrak{X}(M);$$

*furthermore,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} := X_j.$$

Finally  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  will denote the ring of smooth real-valued functions defined on  $M$ .

# Affine Connection

## Definition

An *affine connection* on a differentiable manifold  $M$  is a mapping

$$\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

(denoted by  $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \mapsto \nabla_X Y$ ) which satisfies the following properties:

- $\nabla_{fX_1+gX_2} Y = f\nabla_{X_1} Y + g\nabla_{X_2} Y$  for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(M)$   
( $\mathcal{D}(M)$ -linearity in the first variable);

# Affine Connection

## Definition

An *affine connection* on a differentiable manifold  $M$  is a mapping

$$\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

(denoted by  $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \mapsto \nabla_X Y$ ) which satisfies the following properties:

- $\nabla_{fX_1+gX_2} Y = f\nabla_{X_1} Y + g\nabla_{X_2} Y$  for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(M)$   
( $\mathcal{D}(M)$ -linearity in the first variable);
- $\nabla_X (Y_1 + Y_2) = \nabla_X Y_1 + \nabla_X Y_2$   
(additivity in the second variable);

# Affine Connection

## Definition

An *affine connection* on a differentiable manifold  $M$  is a mapping

$$\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

(denoted by  $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \mapsto \nabla_X Y$ ) which satisfies the following properties:

- $\nabla_{fX_1+gX_2} Y = f\nabla_{X_1} Y + g\nabla_{X_2} Y$  for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(M)$   
( $\mathcal{D}(M)$ -linearity in the first variable);
- $\nabla_X (Y_1 + Y_2) = \nabla_X Y_1 + \nabla_X Y_2$   
(additivity in the second variable);
- $\nabla_X (fY) = f\nabla_X (Y) + X(f)Y$   
(Leibniz rule for the second variable)

## Affine Connection (in local coordinates)

If

$$X = \sum_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_j x_j X_j \quad Y = \sum_k y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = \sum_k y_k X_k$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y &= \nabla_{\sum_j x_j X_j} \left( \sum_k y_k X_k \right) = \sum_j x_j \nabla_{X_j} \left( \sum_k y_k X_k \right) = \\ &= \sum_{j,k} x_j y_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k + \sum_{j,k} x_j X_j (y_k) X_k \end{aligned}$$

## Affine Connection (in local coordinates)

If

$$X = \sum_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_j x_j X_j \quad Y = \sum_k y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = \sum_k y_k X_k$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y &= \nabla_{\sum_j x_j X_j} \left( \sum_k y_k X_k \right) = \sum_j x_j \nabla_{X_j} \left( \sum_k y_k X_k \right) = \\ &= \sum_{j,k} x_j y_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k + \sum_{j,k} x_j X_j(y_k) X_k \end{aligned}$$

put  $\nabla_{X_j} X_k := \sum_i \Gamma_{jk}^i X_i$  so that

$$\nabla_X Y = \sum_i \left( \sum_{j,k} x_j y_k \Gamma_{jk}^i + X_j(y_k) \right) X_i$$

# Affine Connection - a geometric interpretation

## Proposition

*Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold,  $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$  a differentiable curve and  $\nabla$  an affine connection on  $M$ . If  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , consider  $V(t) := Y(\alpha(t))$ , i.e. the restriction of  $Y$  along  $\alpha$ .*

# Affine Connection - a geometric interpretation

## Proposition

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold,  $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$  a differentiable curve and  $\nabla$  an affine connection on  $M$ . If  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , consider  $V(t) := Y(\alpha(t))$ , i.e. the restriction of  $Y$  along  $\alpha$ . Then

$$\frac{DV}{dt} := \nabla_{\frac{d\alpha}{dt}} Y$$

is the covariant derivative of  $V$  along  $\alpha$ , that is to say, if  $W$  is the restriction of another vector field along  $\alpha$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ , it turns out that

$$\frac{D(V+W)}{dt} = \frac{DV}{dt} + \frac{DW}{dt}$$

$$\frac{D(fV)}{dt} = \frac{df}{dt} V + f \frac{DV}{dt}$$

Proof.

Indeed, if, in a local parametrization, one writes

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = d\alpha \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \sum_j \frac{dx_j}{dt} X_j|_\alpha$$

and

$$Y = \sum_k y_k X_k \quad V = \sum_k v_k X_k|_\alpha \quad \text{with } v_k = y_k|_\alpha$$

then

$$\nabla_{\frac{d\alpha}{dt}} Y = \nabla_{\sum_j \frac{dx_j}{dt} X_j} Y = \sum_j \frac{dx_j}{dt} \nabla_{X_j} \sum_k y_k X_k.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{DV}{dt} = \sum_{j,k} \left( \frac{dx_j}{dt} X_j(v_k) X_k + \frac{dx_j}{dt} v_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k \right) = \sum_{j,k} \left( \frac{dv_k}{dt} X_k + \frac{dx_j}{dt} v_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k \right)$$

## Proof.

If  $W = \sum_k w_k X_k|_\alpha$ , then  $(V + W)|_\alpha = \sum_k (v_k + w_k) X_k|_\alpha$  and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{D(V + W)}{dt} &= \sum_{j,k} \left( \frac{d(v_k + w_k)}{dt} X_k + \frac{dx_j}{dt} (v_k + w_k) \nabla_{X_j} X_k \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j,k} \left( \frac{dv_k}{dt} X_k + \frac{dx_j}{dt} v_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k \right) \\
 &+ \sum_{j,k} \left( \frac{dw_k}{dt} X_k + \frac{dx_j}{dt} w_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k \right) \\
 &= \frac{DV}{dt} + \frac{DW}{dt}
 \end{aligned}$$



Proof.

If  $f \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ , then  $fV|_\alpha = \sum_k f v_k X_k|_\alpha$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D(fV)}{dt} &= \sum_{j,k} \left( \frac{d(fv_k)}{dt} X_k + \frac{dx_j}{dt} f v_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \left( \frac{df}{dt} v_k X_k + f \frac{dv_k}{dt} X_k + \frac{dx_j}{dt} f v_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k \right) \\ &= \frac{df}{dt} V + f \frac{DV}{dt} \end{aligned}$$



## Definition

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$ . A vector field  $V$  along a differentiable curve  $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$  is called *parallel* if

$$\frac{DV}{dt} = 0 \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$ . A vector field  $V$  along a differentiable curve  $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$  is called *parallel* if

$$\frac{DV}{dt} = 0 \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

## Proposition

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$ . Consider a differentiable curve  $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$  and a vector  $V_0 \in T_{\alpha(t_0)}M$  with  $t_0 \in I$ . Then there exists a unique parallel vector field  $V$  along  $\alpha$  such that  $V(t_0) = V_0$ .

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$ . A vector field  $V$  along a differentiable curve  $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$  is called *parallel* if

$$\frac{DV}{dt} = 0 \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

## Proposition

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$ . Consider a differentiable curve  $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$  and a vector  $V_0 \in T_{\alpha(t_0)}M$  with  $t_0 \in I$ . Then there exists a unique parallel vector field  $V$  along  $\alpha$  such that  $V(t_0) = V_0$ .

## Definition

The vector field  $V$  in the previous Proposition is called the *parallel transport* of  $V_0$  along  $\alpha$ .

Proof.

Locally,  $V$  is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{DV}{dt} = 0 \\ V(t_0) = V_0; \end{cases}$$

Proof.

Locally,  $V$  is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{DV}{dt} = 0 \\ V(t_0) = V_0; \end{cases}$$

since

$$\frac{DV}{dt} = \sum_{j,k} \left( \frac{dv_k}{dt} X_k + \frac{dx_j}{dt} v_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k \right)$$

and

$$\nabla_{X_j} X_k = \sum_{\ell} \Gamma_{jk}^{\ell} X_{\ell}$$

Proof.

Locally,  $V$  is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{DV}{dt} = 0 \\ V(t_0) = V_0; \end{cases}$$

since

$$\frac{DV}{dt} = \sum_{j,k} \left( \frac{dv_k}{dt} X_k + \frac{dx_j}{dt} v_k \nabla_{X_j} X_k \right)$$

and

$$\nabla_{X_j} X_k = \sum_{\ell} \Gamma_{jk}^{\ell} X_{\ell}$$

$$\frac{DV}{dt} = 0 \iff \sum_{\ell} \left( \frac{dv_{\ell}}{dt} + \sum_{j,k} \frac{dx_j}{dt} v_k \Gamma_{jk}^{\ell} \right) X_{\ell} = 0$$

## Proof.

In other words, since the vector fields  $\{X_j\}_j$  are linearly independent, each of the components  $v_\ell$  of  $V$  has to satisfy the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dv_\ell}{dt} + \sum_{j,k} \frac{dx_j}{dt} v_k \Gamma_{jk}^\ell = 0 \\ v_\ell(t_0) = [V_0]_\ell \end{cases}$$



# Geodesics

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$ . A differentiable curve  $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$  is called a *geodesic* at  $t_0 \in I$  if

$$\frac{D}{dt} \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right) \Big|_{t=t_0} = \frac{D\gamma'}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} = 0;$$

if  $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$  is a *geodesic* at any  $t_0 \in I$ , then we say that  $\gamma$  is a geodesic (in  $M$ ).

# Geodesics

In local parametrization,

$$\frac{D}{dt} \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right) = 0$$

if and only if

$$\frac{d^2 x_k}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{dx_i}{dt} \frac{dx_j}{dt} = 0.$$

# Geodesics

In local parametrization,

$$\frac{D}{dt} \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right) = 0$$

if and only if

$$\frac{d^2 x_k}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{dx_i}{dt} \frac{dx_j}{dt} = 0.$$

Geodesic equation is a second-order ODE.

# Geodesics

In local parametrization,

$$\frac{D}{dt} \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right) = 0$$

if and only if

$$\frac{d^2 x_k}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{dx_i}{dt} \frac{dx_j}{dt} = 0.$$

Geodesic equation is a second-order ODE. By standard ODE theory, for every  $(p, v) \in TM$ , there exists a unique geodesic  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'(0) = v$

# Geodesics

Indeed, any differentiable curve  $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$  in  $M$  determines a curve in  $TM$ , namely  $t \mapsto (\gamma(t), \gamma'(t))$ . If  $\gamma$  is a geodesic, then, locally, the curve

$$t \mapsto (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt}, \dots, \frac{dx_n(t)}{dt})$$

satisfies the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_k}{dt} = y_k \\ \frac{dy_k}{dt} = -\sum_{i,j} \Gamma_{ij}^k y_i y_j \end{cases}$$

for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and with initial data  $(x_1(0), \dots, x_n(0)) = p$   
 $(y_1(0), \dots, y_n(0)) = v$ .

# Riemannian connections

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  and a Riemannian metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The connection  $\nabla$  is said to be *compatible* with the metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  if any vector fields  $V$  and  $W$  along the differentiable curve  $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle V, W \rangle = \left\langle \frac{DV}{dt}, W \right\rangle + \left\langle V, \frac{DW}{dt} \right\rangle$$

# Riemannian connections

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  and a Riemannian metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The connection  $\nabla$  is said to be *compatible* with the metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  if any vector fields  $V$  and  $W$  along the differentiable curve  $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle V, W \rangle = \left\langle \frac{DV}{dt}, W \right\rangle + \left\langle V, \frac{DW}{dt} \right\rangle$$

In particular, if  $V, W$  are parallel vector fields along  $\alpha$ , then  $\langle V, W \rangle$  is constant along  $\alpha$ .

# Riemannian connections

## Proposition

*A connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  is compatible with the Riemannian metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  if and only if*

$$X\langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle$$

*for any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ .*

## Remark

If  $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$  is a geodesic in  $M$  equipped with an affine connection  $\nabla$  compatible with the metric, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \frac{d\gamma}{dt}, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle = 2 \left\langle \frac{D}{dt} \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right), \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle = 0$$

meaning that the length of the tangent vector  $\frac{d\gamma}{dt}$  is constant.

## Remark

If  $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$  is a geodesic in  $M$  equipped with an affine connection  $\nabla$  compatible with the metric, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \frac{d\gamma}{dt}, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle = 2 \left\langle \frac{D}{dt} \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right), \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle = 0$$

meaning that the length of the tangent vector  $\frac{d\gamma}{dt}$  is constant. To avoid the possibility for a geodesic to be a point, we assume that

$$\left| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right| = k \neq 0.$$

## Remark

If  $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$  is a geodesic in  $M$  equipped with an affine connection  $\nabla$  compatible with the metric, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \frac{d\gamma}{dt}, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle = 2 \left\langle \frac{D}{dt} \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right), \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle = 0$$

meaning that the length of the tangent vector  $\frac{d\gamma}{dt}$  is constant. To avoid the possibility for a geodesic to be a point, we assume that

$\left| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right| = k \neq 0$ . Hence the arc length  $s$  of  $\gamma$  starting from  $t_0$  is

$$s(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \left| \frac{d\gamma}{d\tau} \right| d\tau = k(t - t_0)$$

therefore the parameter  $t$  of a geodesic is affinely equivalent to the arc length of the geodesic.

# Symmetric connections

## Definition

A connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  is said to be *symmetric* if

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$$

for any  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ .

# Symmetric connections

## Definition

A connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  is said to be *symmetric* if

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$$

for any  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ .

In local parametrization, if  $\nabla$  is symmetric

$$\nabla_{X_i} X_j - \nabla_{X_j} X_i = [X_i, X_j] = 0$$

which implies

$$\nabla_{X_i} X_j = \nabla_{X_j} X_i$$

or, equivalently,

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ji}^k.$$

# Symmetric connections

## Definition

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y] := T(X, Y)$$

is called the *torsion* of  $\nabla$ .

If  $T \equiv 0$  we say that  $\nabla$  is *torsion free* (or symmetric).

# Levi-Civita Theorem

## Theorem (Levi-Civita).

Given a Riemannian manifold  $M$ , there exists a unique connection  $\nabla$  symmetric and compatible with the Riemannian metric, i.e. such that, for any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ ,

- 1  $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$
- 2  $X\langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle$

# Proof Sketch of Uniqueness

Starting from metric compatibility:

$$X\langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle$$

Write similar identities by cyclic permutation.

Adding and subtracting:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle &= X\langle Y, Z \rangle + Y\langle X, Z \rangle - Z\langle X, Y \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle - \langle [X, Z], Y \rangle - \langle [Y, Z], X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\nabla$  is uniquely determined by  $g$ .

In local coordinates:

$$\langle X_i, X_j \rangle = g_{ij}$$

and

$$\nabla_{X_l} X_j = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k X_k$$

with

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} (X_i g_{jl} + X_j g_{il} - X_l g_{ij}).$$